Attendance: (28 members)

Alberto Soto

Anne Aikman-Scalese

Annebeth Lange

Avri Doria

Bruna Martins dos Santos

Cheryl Langdon-Orr

Christa Taylor

Christopher Niemi

Christopher Wilkinson

Donna Austin, Council Liaison

Gemma Keegan

Heather Forrest

Jamie Baxter

Jeff Neuman

Jessica Hooper

Jim Prendergast

Justine Chew

Malgorzata Pek

Maureen Hilyard

Maxim Alzoba

Michael Casadevall

Michael Flemming

Raymond Zylstra

Robin Gross

Rubens Kuhl

Sara Bockey

Sophie Hey

Tom Dale

Apologies: Katrin Ohlmer, Martin Sutton, Karen Day, Greg Shatan, Alan Greenberg, Kristina Rosette

Staff: Steve Chan, Emily Barabas, Berry Cobb, Michelle DeSmyter

AC chat:

Michelle DeSmyter:Dear all, welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Tuesday, 11 September 2018 at 03:00 UTC.

Michelle DeSmyter:Agenda wiki page: https://community.icann.org/x/ZxhpBQ

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Hello All

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):Due to an early hour will not be able to use mic yet

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I have an update to my SOI

Jeff Neuman:ok....thanks Maxi. DO you want to type it in

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):I was elected as one of GNSO Councils from RySG (to be seated from the end of AGM in October)

Heather Forrest:Congratulations, Maxim

Rubens Kuhl:Welcome Maxim!

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Congratulations indeed Maxim

Michael Flemming:Congrats!

Robin Gross:Congrats, Maxim!

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:Congratulations, Maxim

Justine Chew:Congratulations, Maxim.

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):thanks

Donna Austin, Neustar & Council Liaison:my term is terminal ....

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair)::-)

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Hi Jeff. Just looking for confirmation that this is not a change to new measure of "qualitative consensus".

Michelle DeSmyter:yes
Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO- PDP Co-Chair):Thanks DOnna

Robin Gross:I think using liaisons doesn't change the analysis, its just help to understand where positions lie with more certainty. Better for groups to clarify their positions, rather than ask chairs to pick and choose sides for their group.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Working '

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:I agree with both Donna and Robin here.

Jim Prendergast:not really - thats better

Rubens Kuhl:I believe that determining consensus is a chairs-only call, so it doesn't even rely on the SubPro leadership, AFAIK. It also doesn't include appointed liasons.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: Working Group Guidelines basically state that the process of Consensus Call needs to be repeated until the group agrees on the level of consensus.

Annebeth Lange, co-lead ccNSO:@Jeff, that is the idea among the ccTLDs

Jim Prendergast:@Rubes - that may be the case which is why I think it would be beneficial for the co-chairs the lay out in an email how the consensus process will be conducted. Eliminates ambiguities is its an email that all have access to as opposed to a discussion at an odd hour of the day/night for some.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Guidelines call for sending out the Chairs' initial assessment of consensus to the

Anne Aikman-Scalese:WG and reworking until there is agreement in the group.

Rubens Kuhl:In drafting the comment on our Initial Report most if not all SGs/Cs/ACs are noting divergences among their membership. So most of them will already be aware that they are not monolithic beings.

Steve Chan:Document is unsynced

Michael Casadevall:COMMENT: I apologize if this has been discussed before, but is there a policy in place to handle removing a gTLD if it unexpectedly causes havoc on the greater ecosystem?

Rubens Kuhl:Michael, only for the first 2 years of delegation, and only if the havoc includes risk to human life.

Jeff Neuman:"Special Use" names

Rubens Kuhl:I forgot my microscope at the office and can't read it. ;-)

Steve Chan:Starting on column 7, you can see the initial findings that Cheryl will discuss

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Chart is not legible.

Jim Prendergast:On recommendation 3 - the board specifically directs the GNSO and by extension, this group to deal with it so even if we dont think its for thsi group, we still need to respond to the GNSO and the Board.

Jeff Neuman:@Anne, we understand it is not in Adobe, but the chart when we send it after the call wll be
Rubens Kuhl:Talking seriously, the zoom level can be set by each one, because the text is unsynced.

Rubens Kuhl:So at 200% zoom it's legible for me.

Steve Chan:Columns 1-6 are pulled directly from an ICANN Board briefing paper: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.icann.org_en_system_files_bm_briefing-2Dmaterials-2D2-2Dredacted-2D23jun18-2Den.pdf&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=qlG8Wou8wvzfn1788V5jUE2o4_-HyZmIicRGQfZRW2Y&s=dHTK7Oj7IdBky6oLYfBF9LzyKJ-00qm-5hoeTQnie7s&e=

Michael Casadevall:It's somewhat easier to read on full screen as at least it makes the anti-aliasing go away a bit

Jim Prendergast:its impossible to have a discussion on this since most cant view and have not had a chance to digets. Very difficult to do on the fly

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Just an introduction not to have substantive discussion, this is the opportunity for the next coming week plus to review the columns that specifically outline what we beleive we have done (or not) re the SAC 090 Recomendations

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION for consideration when received by email: If Board has formally adopted Recommendation 4 - no round should proceed until all name collision issues resolved - how do we, as Sub Pro have to treat the Board's adoption of this recommendation, which is not consistent with the preliminary recommendations in the Initial Report? QUESTION

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Jim et.al. we hope we have not missed much (if anythong) this is just an introduction of what your beong asked to look at
Rubens Kuhl:Board acceptance of advice means different things to different people. Board has adopted GAC Advice that I would rather considered as being rejected rather than adopted...

Jim Prendergast:thanks @Cheryl

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):SSAC Advice is advice wjat the Board does with it is still up to them, but our work obviously impinges on all this.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Rubens said it better than I thans Rubens ;-)

Rubens Kuhl:... so until an advice gets an actual implementation, it's not an actual Board decision.

Christopher Wilkinson:Hasn't there been a WT on collissions?

Emily Barabas:correct, 26 September is the deadline for public comments

Steve Chan:We do not have it ready to share on the screen at this moment...

Steve Chan:Sub Group A: Overarching Issues, Foundational Issues, Pre-Launch Activities

Steve Chan:Sub Group B: Application Submission, Application Processing, Application Evaluation/Criteria

Steve Chan:Sub Group C: Dispute Proceedings, String Contention Resolution, Contracting, Pre-delegaton, Post-delegation

Tom Dale:As the CCT Review Final report was deivered to the Board 2 days ago, how will it be handled by this PDP?

Michael Flemming:Don't worry Jim, I am still here.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: Will we have staff summary of comments before we commence work in the Sub Groups?

Jim Prendergast:@haha Michael

Rubens Kuhl:I can remember B, because I'm there. ;-)

Michael Flemming:last but not least ;)

Tom Dale:https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/cct-review/2018-September/002122.html

Rubens Kuhl:PDF link: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/cct-review/attachments/20180909/0695801f/CCTFinalReport-8September2018-0001.pdf
Justine Chew:<Question>@jJeff, do you foresee if a group small "l" liaison being expected to participate in all 3 subgroups?</Question>

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: Will all WG members participate in Consensus Call even if they did not participate in the Sub Group: Should "groups" within ICANN designate a liaison for each Sub grouP. Otherwise, it's hard to see how the liasison could be effective in all SubGroups.

Justine Chew:@Jeff, thanks!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:COMMENT: Suggest you ask for one liaison per SubGroup - otherwise extremely difficult for liaison to get meaningful understanding of public comment and pose question to the group

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, this strategy is an option that each group might decide to use or not... there are indeed advantages to that, but it requires more person-power. In ISPCP for instance it would be unworkable...

Anne Aikman-Scalese:@ Steve - can you please send the Sub Group description to the list so that we can present that to our SOs, ACs, and Cs?

Steve Chan:@Anne, thanks for the reminder. Added as an action item.

Steve Chan:Yes, unsynced

Rubens Kuhl:Conslidation Group A		Overarching Issues		1.2.1	Continuing Subsequent Procedures		Overarching Issues1.2.2	Predictability	Overarching Issues1.2.1	Community Engagement	Overarching Issues 1.2.2	Clarity of Application Process		Work Track 11.2.3	Applications Assessed in Rounds		Overarching Issues1.2.4	Different TLD Types	Overarching Issues1.2.5	Applications Submission Limits	Overarching Issues1.2.6	Accreditation Programs (e.g., RSP Pre-Approval) Work Track 1Foundational Issues		1.3.1	Competition, Consumer Choice and Consumer Trust	Work Track 11.3.2	Global Public Interest		Work Track 21.3.3	Applicant Freedom of Expression	Work Track 31.3.4	Universal Acceptance		Work Track 4Pre-Launch Activities		1.4.1	Applicant GuidebookWork Track 11.4.2	Communications	Work Track 11.4.3	Systems		Work Track 1

Rubens Kuhl:Conslidation Group B		Application Submission		1.5.1	Application Fees	Work Track 11.5.2	Variable Fees	Work Track 11.5.3	Application Submission Period	Work Track 11.5.4	Applicant Support	Work Track 11.5.5	Terms & Conditions	Work Track 2Application Processing		1.6.1	Application Queuing Work Track 1Application Evaluation/Criteria		1.7.1	Reserved Names	Work Track 21.7.1.1	IGO/INGO Protections	Work Track 21.7.1.2	Geographic Names	Work Track 51.7.2	Registrant Protections	Work Track 21.7.3	Closed Generics	Work Track 21.7.4	String Similarity	Work Track 31.7.5	IDNs	Work Track 41.7.6	Security and Stability	Work Track 41.7.7	Applicant Reviews: Technical/Operational, Financial and Registry Services	Work Track 41.7.8	Name Collisions	Work Track 4

 Rubens Kuhl:Consildation Group C			Dispute Proceedings		1.8.1	Objections	Work Track 31.8.2	Accountability Mechanisms	Work Track 3String Contention Resolution		1.9.1	Community Applications	Work Track 3Contracting		1.10.1	Base Registry Agreement	Work Track 21.10.2	Registrar Non-Discrimination / Registry/Registrar Standardization		Work Track 2Pre-Delegation		1.11.1	Registry System TestingSecurity and Stability	Work Track 4Post-Delegation		1.12.1	TLD Rollout	Work Track 21.12.2	Second-level Rights Protection Mechanisms		Work Track 21.12.3	Contractual Compliance	Work Track 2

 Michael Flemming:??

 Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):plain text table representation :)

 Rubens Kuhl:Jamie, I believe this is something the process for prioritizing community applications, in its implementation guidance, should define.

 Justine Chew:Perhaps we should provide a brief description of what happened in 2012 round to lay context for questions on letters of opposition?

 Jamie Baxter | dotgay:I agree Justine

 Rubens Kuhl:A Google Spreadsheet description of the consolidation groups division:

Rubens Kuhl:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1nBeV3lhiuh2Eq2TsGYZQLu3ZxVqpuvQlvNlr3mbbEX4_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=8_WhWIPqsLT6TmF1Zmyci866vcPSFO4VShFqESGe_5iHWGlBLwwwehFBfjrsjWv9&m=qlG8Wou8wvzfn1788V5jUE2o4_-HyZmIicRGQfZRW2Y&s=nzb61MmAvXpJ4uNOKMpsgChGDgLEgynPQmuDKjnW_fc&e=

Michael Casadevall:COMMENT: As a follow-up it should also be clear how letters of opposition are handled once received and influence decisions

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Happy to help illustrate the issue with letters of oppostion

Justine Chew:Yes, Michael.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Thanks Jamie

Donna Austin, Neustar & Council Liaison:Apologies all, I have to drop off the call.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Bye DOnna thanks for joining

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Just lost jeff

Michael Casadevall:Did it go silent for anyone else?

Rubens Kuhl:I lost audio, but could be just me.

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):have I lost audio?

Heather Forrest:I lost audio too

Christa Taylor:Jeff is silent

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):SIGH

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:i think we lost Jeff

Christopher Wilkinson:Lost sound.

Steve Chan:Confirmed, Jeff got disconnected

Justine Chew:We definitely lost Jeff off AC

Rubens Kuhl:And a few others got disconnected too, it seems.

Justine Chew:Can Steve step in while we get Jeff back?

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Please STeve

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair): I can't mujt the other call for some reason

Michael Casadevall:COMMENT: How do we handle if a joint venture unexpectedly terminates; can it/should it trigger a re-evaluation?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: By when is Leadership looking for input on these Supplemental Report sections and when would they be published for comment?QUESTION

Michael Casadevall:You got it Steve :)

Michael Casadevall:I'll send it to the list after the call.

Justine Chew:<Comment>: In the eg of SAS, if competing applications are allowed to amend their string selection, should that original string selected still be available for application in the next round or subsequently?</comment>

Christopher Wilkinson:If the JV was critical to financing the application, then a change should be re-evaluated.

Anne Aikman-Scalese: Thanks Jeff.

Emily Barabas:work plan: https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/90768940/SubPro%20Work%20Plan_24Aug2018.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1535148916000&api=v2

Michael Casadevall:Who do I speak to get invited?

Maxim Alzoba (FAITID):bye all

Cheryl Langdon-Orr (CLO PDP Co-Chair):Thanks everyone   Bye for now don't forget to give any input into the SAC90 table soreadsheet

Heather Forrest:Thanks Jeff and Cheryl

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Thank you Jeff and Cheryl and staff

Michael Casadevall:Thanks everyone; have a good day/evening/night :)

Michael Flemming:Thanks, Jeff.

Christa Taylor:thanks

Rubens Kuhl:Bye all!