

Attendance - 37 Members

Alberto Soto	Justine Chew
Alexander Schubert	Karen Bernstein
Anne Aikman-Scalese	Kathy Kleiman
Avri Doria	Kavouss Arasteh
Christopher Wilkinson	Martin Sutton
Cheryl Langdon-orr (CLO PDP Co Chair)	Maxim Alzoba
Christa Taylor	Olga Kyryliuk
Christopher Niemi	Phil Buckingham
Donna Austin, Neustar	Phil Marano
Flip Petillion GNSO Council Liaison	Rita Houkayem
Gemma Keegan - Neustar	Roger Carney
Gg Levine (NABP)	Rubens Kuhl
Greg Shatan	Sarah Langstone Verisign.
Jamie Baxter dotgay	Sophie Hey
Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair)	Susan Payne
Jessica Hooper	Taylor R.W. Bentley (Canada, GAC)
Jim Prendergast	Vaibhav Aggarwal
Judy Song - neustar	Vanda Scartezini

On audio only: Kristine Dorrain

Apologies: Katrin Ohlmer, Annebeth Lange, Elsa Saade, Olga Cavalli, Małgorzata Pek

Staff: Antonietta Mangiacotti, Julie Hedlund, Nanig Mehranian, Trang Nguyen, Berry Cobb, Steve Chan, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the New gTLD Subsequent Procedures Working Group call on Monday, 04 March 2019 at 20:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki: <https://community.icann.org/x/SYs2Bg>

Vanda Scartezini:hi everyone . testing my audio

Vanda Scartezini:thanks Julie for the feedback

Julie Bisland:my pleasure, Vanda

Jim Prendergast:I have an item for AOB - but cant raise my hand - Adobe it messed up

Alexander.berlin:Somehow the initiation rites of these calls are akin to the Apollo 11 launch the TENSION :D

Cheryl Langdon-orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):I am in Ac only today while I am in another call.. you're allocated my Left brain

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Hi all - i am in a location where I cannot speak. So if I have a comment, will have to put it in chat. Thank you, Anne

Julie Bisland:welcome, Cheryl! :)

Julie Bisland:noted, Anne, thank you!

Vanda Scartezini:good I have atlas 3 too

Vanda Scartezini:at top of hour

Maxim Alzoba:Hello All, I will drop at 50min to be prepared to GNSO Council call

Rubens Kuhl:Jim's sound is also with a lot of echo.

Phil Buckingham:Jim , there's lots of echo

Anne Aikman-Scalese:QUESTION: What was the impact in terms of timeline for second public comment period?

Steve Chan:I will investigate in a moment to see if the slides are already posted.

ch:Good evening eeurome. PS: <ch> is CW aka Christopher Wilkinson, for present purposes. Don't ask!
CW

Julie Bisland:welcome Christopher!

Anne Aikman-Scalese:I lost audio . Can I get a dial-out to 520-204-6882 please?

Julie Bisland:yes, stand by Anne

Steve Chan:You can find the slides on Item 6: <https://community.icann.org/x/u4E2Bg>

Anne Aikman-Scalese:thank you Julie

Steve Chan:As noted, please see tab 2.8.2 and column F here:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1MQmo1B6zBqGXyFRF2pKZXPhGmz0JfZhlAMxKIdVsT1g_edit-23gid-3D110404450&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=2b65ZbjhtmXodZDvg50hYsN8qcl4KJChUKJJN-rVrE&s=0madciSjzJpRW53GzQIYiy7ohw6D0U9bF8Bk1SG9KY&e=

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff

Kavouss Arasteh:JeffPls advise the date envisaged to go to public comments ?

Rubens Kuhl:The summaries intend to reflect, IMHO, what either has likelihood of being adopted or causing change in the report. And that might even come from a single comment from a single person, .

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff, pls advise the date envisaged to go to public comments ?

Kavouss Arasteh:Pls advise the date that we go to public comment

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff

Kavouss Arasteh:Jeff,Pls advise the date that we go to public comment

Jim Prendergast:When will we see the full list of tiems that will be discussed during these sessions so we can prep?

Susan Payne:will you circulate/have you circulated this doc currently in the window re the Kobe sessions

Kavouss Arasteh:could that link be e-mailed to me

Rubens Kuhl:Susan, you can click on the document an do a "Save As".

Rubens Kuhl:Right-upper corner (also known as Pod Options) contains the "Save As" option.

Julie Bisland:Mar-9 is correct

Martin Sutton:Jeff - would you like a WT5 update?

Rubens Kuhl:But the draft nature of the plan that Jeff mentioned applies.

Susan Payne:@Rubens - thanks!

Jeff Neuman (Overall Co-chair):thanks martin

Rubens Kuhl:I believe the Saturdar morning EPDP session was canceled.

Rubens Kuhl:Saturday

Martin Sutton:i think the epdp was delayed until 11am

Rubens Kuhl:At least the early morning part.

Martin Sutton:will check

Berry Cobb:EPDP session starts at 11:00 AM local time.

ch:What time UTC will be the March 9 WT% & PDPD sessions?

Berry Cobb:then continues through the day.

Donna Austin, Neustar:Folks will have to choose.

Martin Sutton:11am - https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_64.schedule.icann.org_meetings_961969&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=2b65ZbjhtmXodZDvg50hYsN8qcl4KJChUKJJN-rVrE&s=GzAbnnEHq6fRXWPsUAmtlqxeiYMif6qUeeLO0CP7Pzw&e=

Kavouss Arasteh:ok

Martin Sutton:that's the epdp meeting

Jim Prendergast:Follwoing up on my question - thanks for the answer Jeff about sending the list of topics for considering sometime tomorrow - could we also get any slides that will be used ahead of time so the group is not required to react on the fly? I think it will lead to a more informed sessio. thanks

Rubens Kuhl:And nobody will be jetlagged Saturday morning, right ?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:+1 Jim

Jim Prendergast:thanks

Anne Aikman-Scalese:what is meant by "reservation of strings? is that "Do not apply"

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, reservation usually applies to both high risk collision strings and policy-mandated reservations such as the names of RIRs.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:Did we get advice from Legal on status as requested with respect to those strings?

Rubens Kuhl:So it's good to mention, alongside reservation, to which type of exclusion from application is being discussed in an specific context.

Christopher Wilkinson:in the case of geographical names, I would expect the reservation of srings at the second level to be the most critical issue. CW

Rubens Kuhl:CW, when reservation is mentioned in this WG without any other qualifier it usually means in the top-level.

Rubens Kuhl:But there were discussions on second-level exclusions as well, just another qualifier to add when people are discussing a topic so they can talk the same language.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:As mentioned in prior meeting, we need to ask Legal about stauminr status. in addition its not in our chatrerres of those strings and what are limitations of our ability to dte

Anne Aikman-Scalese:In addtion, making policy re 2012 applications still pending is not in our charter. we can recommend for future rounds a policy re closing a round.

Rubens Kuhl:Anne, with a few minor exceptions I pointed in the mailing list, yes.

Anne Aikman-Scalese:have we asked Legal?

Anne Aikman-Scalese:i had made some What Jeff just stated is definitely policy as to 2012 applications

Jim Prendergast:I had same issue and did not have my speakers on- may have conference service issue. Also Adobe is not working properly for me. snakebit today.

Steve Chan:Here: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_docs.google.com_spreadsheets_d_1Ea-2DCjtL-2DheQjEwTsr7MYC-5F8gFEvmhY8XBCWTvoan6g_edit-23gid-3D2003620097&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3Pj6wrcrwl3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=2b65ZbjhtmXodZDvg50hYsN8qcl4KJChUKJJN-rVrE&s=iZyAGqigjGnWQxXOkIFufZ2tcQv-bJvbsxq0AmZ41fg&e=

Steve Chan:Tab 2.3

Rubens Kuhl:Jim, it's because of Brazilian Carnival. Everybody in Adobe is dancing. This solves itself Thursday.

Jim Prendergast:haha

Anne Aikman-Scalese:jeff said there was agreement that if an application is still not withdrawn from2012, no one can apply in the next round. That is definitely policy outside our charter. also i dont recall agreement on this.

Christopher Wilkinson:Two points: (a) in general, when we say that a question is 'out of scope' we MUST say when and where the question is IN SCOPE. (b) when a GEO name is delegated there will be a demand for reservation and regulated delegation of second level domains representing constituent entities. e.g. city names within a Regional Domain.

Anne Aikman-Scaese:As to geo names, Jeff's assertion is particularly problematic since there will be new policy.

Anne Aikman-Scaese:problematic as to strings applied for in 2012 - i.e. no new applications

Maxim Alzoba:@Christopher, demand for regulation is created by a particular city / region and we can not predict what is going to be there

Christopher Wilkinson:@Maxim We can predict it and should provide for it in the policy.

Maxim Alzoba:@Christopher, some items were quite surprising for GEOs (I mean ideas of some of particular local governments were quite contrary to other ideas of other local governments), so I do not know if these predictions can be done at all for all cities globally

Anne Aikman-Scaese:COMMENT Jeff is saying no new applications for strings applied for in 2012. this is problematic because policy on delegation will have changed and that is why we need to ask Legal what policy would apply to prior 2012 applications. COMMENT. if prior applicants not willing to meet new policies, do these applications remain a "block" to new applicants who ARE willing to meet new policy recommendations? COMMENT

Maxim Alzoba:bye all, have to drop for the GNSO Council call

Julie Bisland:thank you, Maxim

Christopher Wilkinson:@ Maxim No. If a GEO registry wishes to waive regulation of SLDs they would have to make that clear in the application.

Anne Aikman-Scaese:I am illustrating a point as to why that is problematic

Jamie Baxter | dotgay:Allowing public comment to run longer for community applicants (in the form of letters of opposition) and be accepted days before Community Priority Evaluations begin will simply encourage more gaming in subsequent rounds.

Susan Payne:But Anne, if an applicant applied under the 2012 rules and for some reason the application is not yet concluded (eg because someone else challenged it or because another applicant in the contention set is bringing an IRP over a decision they don't agree with) then why should they have to change their application 6+ years down the line?

Christopher Wilkinson:Lost sound

Rubens Kuhl:It would be real good if ICANN determined the fate of all 2012 applications before the next round. But not something we can dictate, I believe.

Justine Chew:I need to listen to the recording of this meeting to verify some things that Jeff said about ALAC's comment.

Cheryl Langdon-orr (CLO PDP Co Chair):bye 🙏🙏🙏

Anne Aikman-Scaese:Susan - I see what you mean and that may apply in some cases but if we have changed policy, there may be good reasons. In addition, that scenario you describe does not apply to everything on the list staff provided.

Vanda Scartezini:safe travels to all

Anne Aikman-Scaese:bye all