## 22 Members

Alan Greenberg Judy Song
Cheryl Langdon-Orr Katrin Ohler

Christa Taylor Ken Stubbs

Christopher Niemi Kurt Pritz

Crystal Ondo Maxim Alzoba

Donna Austin Nanig Mehranian

Greg Shatan Phil Buckingham

Jeff Neuman Samantha Demetriou

Jessica Hooper Sara Bockey
Jim Prendergast Susan Payne

Jonathan Robinson Vanda Scartezini

## Staff:

Steve Chan

Julie Hedlund

Berry Cobb

**Emily Barabas** 

Trang Nguyen

Michelle DeSmyter

## AC Chat transcript 06 February 2018

Michelle DeSmyter:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team - Track 1 - Overall Process/Support/Outreach Issue call on Tuesday, 06 February 2018 at 20:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter: Agenda wiki page:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A\_\_community.icann.org\_x\_3ASfB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVz gfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5cM&r=8\_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe\_ 5iHWG1BLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=b7hTIaGMTfXDUXCVRq0M56I-4yhjJLps6KhGteXSSA&s=zV\_ti-MSdYX4WCJF330GXVJEM-dWyBnWPrxinur2b1c&e=

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone

Christa Taylor:Hello!

Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:hi, everybody.

Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:do i have audio? could sb. be a bit

noisy, please? thanks.

```
Jeff Neuman: Hellow
  Jeff Neuman:with a "w" of course for emphasis
 Vanda Scartezini:hi Jeff liked your w
 Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:hellow vs. hollow? ;->
  Susan Payne: i have no sound, is anyone speaking
 Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:me neither.
 Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:OK.
 Phil Buckingham: thanks Christa
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): Hello All, will use chat mostly (late
hour)
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): have we started yet?
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):ok
  Christa
Taylor:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-
3A docs.google.com document d 1guiX3L0F0Ad7ZpwYIJI4FdY3pv09u0EnH
MAark84tmg &d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwl13mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xc14I5c
M&r=8 WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSF04VShFqESGe 5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsj
Wv9&m=b7hTIaGMTfXDUXCVRq0M56I-4yhjJLps6KhGt-
eXSSA&s=hT0EeZt9XXlaTtM10yaVltNb1 1goyi0ETL02dg8xKI&e=
  Jeff Neuman:All - FYI, I made a bunch of changes to the intro
section starting on page 19. So please make sure you are all ok
with the changes.
  Jeff Neuman: Mostly background information.....
 Sara Bockey: Yes, I reviewed as well and found the additional
info very helpful
  Emily Barabas: Everyone can scroll for themselves
 Alan Greenberg: Page now?
  Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):20
  Sara Bockey:20
  Jeff Neuman:20
 Alan Greenberg:Thx
  Jonathan Robinson:@Jeff. You referred to a set of edits you
made. Did they impact this section please?
  Jonathan Robinson: Recent edits
  Christa Taylor:ves
  Jonathan Robinson:OK. Thanks Jeff. They have come in very
recently and I have been travelling so will review those edits
asap. I am still in transit right now.
 Michelle DeSmyter: yes, we can hear you
 Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):yes we can
  Jonathan Robinson: I hear you fine Sara
  Jeff Neuman: Thanks Jonathan - This is an evloving document, so
edits always welcome and that is why I am encouraging everyone to
review
  Phil Buckingham: much much clearer Sara
 Alan Greenberg: AC dropped my phone line. That never happened
```

before. Back now.

Jonathan Robinson:@Jeff. Yes, thanks. I will go over it carefuly as well as participate as best as possible in this call Jeff Neuman:FYI - I have not made any edits to the "General Agreements" section yet. I figured that was best to keep as is for this call

Jeff Neuman: I think that more detail will be needed in this General Agreements section, but did not want to add those just prior to the call.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Sara's mic is on

Jim Prendergast:Im still not clear what the difference between accrediation and pre-approval are. An RSP still submits to testing by ICANN (or their designated 3rd party) in exchange for a designation (certified or pre-approved) How are they different?

Jeff Neuman: Everyone felt that the terms accreditation implied signing up to a separate agreement

Kurt Pritz:I dont like this footnote: "In the original charter, the term "accreditation" was used as opposed to preapproval. However, for the reasons discussed in the discussion section below, the terms "Accreditation" or "certification" were considered to be too politically charged and to many implied a number of elements which were unintended. After considerable debate, the terms "RSP Pre-Approval" or "RSP Program" were deemed less incendiary" We should not turn away from an accreditation program for political reasons or because it is incendiary. We should state sound business / technical reasons for going away from accreditation and toward something else. Use of "political" and "incendiary" make s our reasoning sound less than sound.

Jeff Neuman: This does not envision a new agreement
Jim Prendergast: so the difference is a contract with ICANN?
Jeff Neuman: @Kurt - I agree we should give other reasons. It
was one of the things I wanted to add to the General Agreements
section

Jeff Neuman: Namely what the term accreditation implies (an agreement)

Vanda Scartezini:Kurt, I beleive you right Kurt Pritz:Stop reading - just stick it in the record Jim Prendergast:not everyone is in adobe

Christa Taylor: There was concern on the term 'accreditation' due to the implied certification/implications and the legalities around the diff parties

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):lengthy discussion on the nomenclature indeed

Jonathan Robinson: Agree with Jeff. We discussed this extensively

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO):loud typing

Ken Stubbs - Afilias:please show me in writing wher this
approach is stated

Ken Stubbs - Afilias:that's not my understanding

Ken Stubbs - Afilias:making com ments is not "casting in concrete"

Jonathan Robinson:@Jeff. That is an interesting point. Will there be added or different technical requirements? If so, these may reflect real-world experience from the current 201 round

Jonathan Robinson:01 = 2012

Jeff Neuman:@Jonathan - I dont know

Donna Austin, Neustar: I agree, that we have not reached any agreement on grandfathering.

Jonathan Robinson: Not a question per se. More a recognition of the question to be determined.

Jeff Neuman:I am just accounting for the possibility that there may be

Jonathan Robinson:@Jeff. Exactly

Jeff Neuman: That's why I dont want to just use the 2012 round as the base

Jeff Neuman:@Jim - That is a great question to solicit public
input on

Jeff Neuman: in the initial report

Jim Prendergast:I dont have ideas - thats sort of why I asked
the question

Jeff Neuman:@Jim - Neither do I (Thats why I punted back to
you) :)

Phil Buckingham:a technical audit each year going forward? Jeff Neuman:I will note that the RySG has a document that has been going around but because it has not been approved by the SG it has not been submitted here yet. But some registries have made the point that if there are SLA breaches that those may be used against the pre-approval process. Again that is NOT a position of the SG, but an idea that was floated

Jonathan Robinson:@Kurt. Current experience does appear to show that ongoing monitoring is important

Jeff Neuman:@Kurt - Interesting - A pre-approved provider must agree to be an EBERO for any TLD that it supports

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):it might create a loop

Sara Bockey: you are a little faint

Christa Taylor:Ken we can't hear you

Kurt Pritz:@ Maxim - what do you mean?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):if the RO fails, TLD goes to an EBERO Ken Stubbs - Afilias:yes

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):and I am not sure there is a process for EBERO to be non-operational

```
Kurt Pritz: If RO fails, the RSP is the EBERO
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):but if RSP fails
 Kurt Pritz: then the RO finds a new RSP
 Kurt Pritz:so long as there is no single point of falure ....
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):but grandfathering and EBERO require
different things
  Kurt Pritz:the assumption is that an RO and RSP won't fail
simultaneously
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):ok
 Ken Stubbs - Afilias:alan has been in que for some while
  Jonathan Robinson:@Kurt. There is certainly a sense form ICANN
that EBERO is an expense (and possibly COI) that could be done
away with. Provided that a credible alternative exists. Your idea
strikes me as a credible alternative. Be good to see that
encapsulated somewhere in the work of this group
  Phil Buckingham: fail technically or financially?
  Ken Stubbs - Afilias:sorry my bad !
  Jeff Neuman:@Ken - Alan is not in the queue, he is just first
alphabetically :)
 Kurt Pritz:@Phil - either; whatever causes icann to proclaim an
"event" or however it is done
  Jeff Neuman:On Applicant supplrt I will will note that Rafik
Damak (sp?) on the council asked if he could participate in the
discussions here and to be added to the list. Steve, have you
reached out to him?
  Sara Bockey:we can always touch back on this topic at the start
of our next call if needed
  Steve Chan:@Jeff, he's already been added to the list
  Jeff Neuman:@sara, I think that would be good especially if we
can get Rafik involved
  Steve Chan: As a member
  Jeff Neuman:@Steve - you are the best!
  Steve Chan:gosh, thanks ;)
  Jeff Neuman:its too bad Adobe doesnt support emojis
  Phil Buckingham:an incubator is a great idea
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): ð
  Jeff Neuman:no, its just a lot of materia
  Jeff Neuman:material
  Jeff Neuman: And we are not the experts on this
  Sara Bockey: Sounds like sleeping
 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):23:52 here
  Jeff Neuman: We should put this out to the list and see if we
can get input from Rafik and others that were part of that
working group
  Jeff Neuman:JAS (Joint APplicant Support)
```

Sara Bockey: thank you Maxim for joining at such a late hour Maxim Alzoba (FAITID): JAS seems to be occupied by JAS advisors Michelle DeSmyter: yes

Jonathan Robinson:I did struggle to find the (latest version) of the doc. Now I have the current link, I'll defintely give the current verison of the doc a review

Christa Taylor: Feb 14 03:00 utc I believe

Jonathan Robinson: Thank-you all Christa Taylor: Thanks Jonathan

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):is it possible to make it 4 UTC?

Phil Buckingham:thanks Christa & Sara Katrin Ohler | DOTZON:thanks and bye. Sara Bockey:next call is Feb 20 at 20 UTC.

Vanda Scartezini:thanks you all