## **Attendance - 23 Members**

Alberto Soto Justine Chew

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg

Bruna Martins dos Santos Luca Barbero Christopher Wilkinson Marita Moll

David McAuley Martin Sutton (Co-lead)
Dev Anand Teelucksingh Mzia Gogilashvili
Edmon Chung Nick Wenban-Smith

Ejikeme Egbuogu Sophie Hey
Jaap Akkerhuis Susan Payne
Jim Prendergast Yrjö Länsipuro

On audio only: Bram Fudzulani, Vernatius Ezeama, Abdulkarim Oloyede,

Apologies: Flip Petillion, Jorge Cancio, Olga Cavalli, Javier Rua Jovet, Erich Schweighofer

Staff: Julie Hedlund, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

## AC Chat:

Julie Bisland: Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Sub Team – Track 5 – Geographic

Names at the Top Level call on Wednesday, 10 April 2019 at 05:00 UTC

Julie Bisland: Agenda wiki: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/cBVIBg">https://community.icann.org/x/cBVIBg</a>

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Hello everyone

jaap akkerhuis:Morning

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):Hi Annebeth and all

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Hi

Christopher Wilkinson:Good morning. CW

Alberto Soto:Hell everyone

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):working now, thx

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:Good morning!

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

05YzARosRvTYd84AB UYInlydmFciNmBM5XgySw&m=244bXDnEa7hu-

\_FvLRXapVLii3w25k7HlVDGpjU49pY&s=4LsiJbsM3CSoEH3q1uWXilyOozwP9obkCSgEMEoQY7I&e=

Steve Chan:Page 19 for the document in the AC room.

Steve Chan:Page 22 now

Justine Chew:Qe6: I understand the need to 'highlight' some of the comments ie the ones saying "no reports on problems with this from the 2012 round" but I have mixed feelings about them being marked as concerns because they can be read more as justification for their positions. But I don't have a fix given the existing 4-colour code/categorization.

Justine Chew:@Martin, agreed. hence "I understand"

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):Helpful to point out Justine, thanks

Justine Chew: @Annebeth, @Martin: sure, as I said I don't have a fix.

Steve Chan:Page 23 in AC now

Christopher Wilkinson: Regarding alpha 3 codes, we still have no resolution as to ISO 4217

Steve Chan: @Christopher, that topic is addressed at least in part in question e11.

Susan Payne: I don't think US and NCSG are Agreement exactly. they are supporting being available to apply for, not being allocated to specific party.

Steve Chan:@Susan, I added an additional "tag" to each of those comments: -- Does not specify a party that should be allowed to register

Steve Chan:Do you, and others, believe that's appropriate?

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:It seems liek divergence: The NCSG position is that WT5 should not issue a recommendation on this issue but rather allow existing policy to stand.

Susan Payne: I can't see that change Steve but sounds ok

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):@Katrin - I read that differently, the process they refer to is the objection process should be used but allow applications without restrictions

Steve Chan:@Katrin, perhaps. Although the leadership team has stated that the 2012 implementation will be the status quo, so to go back to the existing 2007 policy recommendation may need a specific recommendation in that case.

Steve Chan:Page 25 now in AC

Steve Chan:@Susan, glad to hear. If you're curious, see fields E-119 and E-120

Justine Chew:Line 130: I wonder if the summary should be "--WT5 should not make recommendations to delegate 3-letter ISO-3166-1 codes (though they believe that use of 3-letter codes should be akin to ccTLDs" or something along these lines?

Dev Anand Teelucksingh: Shouldn't the field E135 (for the GAC) have the text "-- WT5 should not make recommendations to delegate 3-letter ISO-3166-1 codes"?

Susan Payne:wrong characterisation for IPC in the summary column. does not say the ISO3166-1 codes should not be delegated, it says they should not be allocated to specific parties

Susan Payne: ditto for inta

Steve Chan: That makes sense to me at least Susan. Thanks for the clarification.

Susan Payne:don't see how Honduras can be Agreement since this is a series of options

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg: I think the comment by .berlin, .hamburg, geoTLD.group and Dotzon shoul be categorized as "Agreement" - like the comment by Honduras

Justine Chew:@Susan, the same could be said for other questions to which RDS-HN was interpreted as "having agreed".

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:Line 138 and 140

Martin Sutton (Co-lead):@Susan - agree, we should just apply same as previous comment (bold but not coloured) and state all languages.

Susan Payne:agree Justine. I don't see how there can be an agreement designation when it is a list of options

Justine Chew: @Susan, unless the approach is to apply their "support" to the main statement and disregarding the actual question and options. Sigh.

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:If we were to skip the colour code, we should then skip it for all answers and just highlight the main point.

Steve Chan: It's really just the Honduras comments - because they specifically say Support.

Steve Chan: We can remove the green if it makes you all more comfortable.

Susan Payne:thanks!

Marita Moll:Perhaps there should be an "agreement with conditions" category

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:Agree - to have it clear if comments support the status quo

Julie Bisland: Next WT5 call: Wednesday, 17 April 2019 at 20:00 UTC

Steve Chan: No, thank you

Martin Sutton (Co-lead): Thanks Annebeth and all - bye for now

Susan Payne: thanks everyone

David McAuley: Thanks Annebeth and Martin, good bye all

Katrin Ohlmer | .berlin .hamburg:Thanks, Annebeth! jaap akkerhuis:bye all
Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Bye for now
Alberto Soto:By bye
Dev Anand Teelucksingh:Thanks all - take care all
Edmon Chung:bye