Approach: As detailed in [this proposal](https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/58730879/RDS-PDP-Proposed-Summary-Approach.pdf), several small teams have now been formed to collect, consolidate, concisely summarize, and then present inputs and information to help educate the full WG and inform finalization of the RDS PDP WG workplan. The small teams are:

[gnso-rds-pdp-purpose@icann.org](mailto:gnso-rds-pdp-purpose@icann.org) Coordinated by Susan >> **THIS SMALL TEAM <<**  
[gnso-rds-pdp-data@icann.org](mailto:gnso-rds-pdp-data@icann.org)  Coordinated by Michele  
[gnso-rds-pdp-privacy@icann.org](mailto:gnso-rds-pdp-privacy@icann.org)  Coordinated by David  
  
Method and Deliverable: Each team is has been tasked with focusing on one of the following charter questions, dialoging primarily via email over a period of ~2 weeks, to **concisely summarize available inputs and relevant background information**:  
  
**Users/Purposes:** Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why (for what purposes)?  
**Data Elements:** What data should be collected, stored, and disclosed?  
**Privacy:** What steps are needed to protect data and privacy?

Upon completion, each team is expected to present its summary to the full WG to help educate everyone about past work, available input documents, and other pertinent information relevant to each question, such as dependencies between questions which may need to be factored into the work plan.

Template: As these small teams are expected to summarize questions in parallel, the PDP WG leadership team agreed that it could be helpful to provide all teams with a common template to flesh out when producing their deliverables. The attached template is not intended to be limiting but rather to provide a starting point while focusing teams on expected minimum outputs. It is hoped that this template will help guide teams towards producing more consistent and timely deliverables and away from analysis and deliberation expected to occur at the full WG level after this preliminary task is completed and the work plan has been finalized. (Note that these small teams are NOT tasked with answering the questions; that will be done later by the full WG.)

**Question, as stated in the Charter:   
Users/Purposes:** Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why (for what purposes)?  
**Executive Summary of inputs relevant to this Question:***[A single paragraph highlighting the most helpful inputs that this small team found and summarized in its effort to educate the full WG to finalize its work plan and prepare to analyze this specific Question. Further description of each Question is available in this* [*mind map*](https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/58730879/RDS-PDP-Phase1-FundamentalQs-SubQs-MindMap-22March2016.pdf) *and the* [*WG charter*](https://community.icann.org/display/gTLDRDS/WG+Charter)*.]*

**Input Documents that the WG should at minimum consider when addressing this Question:***[Hyper-linked list of all key input documents for this Question, noting which of these documents were considered most helpful by the small team when creating the concise summary below. Small teams may identify both existing and additional inputs. However, to minimize duplication of effort, small teams should be sure to include the key inputs already posted on the WG's wiki:*

* *Purpose:* [*https://community.icann.org/x/YIxlAw*](https://community.icann.org/x/YIxlAw)
* *All:* [*https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=56986688*](https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=56986688)

*A starter list is provided below from the WG’s wiki to be reviewed and refined by this small team]*

* [WHOIS Task Force Final Report](https://archive.icann.org/en/gnso/whois-tf/report-19feb03.htm) (2007)
* [WHOIS Policy Review Team Final Report](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-11may12-en.pdf) (2012)
* [SAC055, WHOIS: Blind Men and an Elephant](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/sac-055-en.pdf) (September 2012)
* [GAC Communiqués](https://gacweb.icann.org/display/GACADV/WHOIS) regarding WHOIS (2007-2015), especially
  + [GAC Principles Regarding gTLD WHOIS Services](http://whois.icann.org/en/link/gac-principles-regarding-gtld-whois-services) (2007)
* *[Note: All Article 29 inputs identified thus far are listed below, but* ***this team may wish to focus on purpose aspects*** *since data protection inputs will be summarized by privacy team.]*
* Article 29 WP statement on the data protection impact of the ICANN RAA (2013-2014)  
  - <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/namazi-to-kohnstamm-25mar14-en.pdf>- <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-jeffrey-08jan14-en.pdf>- [https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/jeffrey-to-kohnstamm-20sep13-- en.pdf](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/jeffrey-to-kohnstamm-20sep13-en.pdf)<https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-crocker-chehade-06jun13-en.pdf>
* Article 29 WP comments on the data protection impact of the revision of the ICANN RAA concerning accuracy and data retention of WHOIS (2012)  
  - <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/correspondence/kohnstamm-to-crocker-atallah-26sep12-en.pdf>  
  - <https://www.icann.org/en/news/correspondence/chehade-to-kohnstamm-09oct12-en>
* Article 29 WP on ICANN Procedure for Handling WHOIS Conflicts with Privacy Law (2007)  
  - <http://gnso.icann.org/en/correspondence/cerf-to-schaar-24oct07.pdf>- <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/cerf-to-schaar-15mar07-en.pdf>  
  - <https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/schaar-to-cerf-12mar07.pdf>
* Article 29 WP on ICANN’s WHOIS Database Policy (2006)  
  - <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/schaar-to-cerf-22jun06-en.pdf>- <https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/lawson-to-cerf-22jun06.pdf>- <https://www.icann.org/en/correspondence/parisse-to-icann-22jun06.pdf>- <https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/fingleton-to-cerf-20jun06-en.pdf>
* Article 29 WP Opinion on the application of the data protection principles to WHOIS directories   
  [Article 29 WP 76 Opinion 2/2003](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/policies/privacy/docs/wpdocs/2003/wp76_en.pdf)
* Additional Article 29 WP documents that may be of interest to this PDP WG

- [Article 29 WP 5 Recommendation 2/97](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/1997/wp5_en.pdf)

- [Article 29 WP 33 Opinion 5/2000](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2000/wp33_en.pdf)

- [Article 29 WP 41](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2001/wp41_en.pdf) [Opinion 4/2001](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2001/wp41_en.pdf)

- [Article 29 WP 56 Working Document 5/2002](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2002/wp56_en.pdf)

- [Article 29 WP 217 Opinion 4/2014](http://ec.europa.eu/justice/data-protection/article-29/documentation/opinion-recommendation/files/2014/wp217_en.pdf)

* Council of Europe Declaration
  + [Declaration of the Committee of Ministers on ICANN, human rights and the rule of law](https://wcd.coe.int/ViewDoc.jsp?Ref=Decl%2803.06.2015%292) (3 June 2015)
* EDPS Correspondence regarding Registration Data
  + [Opinion of the European Data Protection Supervisor: Europe's role in shaping the future of Internet Governance](https://secure.edps.europa.eu/EDPSWEB/webdav/site/mySite/shared/Documents/Consultation/Opinions/2014/14-06-23_Internet_Governance_EN.pdf) (23 June 2014)
  + [ICANN's public consultation on 2013 RAA Data Retention Specification Data Elements and - Legitimate Purposes for Collection and Retention](https://secure.edps.europa.eu/EDPSWEB/webdav/site/mySite/shared/Documents/Consultation/Comments/2014/14-04-17_EDPS_letter_to_ICANN_EN.pdf) (17 April 2014)
* International Working Group on Data Protection in Telecommunications and Media Documents
  + [Common Position relating to Reverse Directories](https://datenschutz-berlin.de/attachments/176/rever_en.pdf?1201099194) (Hong Kong, 15.04.1998)
  + [Common Position on Privacy and Data Protection aspects of the Registration of Domain Names on the Internet](https://datenschutz-berlin.de/attachments/222/dns_en.pdf?1200656953) (Crete, 4./5.05.2000)
  + [Common Position on Privacy and Data Protection aspects of the Publication of Personal Data contained in publicly available documents on the Internet](https://datenschutz-berlin.de/attachments/220/pd_en.pdf?1201099774) (Crete, 4./5.05.2000)
  + [Common Position on Incorporation of telecommunications-specific principles in multilateral privacy agreements: Ten Commandments to protect Privacy in the Internet World](https://datenschutz-berlin.de/attachments/216/tc_en.pdf?1200658742) (Berlin, 13/14.09.2000)
  + [Common Position on data protection aspects in the Draft Convention on cyber-crime of the Council of Europe](https://datenschutz-berlin.de/attachments/218/cy_en.pdf?1200656876) (Berlin, 13/14.09.2000)
* [EWG Recommendations for a Next-Generation RDS](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/final-report-06jun14-en.pdf), especially
  + Section 3, Users and Purposes
  + Annex C, Example Use Cases
  + Annex A, Board Questions
* [EWG Tutorial](http://london50.icann.org/en/schedule/mon-ewg-final-overview/presentation-ewg-final-overview-23jun14-en.pdf) Pages 17-20, 37-41and [EWG FAQs](https://community.icann.org/display/EWG/EWG+FAQs) 9-12, 67
* Video FAQ “[Is my purpose supported by the RDS?](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YzPkxNNfDY4&list=UUl7rV9qJaQEx3GKhtSLx4QA)**”**
* Statements/Blogs by [Perrin](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/perrin-statement-24jun14-en.pdf) and [Samuels](http://www.circleid.com/posts/20141011_building_a_better_whois_for_the_individual_registrant/)
* [Process Framework](https://community.icann.org/display/gTLDRDS/Process+Framework) for a PDP on Next-Generation RDS, especially Page 9, Row 1

**Synopsis of Key Input Documents:***[For each document identified by the small team as most helpful, a single paragraph to help educate the full WG. For example, highlighting sections that this small team recommends every WG member read in order to better understand the Question and/or dependencies with between Questions. While this is NOT intended to limit the WG’s consideration of additional or future inputs, it should serve as an indexed a starting point for all WG members to familiarize themselves with each Question and its history.]*

**Concise Summary, drawn from Key Inputs above:***[After inventorying inputs, the bulk of the small team’s efforts will probably be devoted to this section. The team should try to concisely summarize what key inputs say with respect to this Question. Given the very large number of available inputs and viewpoints, small teams are urged not to delve into analysis and deliberation but rather to focus on delivering background information and education in this section, providing context to others less familiar with the Question so that all may more effectively prepare to reach informed conclusions about the work plan and what the WG is expected to tackle during Phase 1.]*

**Additional Information (if any):***[Any further information uncovered during its efforts that the small team may wish to share to inform work plan development, such as any dependencies on other charter questions that the team identified from the input, new relevant inputs underway but not yet available, tutorials that could be helpful, further inputs or expert analysis that may be needed at some point during the PDP, etc.]*