Attendance   **Members: 41**

Alexander Schubert	Jamie Baxter	Olga Cavalli
Anne Aikman-Scalese	Jeff Neuman	Phil Buckingham
Annebeth Lange	Jessica Hooper	Quoc Pham
Ashley Roberts	Jim Prendergast	Robin Gross
Bruna Santos	Justine Chew	Roger Carney
Cheryl Langdon-Orr	Karen Carlson	Rubens Kuhl
Chris Niemi	Katrin Ohlmer	Rudy Mendoza
Christa Taylor	Kavouss Arasteh	Samantha Demetriou
Christopher Wilkinson	Kristina Rosette	Sara Bockey
Donna Austin	Kurt Prtiz	Sarah Langstone
Erica Varlese	Liz Brodzinski	Sophia Feng
Gg Levine	Martin Sutton	Sophie Hey
Greg Shatan	Maxim Alzoba	Susan Payne
	Michael Flemming	Vanda Scartezin

On Audio only: none

Apologies:

Alan Greenberg	Kate Ellis	Heather Forrest
		Kris Seeburn

Staff:

Julie Hedlund	Trang Nguyen	Julie Bisland
Emily Barabas	Steve Chan	Andrea Glandon
Mary Wong	Berry Cobb	

Webex Chat:

04/09/2018   09:58:10 AM   from Sara Bockey to All Participants:
        I'm clicking the mute button but it doesn't appear to be functioning
04/09/2018   09:58:43 AM   from Alexander Schubert to All Participants:
        In the WebEx App (Androud) the red microphone toggle is nicely placed at the bottom!
04/09/2018   09:59:20 AM   from Alexander Schubert to All Participants:
        Install Webex app then use meeting URL in browser - calls the app!
04/09/2018   09:59:52 AM   from Sara Bockey to All Participants:
        It's working now. Weird
04/09/2018   10:03:26 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
        Hi everyone
04/09/2018   10:03:38 AM   from Robin Gross to All Participants:
        Hello from San Francisco!
04/09/2018   10:04:06 AM   from Erica Varlese to All Participants:
        Hello all!

04/09/2018   10:04:15 AM   from Mary Wong to All Participants:
Please remember to MUTE your mics if you are not speaking. If you are using Webex audio, your mic should turn RED if it is muted (grey means you are NOT muted).
04/09/2018   10:08:52 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
Next call is Monday 16 April at 20:00 UTC
04/09/2018   10:09:54 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:
sorry to be little late. just arrive at my office
04/09/2018   10:10:05 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:
sorry to be late
04/09/2018   10:11:36 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
We are not far into our discussion Vand, Jeff is just covering off some basics about the Initial Report
04/09/2018   10:11:54 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
Jeff, Anne's hand is up.
04/09/2018   10:12:56 AM   from Mary Wong to All Participants:
The latest version is dated 30 January 2018.
04/09/2018   10:13:01 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
3.3 is the current version
04/09/2018   10:13:02 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
It should be version 3.3 I believe
04/09/2018   10:13:23 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
https://gnso.icann.org/en/council/procedures
04/09/2018   10:13:29 AM   from Julie Hedlund to All Participants:
3.3 is the latest version. But that aspect in the PDP Manaul is unchanged.
04/09/2018   10:15:12 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
CC1 and CC2 "acted as" that aspect Anne Is that not your understanding?
04/09/2018   10:15:30 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
Community Input is also a component of the Initial Report, so the two CC periods will be discussed there
04/09/2018   10:17:23 AM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants:
I think the need or not for an interim report will be assessed down the road.
04/09/2018   10:17:32 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
As Jeff is saying, the Initial Report and Final Report are of course required. But that does not preclude additional comment periods as may be necessary.
04/09/2018   10:21:27 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
my question re "preliminary recommendation" and what that means? Is that the sense of leadership for Sub Pro wiithout actually taking a consensus call?
04/09/2018   10:24:18 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
Jeff, we have the topic structure ready to upload and present
04/09/2018   10:25:08 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
Yes Anne, the "current thinking' where there had been a sense of agreement or only some concerns... as no formal 'Concensus Calls" have been as yet taken, The Initial Report also lists options under discussion / questiond that we are seeking feedback on.

04/09/2018   10:25:59 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    Some of them do list interdependencies in Sec g.
04/09/2018   10:26:10 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    and again all topic by topic
04/09/2018   10:28:05 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:
    Is there Section called 1.1 and sub sections 1.1.1 and 1.1.2?
04/09/2018   10:30:06 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
    @Kavouss, yes, there is a section 1.1. It will focus on the process and the structure that you're seeing in the Webex room right now
04/09/2018   10:30:45 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
    No hands up
04/09/2018   10:30:52 AM   from Phil Buckingham to All Participants:
    comment . should 1.3.1 read Competition , Consumer Trust , Consumer Choice
04/09/2018   10:30:58 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
    Thanks Jeff. I just think it's important that issues that were outstanding in the discussions are highlighted in the Initial Report so that these can be reviewed by the community and commented on. I agree with you that issues should be raised for further discussion and co omment. As long as the issues are raised in the Initial Report, I'm comfortable. Would not want to see something referred to as "preliiminary recommendtion" if it was not supported as such in the Work Track
04/09/2018   10:31:49 AM   from Robin Gross to All Participants:
    Is there any way to see more than just a couple lines of chat text at a time?   Can we make the "chat box" larger with WebEx?
04/09/2018   10:32:19 AM   from Mary Wong to All Participants:
    @Robin, if you minimize the Participant list (click on the arrow) that magnifies the chat box.
04/09/2018   10:32:22 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    click off the Participant Box Robin
04/09/2018   10:32:37 AM   from Robin Gross to All Participants:
    yep, that did it - thanks!
04/09/2018   10:32:51 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
    @Phil, yes, typo. Thanks for flagging.
04/09/2018   10:33:35 AM   from Terri Agnew to All Participants:
    click the X to the right of the participants to make CHAT bigger
04/09/2018   10:33:35 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
    @Robin, you can also hover over the border of the chat box to resize it.
04/09/2018   10:33:46 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
    If you want both open
04/09/2018   10:34:01 AM   from Robin Gross to All Participants:
    thank you
04/09/2018   10:36:02 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
    Please send your chat messages to "All Participants
04/09/2018   10:36:14 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
    NOT all Attendees

04/09/2018   10:36:30 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:
　　　Yep. Fixed that. Now.
04/09/2018   10:36:31 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
　　　:)
04/09/2018   10:37:21 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:
　　　Super. Thanks.
04/09/2018   10:37:59 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:
　　　No hands
04/09/2018   10:39:16 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　Polixh is yet tocome, buit the Doc is **huge** so we want to get draft sections out to you where we can
04/09/2018   10:39:44 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　140+
04/09/2018   10:40:20 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:
　　　Is executive summary serves the summary for the entire Report or each chapter would have its own summary?
04/09/2018   10:41:13 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　Exec Summary highlights key elements of all sections
04/09/2018   10:41:27 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:
　　　How long that ES would be . I immage not more than 1 or 2 pages
04/09/2018   10:41:30 AM   from Rubens Kuhl to All Participants:
　　　Sorry, have to drop now.
04/09/2018   10:41:43 AM   from Phil Buckingham to All Participants:
　　　Question ; Will the executive summary have a (suggested / proposed) timeline to launch ?
04/09/2018   10:41:45 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:
　　　Section 1.2.1.e, bullet 1: I think we should, for clarity, revise the first question to: "Is this reason still applicable and/or relevant?" Also, should add follow up question after "Are other measures needed?" and that should be "If so, what measures and how will these measures address the issue?"
04/09/2018   10:41:47 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　Thanks Rubens bye for now
04/09/2018   10:42:21 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:
　　　oops. yes
04/09/2018   10:43:11 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
　　　my hand is up but i dont know if you can see it
04/09/2018   10:43:41 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　thx Anne good to mention it in Chat
04/09/2018   10:44:08 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
　　　not sure if we have, but happy to do so
04/09/2018   10:44:55 AM   from Justine Chew to All Participants:
　　　I've only seen a 5-pager that starts with section 1.12
04/09/2018   10:45:49 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
　　　Justine tht is the Sec we intend to go over in detail today

04/09/2018   10:46:21 AM   from Justine Chew to All Participants:
   Yes, I read and heard the same. Thanks.
04/09/2018   10:46:45 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   We can cover it in support documentation Anne, but the report actually follows a standardised template
04/09/2018   10:47:37 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   to clear check marks etc., you (apparently) need to raise and lowere your hand...
04/09/2018   10:48:13 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   noted Jim
04/09/2018   10:49:08 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
   Cheryl - this should be addressed in the introduction because it's a required element of the Initial Report in the PDP Manual pursuant to Section 11. We are varying that procedure so we need to say why we are doing that if we feel it is justified. See page 71 of the current version of the PDP Manual re "Initial Report". Thank you
04/09/2018   10:49:47 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   As I nited JIm's point Anne we note your addition input as well
04/09/2018   10:50:49 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:
   Final Report may have Supplemental one ,if needed
04/09/2018   10:53:29 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:
   Ihave to quit at 16,00 UTC
04/09/2018   10:53:55 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:
   thank cheryl
04/09/2018   10:54:21 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   :-) new tools Anne we are all strugling
04/09/2018   10:54:22 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
   I think it's down right now Anne :)
04/09/2018   10:54:41 AM   from Susan Payne to All Participants:
   Anne - hover your mouse over the icon and it should tell you the status
04/09/2018   10:54:57 AM   from Christopher Wilkinson to All Participants:
   Tried everything to take down a check mark!
04/09/2018   10:55:22 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
   @Christopher, you have to raise and lower your hand to remove a status like check mark.
04/09/2018   10:55:22 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
   Thank you christoher
04/09/2018   10:55:29 AM   from Terri Agnew to All Participants:
   @Christopher, first raise your hand, then lower it. IT's the only way to clear the feedback (check mark)
04/09/2018   10:55:35 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
   @Christopher I beleive you have to rais and lowere your hand to do so (at least worth a try)
04/09/2018   10:55:36 AM   from Andrea Glandon to All Participants:
   Christopher, raise your hand and then lower it again, that should remove the check mark

04/09/2018   10:59:27 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:

I think the use of "ICANN Organization" is likely to be confusing here - especially because the quotes to the Guidebook or the RA refer only to ICANN.

04/09/2018   11:00:10 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:

I have to drop for a conflicting call. I'll listen to the recording and raise any points that aren't otherwise covered.

04/09/2018   11:00:19 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:

sorry.

04/09/2018   11:00:33 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:

Section 1.2.1.e, bullet 1: I think we should, for clarity, revise the first question to: "Is this reason still applicable and/or relevant?" Also, should add follow up question after "Are other measures needed?" and that should be "If so, what measures and how will these measures address the issue?"

04/09/2018   11:01:08 AM   from Kristina Rosette to All Participants:

Bye all.

04/09/2018   11:01:27 AM   from Julie Hedlund to All Participants:

@Kristina: Staff have captured your questions in the notes.

04/09/2018   11:02:52 AM   from Erica Varlese to All Participants:

I think Kristina's point could apply to the next bullet point as well to further clarify comments, i.e. "Is the definition of "use" of a TLD from the 2012 round still appropriate or are adjustments needed? If so, what adjustments are neccessary and why?"

04/09/2018   11:03:27 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:

thank you KAvouss you have state what majority was thinking I beleive.

04/09/2018   11:05:03 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:

@Susan Payne - oops - Susan - should have thanked you for info re hovering the mouse over the hand! Sorry I didn't find a way to chat this to you individually.

04/09/2018   11:05:50 AM   from Greg Shatan to All Participants:

After research I have confirmed that raise hand is not an option in WebEx on a mobile device. :-(

04/09/2018   11:06:02 AM   from Susan Payne to All Participants:

I think the "if so why" element that Kristina suggested for bullet 1 is important on all of the questions we ask - we want and need reasoned input rather than unsupported opinion

04/09/2018   11:06:09 AM   from Gg Levine to All Participants:

Can you post link to document?

04/09/2018   11:06:39 AM   from Katrin Ohlmer to All Participants:

+1 Susan

04/09/2018   11:06:54 AM   from Christopher Wilkinson to All Participants:

I would have a reservaation about sale of premium names in geographical domains.

04/09/2018   11:07:45 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:

The document is available here: https://community.icann.org/x/TC68B

04/09/2018   11:07:59 AM   from Emily Barabas to All Participants:

(in PDF)

04/09/2018   11:08:19 AM   from Kavouss Arasteh to All Participants:

I have had and still having difficulties to deal with Google DOC.

04/09/2018   11:08:39 AM   from Susan Payne to All Participants:
      are we emailing to the SubPro mailing list or is there going to be a feedback email address?
04/09/2018   11:09:03 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
      hand up
04/09/2018   11:10:08 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      nope
04/09/2018   11:10:13 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      my line is muted
04/09/2018   11:10:15 AM   from Susan Payne to All Participants:
      maybe we could have an email title format so we can easily distinguish these document comments from other communications?
04/09/2018   11:10:26 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      Sharing through the regular mailing list will ensure everyone gets a chance to see the suggestions, as Jeff noted.
04/09/2018   11:10:38 AM   from Phil Buckingham to All Participants:
      @Susan - good idea
04/09/2018   11:10:44 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
      That will certainly work @Susan
04/09/2018   11:11:27 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      Noted, and not a problem
04/09/2018   11:12:03 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:
      makes more easy to contribute
04/09/2018   11:13:02 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      As a reminder to all, please send your comments in Webex to "All Participants"
04/09/2018   11:13:13 AM   from Jim Prendergast to All Attendees:
      is this not going to be run like a typical comment period?
04/09/2018   11:13:18 AM   from Steve Chan to All Participants:
      For instance, I do not believe staff is seeing the comment from Justine
04/09/2018   11:14:26 AM   from Justine Chew to All Participants:
      Sorry, reposting to all participants for staff notes -- And if it we could somehow have a consolidated way to view comments sent through email so that we don't have to review and re-review all emails.
04/09/2018   11:18:32 AM   from Justine Chew to All Participants:
      @Michael, Steve said it should be to "All Participants". My original post was to "All Attendees".
04/09/2018   11:19:00 AM   from Julie Hedlund to All Participants:
      @Justine: Staff has included your suggestion in the notes.
04/09/2018   11:19:08 AM   from Andrea Glandon to All Participants:
      All participants is everyone who is joined on Webex, this is the one everyone should use.
04/09/2018   11:19:18 AM   from Christopher Wilkinson to All Participants:
      It would be very interesting to see the data behind the INTA complaint about 'premium' names.

04/09/2018 11:19:19 AM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
Yes Michael
04/09/2018 11:19:31 AM from Justine Chew to All Participants:
@Julie, thanks!
04/09/2018 11:19:40 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
My apologies.
04/09/2018 11:19:49 AM from Steve Chan to All Participants:
Thanks Justine. It's really important that messages are sent to All Participants. If staff cannot see them, they will not be captured in the record distributed to the email list after the call concludes.
04/09/2018 11:20:06 AM from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
Okay it's ALL PARTICPIPANTS. Sorry for the confusion.
04/09/2018 11:21:05 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
My sincere apologies, Justine.
04/09/2018 11:21:07 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
 _|￢‾|○
04/09/2018 11:21:43 AM from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
Michael - is that the stick figure for mea culpa?
04/09/2018 11:22:16 AM from Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) to All Participants:
mobile application has only chat with everyone . . . whatever does it mean
04/09/2018 11:22:52 AM from Christopher Wilkinson to All Participants:
granularity refers to drilling down to disaggregated data e.g. per TLD type, or TLD language etc.
04/09/2018 11:22:58 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
Can anybody hear me?
04/09/2018 11:23:06 AM from Andrea Glandon to All Participants:
Maxim, your message went to all participants, so that is good.
04/09/2018 11:23:08 AM from Terri Agnew to All Participants:
@Maxim: you've chatted correctly to All participants, so continue to you that option.
04/09/2018 11:23:09 AM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
No Michael
04/09/2018 11:23:14 AM from Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) to All Participants:
thanks
04/09/2018 11:23:43 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
This microphone is not working for me
04/09/2018 11:23:46 AM from Terri Agnew to All Participants:
@Michael: you're unmuted now
04/09/2018 11:24:09 AM from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
Was I unmuted earlier?
04/09/2018 11:24:22 AM from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
try again Michael
04/09/2018 11:24:29 AM from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
Agree with Kavouss. "granular" is slang. we should say "detailed"

04/09/2018   11:24:30 AM   from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
    Hope I didn't say anything I shouldn't hve.
04/09/2018   11:26:09 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    it was specific language used by INTA but the WT can paraphrase to other terms such as detailed
04/09/2018   11:26:17 AM   from Phil Buckingham to All Participants:
    @ Jeff , agreed . Comment : The gTLD marketplace index will mean CC can produce more granular/ meaningful data in future .
04/09/2018   11:27:29 AM   from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
    Off to bed
04/09/2018   11:27:30 AM   from Michael Flemming to All Participants:
    good night
04/09/2018   11:27:46 AM   from Maxim Alzoba (FAITID) to All Participants:
    bye all. have to drop
04/09/2018   11:27:55 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    thanks for attending
04/09/2018   11:28:14 AM   from Jamie Baxter to All Participants:
    bye all
04/09/2018   11:28:31 AM   from vanda Scartezini to All Participants:
    thank you all very good meeting
04/09/2018   11:28:33 AM   from Anne Aikman-Scalese to All Participants:
    Thank you Jeff cheryl and staff!
04/09/2018   11:28:33 AM   from Robin Gross to All Participants:
    Thanks Jeff and all. Bye!
04/09/2018   11:28:34 AM   from Justine Chew to All Participants:
    Will do, thanks, Jeff, and all, good night.
04/09/2018   11:28:37 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    bye for now then hope your intro to the report style here has helped get you ready for the draft review work to come
04/09/2018   11:28:39 AM   from Erica Varlese to All Participants:
    bye and thanks!
04/09/2018   11:28:45 AM   from Christa Taylor to All Participants:
    Thank-you
04/09/2018   11:28:49 AM   from Cheryl Langdon-Orr to All Participants:
    Bye for now
04/09/2018   11:28:56 AM   from Phil Buckingham to All Participants:
    thank you Jeff , Excellent