Your name: Rubens Kuhl

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Issue** | **Applicable text (please quote directly)** | **Number and name of applicable report section** | **Cannot live with rationale** | **Proposed changes (taking into account whether others would be able to live with them)** |
|  | The Working Group supports continuing to reserve as unavailable for registration those strings that are currently considered Reserved Names at the second level as of the publication date of this report and as required by future Consensus Policy. | 2.7.1  Reserved Names | Text would freeze 2nd level reserved names as they are today, not accounting for possible changes, as described in one rationale for this section that correctly foresees the list to be updated. | The Working Group supports subsequent procedures gTLDs continuing to follow the same schedule of reserved names at registration level(s) that 2012 gTLDs have to follow, which might change from time to time. |
|  | The Working Group further affirms that strings that were unavailable at the top level in the 2012 round should remain unavailable and that strings at the second level that are currently unavailable should remain unavailable | 2.7.1  Reserved Names | Text would freeze 2nd level reserved names as they are today, not accounting for possible changes, as described in one rationale for this section that correctly foresees the list to be updated. | The Working Group further affirms that strings that were unavailable at the top level in the 2012 round should remain unavailable and that strings at the second level that are unavailable in 2012 gTLDs at a given time should also be unavailable in subsequent procedures gTLDs at that moment. |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |