Attendance - 34 Members

Alan Greenberg Alexander Schubert Anne Aikman-Scalese Annebeth Lange Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair) Christa Taylor **Christopher Niemi** Christopher Wilkinson Donna Austin, Neustar Gemma Keegan - Neustar Jamie Baxter | dotgay Jason Schaeffer Jeff Neuman Jessica Hooper Jim Prendergast Jon Nevett Judy Song - neustar

Karen Bernstein Karen Day **Kavouss Arasteh** Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry) Liz Brodzinski Martin Sutton **Michael Flemming** Phil Buckingham Phil Marano Raymond Zylstra - Neustar **Robin Gross Rubens Kuhl** Rudy Mendoza Sara Bockey Sarah Vanda Scartezini Zornitsa Marcheva

On Audio Only: none

Apologies: Maxim Alzoba, Katrin Ohlmer, Javier Rua

Staff: Julie Hedlund, Trang Nguyen, Nanig Mehranian, Emily Barabas, Barry Cobb, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Tuesday, 29 May 2018 at 20:00 UTC.

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: <u>https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-</u>

<u>3A</u> community.icann.org x kRwFBQ&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5c M&r=QiF-

<u>05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=_R05LcqRTDSW0R4YvEmVjp2iCBlj6SXIylrJ2pJNpg</u> <u>U&s=BR3ZyPqNDiRNAYZga3nbm2w8WAQP91GQgHeM5fmbxZY&e</u>=

Christopher Wilkinson:logging on eardly in anticipation of difficulties-! None so far. CW Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Julie

Kavouss Arasteh:Hi Christopher

Kavouss Arasteh: I am happy that we came bacjk to aDOBE

Julie Bisland: Hello Kavouss, yes, we are happy as well. Let's hope this test phase goes well :)

Julie Bisland:Kavouss and Christopher, are you able to hear me?

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone. good day/ evening to all

Christopher Wilkinson:Julie - can hear you OK.

Vanda Scartezini: ok looks it is working very well

Vanda Scartezini:great jeff we are hearing perfectly

Annebeth Lange: I don't hear anything at all Jamie Baxter | dotgay: I can hear you perfect Julie Julie Bisland:@annebeth: can we dial out to you? Annebeth Lange: Yes, +47 95911559 Kavouss Arasteh: jULIE Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Yes please do start Kavouss Arasteh:pLS ADVISE TO DIAL ME UP Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Kavous, I think you have the shift key locked again...lots of CAPS Kavouss Arasteh:Sorry Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):no probs Kavouss Arasteh: I am waiting to be dialed up Annebeth Lange: I am waiting to be dialed up as well Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):YES i am in AC Robin Gross: Yay!! Robin Gross: We have color again. Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):colour may be restricted to red Julie Bisland:@Annebeth: i've provided the operator with your phone number, please stand by Kavouss Arasteh: You have your beloved colour Robin Vanda Scartezini:checking Martin Sutton (I prefer AC): Green too! Steve Chan:All, as Jeff said, ICANN is still in the testing phase for Adobe Connect and this PDP WG is part of that continued testing/pilot. I think we're all glad to be a part of that pilot :) Steve Chan: You can find the document being displayed, along with excerpts from all other sections here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-<u>3A</u> community.icann.org x NwUhB&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM &r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m= RO5LcqRTDSWOR4YvEmVjp2iCBlj6SXIylrJ2pJNpg U&s=2h4i7T6fNpcKK3tYmQfvvcdD1mSxg xpJRv66cDOhCM&e= Alexander.berlin:Ahhh, here are you all hiding! Annebeth Lange: I managed to get sound on my computer, I think. And the dials I have received don't

get through. So let it be.

Julie Bisland: thank you, Annebeth, the operator is having issues staying connected

Annebeth Lange: It is ok now, I think

Michael Flemming:Agreed

Vanda Scartezini:no

Rubens Kuhl: The current special use domain names can be seen at

https://www.iana.org/assignments/special-use-domain-names/special-use-domain-names.xhtml .

Rubens Kuhl:Perhaps adding this link to the report ?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Seems sensible as a footnote Rubens

Anne Aikman-Scalese: @ Christopher - Reserved names are not necessarily prevented from being sold by the registry. They can be held for later sale on terms the registry determines to be appropriate.

Kavouss Arasteh: What is large geographical name pls?

Rubens Kuhl:Kavouss, a large geographical name could be Taumatawhakatangihangakoauauotamateaturipukakapikimaungahoronukupokaiwhenuakitanatahu , a hill in New Zealand.

Christopher Wilkinson:@Kavrous The largest new Geo-name that I am familiar with is the .EU Registry. In future there is scope for geo-names which relate to regions and populations which are quite large; the requirement for Reserven Names will be similarly extensive.CW

Christa Taylor:That was included in last weeks material...it is definately captured.

Alexander.berlin:Now I am frightened :D

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC): Should ICANN resolve to complete all the disputed applications before the next round opens? Is that a question that shlould be asked to the community?

Vanda Scartezini:i could not ehar kavouss

Rubens Kuhl:Some terminated brand contracts might be in their stop-gap period, and some might have passed it.

Julie Bisland:@Vanda, are you hearing Jeff ok?

Kavouss Arasteh:Yes Unavailable

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):unavailable is the term that resonates with my memory on this Vanda Scartezini:yes. just when kavous was talking this time, but Jeff referred to what he was talking so I am ok

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Kavouss is that a new hand up?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):ahh Thx

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC): Uh oh - do we need to go back to webex?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Don't even joke about that Martin

Julie Bisland:ohhhh Martin

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):@ Julie, I take it back

Rubens Kuhl:Next time, please send Martin the Webex link, while sending the AC link to all others...

Kavouss Arasteh: Jeff, may you kindly give example of Letter digit and digit letter and gdigit digit

Annebeth Lange, WT5:I suppose that for letter/digit 2-letter, that would be caught by string similarity if for example .c0 or .n0 should be applied for. Those would be very similar to .co and .no

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Kavous - 3M is a digit-letter example

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): For your edification here Kavouss or as an example in the text of the Doc?

Michael Flemming: I6.tld and 61.tld

Alexander.berlin:The CCNSO should listen up - and AVOID that any character character combinations become gTLDs!

Christopher Wilkinson:@Anne A-S, I shall wait for WT5 to address thiis. I read the report as being rather determinant. BTW, I do not agree that these are 'sales'. They are at most 'licenses to use'.

Kavouss Arasteh: May we scroling up and down pls

Steve Chan: We are on page 8

Steve Chan:And as Jeff noted, you all have the ability to control slides yourself

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Agree, Jeff

Steve Chan: And now we're on page 10

Christopher Wilkinson:@2 letter codes: how are the coes for the 51 US States treated in .US and elsewhere?

Annebeth Lange, WT5: Christopher, I don't think the US states have each their 2-letter code.

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Or to I misunderstand your question, Christopher?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):page 11

Christa Taylor:A little late but section 1.6 "All applications submitted in the next round (regardless whether delegated or not) must have priority over applications submitted in any subsequent rounds/application windows even if the evaluation periods overlap".

Rubens Kuhl: I believe the idea of the text was to allow Spec 13 registries to not have EBERO, provided they didn't opt to also allow 3rd-party registrants (brand licensees)

Jim Prendergast:Kristina - which bullet under c?

Steve Chan:@Jeff, all, you can see a similar comment from ICANN org as referenced on page 61 here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A www.icann.org en system files files program-2Dreview-2D29jan16-

2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=_RO5LcqRTDSWOR4YvEmVjp2iCBlj6SXIylrJ2pJNpg U&s=9bxi5s6N5IXKt8JhrtekfSUCsn7sX4fc-0j_-j__oMk&e=

Annebeth Lange, WT5:@Christa, could it be a kind of "waiting list"? In case the application in first round is not successful, the application for this word could "wait" in line?

Christa Taylor: May also tie in to Applicant Support - wanted to improve flexibility to potential applicants

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Michael: Agree. One of my other comments (haven't gotten to that yet) is that privacy law developments since 2012 may make background checks a lot more complex to conduct and less meaningful (potentially).

Steve Chan:Program Implementation Review Report = PIRR :)

Christa Taylor:@Annebeth Nothing noted in that section will search to see if that idea is captured Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Spec 9 restricts to RO only; Spec. 13 restricts to RO, Affiliates, and

Trademark Licensees (defined term)

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Let's put it out for comment.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Seems a good way to go Kristina... Yes

Michael Flemming:Not sure where right now but perhaps there is a relavent question Michael Flemming:section*

Alan Greenberg: I have to go to another meeting now. Bye.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): Thx for joining Alan

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):@CW - there are many live "single registrant" TLDs that offer greater security and control for end users. BNPParibas, for instance.

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Safeguarding users is a priority for these types of registries, where historically, users have been vulnerable to fraud, criminal activities and scams that have taken advantage of gTLD/ccTLD environments. Hope that helps.

Michael Flemming: Did Kristina have a second question we didn't address?

Annebeth Lange, WT5:@Christa: That could be a possibility. I know it is done by several registries for second level domains.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Correct. Did the WT consider extending that exemption? Should state one way or another so folks who have views can comment. (And I mean Affiliates as defined in Registry Agreement.)

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):"protection"

Rubens Kuhl:Protection instead of insurance

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):protection would work.

Emily Barabas: This subsection is actually something that was discussed in WT1

Rubens Kuhl:Notably because we are not talking about compensanting a financial loss.

Christa Taylor:No problem on changing the word...

Jim Prendergast: the problem is we talked about insurance in the deliberations so this report has to reflect that. Could add that there might be a need to change a word

Phil Buckingham: yes the insurance option is an alternative to EBERO service

Jim Prendergast:shared risk

Christa Taylor:+1 Jim

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Suggestion, perhaps explain the term "insurance" in the context of protection within this section.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): I don't. I just remember the community reaction to Paul McGrady's presentation at the Dakar meeting about the implications of ICANN providing "insurance." Understand Jim's point. If that's the word, I agree we shouldn't change it. Perhaps drop a clarifying footnote that's acceptable to the WT?

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):+1 Kristina

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): I wasn't involved in WT1 and definitely don't want to change their words (to avoid any confusion).

Rubens Kuhl:Even if the word used was one specific word, if it's factually wrong, we should change it. If it's just inadequate, then a substantive discussion might be needed.

Jim Prendergast:can I plant somehting for AOB - could we get an update on the timeline of this group between now and ICANN62, including publication of this interim report and any activity from WT5? Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Jim - will touch on WT5 on tomorrow's call in prep for ICANN62.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: In terms of the background, wasn't there a New gTLD Program Committee definition related to this issue? It had to do with registration being limited to applicant and its affiliates.

Rubens Kuhl:In this point we should note that there is no current policy/implementation... we need to pick one of the options, there is no default for this.

Jim Prendergast:Thanks Martin but not all who are part of the plenary are invovled in WT5. Maybe add WT5 update to the next call then?

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC): Thx jim, absolutely.

Jim Prendergast:only because its been called a gating issue so all of this is kind of depends on it Rubens Kuhl:Perhaps too neutral ? Not that leadership should decide, but the group should decide... Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):Comment/question w/r/t bullet 3 in subsection e: It would be helpful to identify the referenced potential conflict that the WT identified.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: AGree - the definition supplied by new gTLD Program Committee should be inlcuded and asked if the definition should be modified.

Jim Prendergast:would it be beneficial to ask the communit for other solutions instead of just limiting it to these 4 scenarios?

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry):@Jim: I would say so.

Rubens Kuhl:On implementing this, some PIC (Spec 11) clauses might be a better option than a taylored code of conduct.

Rubens Kuhl: If closed generics are allowed.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair) 2:And as with the other sections today can you all go over the deliberations section to ensure that we have not missed anything or a furthe question to ask ... As Homework :-)

Vanda Scartezini:CW totally agree. we need more clear info

Steve Chan:@Jim, at a minimum, instructions to that effect will be included in the preamble, so the suggestion that comments should not be limited to only options presented by the WT will apply to all sections. Does that help?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair) 2:Thanks everyone good progress today more in this section of course next week plus homework in deleiberations sections of todays parts covered from Sec 1.7...

Alexander.berlin:This will be abused! There will be TONS of CentralNic-like "registries": like us.com or de.com they will "lease" domains - and the "domain owner" won't own them! ZERO protections for the "registrants" (rather leasees).

Rubens Kuhl: It's not true that registries had to disclose services in 2012. They could.

Kristina Rosette (Amazon Registry): With apologies, I have to drop for a meeting that started 30 minutes ago. :-)

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair) 2: Thanks for staying Kristina

Jim Prendergast: yes steve but I think for this topic, because of the complexity, it might be worth adding in that section too

Steve Chan:Sure thing Jim

Sara Bockey:Need to drop for another call. Thanks all

Jim Prendergast:ok thanks

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@ Rubens - I think the AGB said that new services had to be disclosed in the application if known at the time.

Rubens Kuhl: The "if" is what makes the difference.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:RSEP was always avaiaable for new services offered later.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair) 2:Bye for now...

Annebeth Lange, WT5:Goodbye, I have to drop

Vanda Scartezini:thank you all

Martin Sutton (I prefer AC):Thx, goodbye

Alexander.berlin Mobile:Bye

Robin Gross:bye, thanks

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Bye aqll

Christopher Wilkinson:B'ye CW

Christopher Wilkinson: How can one evaluate the financial viabilkity of an application (if) the applicant does not know what services will be offered?