

Test Case	Category of Change Per Framework	Type of Change Per Framework	Expected Mitigation Strategy Per Framework	WG Discussion: Does this seem appropriate?
ICANN Org changing from custom application interface to Salesforce.com	Changes to ICANN organization internal operations	Revised Processes/Procedures	Communicate changes to affected parties before they've been deployed.	
Change in pre-delegation testing	a) If Changes only impact ICANN organization (or its third party vendor) internal operations  b) If changes impact Registry Operators (or their back-end Operators) systems, processes or submission requirements	a) Revised Processes/Procedures  b) New Processes / Procedures	a) Communicate changes to affected parties before they've been deployed.  B) Communication changes to impacted parties and allow for comment. If there are conflicts or a dispute, convene small group of impacted parties and ICANN to resolve.	
Change from digital archery to priority draw	Changes to ICANN organization internal operations	New Processes/Procedures (but no changes to policy)	a) Because the process is new, collaboration with the impacted parts of the community (e.g., standing IRT, or similar) is likely needed. A determination will need to be made on who are the impacted parties. When this change was made in 2012, applications had already been submitted. Since the policy of randomizing the order of processing applications is remaining the same, the likely impacted parties are only those that have actually applied for the string. Thus, we would have recommended that staff work with the impacted parties to develop the solution. Once changes are agreed, communicate changes to affected parties before they've been deployed.  b) If the change in the process was before the applications had been submitted, because it would be impossible to tell who would be impacted, collaboration with the Internet Community would be needed. Once changes are agreed, communications would be sent to the community	Q. How do we handle disputes over who is impacted by a change? Ombudsman, dispute panel, etc?
Identification of name collision issue and introduction of subsequent mitigation framework	Fundamental, Possibly Policy-level Changes	New	Collaboration with the community (e.g., standing IRT, or similar) is essential. Staff will collaborate with the community to consider the issue and agree upon the mechanism by which the solution will be developed. Options could include:  1. The standing IRT will make a determination that the change is not significant and that the proposed change is consistent with existing recommendation(s); or  2. The standing IRT will make a determination that additional policy consideration is needed. That request would then be sent to the GNSO Council to consider invoking one of its processes, including the GNSO Input Process (GIP)( <a href="https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-3-input-process-manual-01sep16-en.pdf">https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-3-input-process-manual-01sep16-en.pdf</a> ) or GNSO Guidance Process ( <a href="https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-5-ggp-manual-01sep16-en.pdf">https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-5-ggp-manual-01sep16-en.pdf</a> ) (GGP); or  3. Under extraordinary circumstances, the New gTLD Program could be halted for a communicated amount of time.	Q. How do we ensure that a standing IRT has the requisite technical and operational expertise and experience to handle issues like this? IRT Procedures found at: <a href="https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/irt-principles-guidelines-23aug16-en.pdf">https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/irt-principles-guidelines-23aug16-en.pdf</a> .

<p>Substantive changes to the base registry agreement (e.g., additional specifications, public interest commitments, etc.)</p>	<p>Fundamental, Possibly Policy-level Changes</p>	<p>Revisions</p>	<p>1. Do the Changes impact ICANN Policy or do they relate merely to the relationship of the parties of the contract?  - If it does not impact ICANN Policy, then ICANN must collaborate with the impacted parties as described in example 3 above (See Post-Delegation Testing changes)</p> <p>- If it does impact ICANN Policy, collaboration with the community (e.g., IRT, or similar) is essential. Staff will collaborate with the community to consider the issue and agree upon the mechanism by which the solution will be developed. Options could include:</p> <p>1. The standing IRT will make a determination that the change is not significant and that the proposed change is consistent with existing recommendation(s); or</p> <p>2. The standing IRT will make a determination that additional policy consideration is needed. That request would then be sent to the GNSO Council to consider invoking one of its processes, including the GNSO Input Process (GIP)( <a href="https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-3-input-process-manual-01sep16-en.pdf">https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-3-input-process-manual-01sep16-en.pdf</a> ) or GNSO Guidance Process (<a href="https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-5-ggp-manual-01sep16-en.pdf">https://gns0.icann.org/en/council/annex-5-ggp-manual-01sep16-en.pdf</a>) (GGP); or</p> <p>3. Under extraordinary circumstances, the New gTLD Program could be halted for a communicated amount of time.</p>	
--	---	------------------	--	--