

Work Track 5 meeting



6 June 2018

Agenda 1 Slide

1

Welcome/Agenda
Review/SOI Updates
(5 mins)

2

Feedback on
Working Document
(10 mins)

3

ICANN62 Planning
Update (5 mins)

4

Discussion of
Potential Areas of
Agreement (25
mins)

5

Discussion of City
Names – time
permitting (10 mins)

6

Any Other Business
(5 mins)

Welcome/Review Agenda/SOI Updates

Agenda Item #1

Feedback on Working Document

Agenda Item #2

About the Working Document

- ① <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1BRzHr2FxFxSTYHX1I8F3FHSt6Bo1cvJsKyWX8WZXRUXAo/edit>
- ② This document is being developed by staff under the direction of the Work Track co-leaders.
- ③ The document is intended to summarize perspectives and key points raised in Work Track discussions and on the Work Track mailing list.
- ④ At this time, the document does not attempt to evaluate the level of consensus in support of the different ideas and positions.
- ⑤ Once the co-leaders have held consensus calls, information about consensus level will be added to the document.
- ⑥ This document is a work in progress and will continue to evolve. Additional content will be added as discussions continue.
- ⑦ This document is not a public document at this time. It is for the WT 5 members to review and comment.

Structure of the Working Document (1/2)

The high-level structure mirrors sections of the Subsequent Procedures PDP Working Group Initial Report:

- a. What is the relevant 2007 policy and/or implementation guidance (if any)?
- b. How was it implemented in the 2012 round of the New gTLD Program?
- c. What are the preliminary recommendations and/or implementation guidelines?
- d. What are the options under consideration, along with the associated benefits / drawbacks?
- e. What specific questions are the PDP WG seeking feedback on?
- f. Deliberations
- g. Are there other activities in the community that may serve as a dependency or future input to this topic?

Structure of the Working Document (2/2)

Within Part f (Deliberations), the document currently has the following sub-sections:

1. Experiences in the 2012 Round
2. Overarching Issues
3. Intended Use
4. Consultations With Governments
5. Specific Categories of Strings
6. General Proposals

Feedback on Working Document

How to provide input:

- ⦿ Insert a comment in the Google Doc: Highlight the text where you want to insert the comment. In the top menu, click the “add comment” icon (it appears as a speech bubble with a “+” sign). Please make sure your name is included with your comment).
- ⦿ Insert a comment in the Word Doc: If you do not use Google Docs, you can insert a comment into the attached Word document and send it to the Work Track 5 mailing list. Staff will incorporate these comments into the Google Doc. Updated versions of the document will be regularly circulated.
- ⦿ Send comments to the mailing list: You can comment by sending an email to the mailing list and including the page and section number of the text you are referencing. Staff will incorporate these comments into the Google Doc.

ICANN62 Planning Update

Agenda Item #3

ICANN62 Planning Update

Two Cross Community Sessions planned:

Session 1: Monday 25 June from 15:15-16:45

- Background, Progress and Timeline
- Validation of Preliminary Outcomes
- Brainstorm Session - Looking for solutions within the existing geographic names process

Session 2: Thursday 28 June from 15:15-16:45

- Recap of Monday Session
- Two Discussion Topics (TBD)

Discussion of Potential Areas of Agreement

Agenda Item #4

Geographic Names: 2012 Applicant Guidebook

Putting aside city names for the moment, we will discuss the following categories of geographic names from the 2012 Applicant Guidebook:

- ⦿ A representation, in any language, of a capital city name of any country or territory listed in ISO 3166-1 (working document section 5.3.1, pg 21-25)
- ⦿ Exact match of a sub-national place name, such as a county, province, or state listed in ISO 3166-2 (working document section 5.3.2, pg 27-28)
- ⦿ String listed as a UNESCO region or appearing on the “Composition of macro geographical (continental) regions, geographical sub-regions, and selected economic and other groupings” list. (working document section 5.3.3, pg 28)

To what extent is there support in the group for keeping the requirement in the 2012 AGB to require support/non-objection from the relevant governments/public authorities for these strings?

Definition of City Names – time permitting

Agenda Item #5

City Names

On the mailing list, we have discussed a proposal to require support/non-objection from relevant governments/public authorities for cities above a certain size (working document section 5.3.1.5, pg 26). Possible options:

- ⦿ Cities that meet a population threshold (for example 500,000 people)
- ⦿ By relative size, for example, 10 largest cities in a country or the 3 largest cities in a sub-national region
- ⦿ Requirement only for cities that hold a certain percentage of the country's population
- ⦿ Combination of the above thresholds

What are the pros and cons of these proposals?

Is there a preference for one of these suggestions/solutions?

Are there alternate suggestions for determining if/when a city should receive special treatment?

Any Other Business

Agenda Item #6