SubPro WT 4: IDN / Technical / Operations

Meeting #21 2000 UTC 30 November 2017

Agenda

1. Welcome, Opening Remarks and SOI updates
2. Recap of pending issues
3. AOB and closing

1. Welcome, Opening Remarks and SOI updates

2. Recap of pending issues

IDNs

- Consensus to support continuing having IDN TLDs
- Consensus to support allowing 1-char IDN TLDs in specific situations
- Consensus to use then-current version of RZ-LGR (RZ-LGR-2 at this point)
- Consensus to automate RZ-LGR compliance checking as much as feasible (algorithmically) in the application system
- Consensus to support IDN Variant TLDs if operated by same RO
    - Still pending: policy requirements for such
- All consensus calls on this topic still require confirmation of language

Universal Acceptance

- Consensus to support mentioning UASG to applicants
- Consensus to not create additional requirements regarding UA
- All consensus calls on this topic still require confirmation of language

Technical Evaluation

- Consensus to support aggregating technical evaluation as much as feasible
  - Including between procedures, which enables the RSP Program
- Consensus to support staff recommendation for technical questions only being pass or fail, not 0-1-2 points in some cases
- Drill-down into CQs still pending information from GDD
  - Number of CQs at 2012 deemed excessive, suggesting improvements to questions language
- Other than language and scoring improvements, Technical Evaluation model deemed to be used by SubPro, probably as RSP Program Criteria

Registry Services

- Consensus to support a list of pre-approved services
- Consensus to define RSEP as the tool to evaluate new registry services
- Still to define:
  - Whether applicants needs to specify which pre-approved services would be provided
  - Pre-approved services list, or a minimum list and a process to expand such list in implementation
  - Processing of applications suggesting new registry services

Financial Evaluation

- Consensus to rebuild it from scratch
- Consensus to not evaluate business-model but look into “marketplace health”
- Nuanced interpretation of how 2012 evaluation was not a business-model evaluation still pending
- 2 straw models already presented, 2 new straw models yet to be presented
- Consideration of whether to include third-party certification

Name Collisions in legacy and 2012 gTLDs

- Consensus on keeping the procedures for 2012-round gTLDs as they are
- Discussions on name collisions in legacy gTLDs still pending

Name Collisions for Subsequent Procedures

- Consensus on expanding 2012 Framework with categorization of low, aggravated and high risk
- Consensus on elaborating “do not apply” and “exercise care” lists
- Consensus on keeping readiness requirement for life-threatening collisions
- For low-risk strings, consensus on starting controlled interruption ASAP and delegate execution to ICANN
- Still pending:
  - Guidelines, or guidance to make guidelines, for categorization and list creation, including possible applicant opinion and collision framework
  - Definition of SLA for collision readiness
  - Interaction with Board-requested SSAC guidance

Root Zone Scaling

- Consultation sent to SSAC, RSSAC and OCTO
- Consensus on separating application processing and contracting capacity from root zone scalability
- WT apparently leaning towards removing hard ceilings, replacing with continued monitoring

3. AOB and closing

AOB and closing

- AOB ?
- Which pending topics require calls and which can be dealt through mailing-list and surveys ?