**Scott Hollenbeck – Where do old protocols go to die?**

SM-D#-01 RDS access protocol requires: Standardized command structures

SM-D#-02 RDS access protocol requires: Standardized output and error structures

SM-D#-03 RDS access protocol requires: Support for internationalization and localization

SM-D#-04 RDS access protocol requires: Support for user identification, authentication, and access control

SM-D#-05 WHOIS protocol requires: Registrars and registries must implement RDAP

**Carlton Samuels – Building a better WHOIS for the individual registrant**

GA-D#-01 “No anonymous public access to gTLD registration data.” (Gated access)

GA-D#-02 Access should be “limited to those with a need to know, and requestors who access data will be held accountable for proper use.”

CM-D#-01 Data use and collection notification upon registration. Registrants should have “more visibility into what their data is used for.” “The RDS would explicitly describe these and other permissible purposes when data is collected…”

CM-D#-02 Compliance with global data governance policies. “…uniformly enforce global policies intended to ensure personal privacy, comply with applicable data protection laws, safeguard data storage and transfer, authenticate users…and audit access to detect and enter any inappropriate use”

DA-D#-01 Registrants responsible for relevant personal/organisation data only (not the contact information of associated organisations such as ISPs, web hosting firms or registrars. “Registrants and their designated contacts can enter and update their data more easily.”

DA-D#-02 Increase data accuracy by validating contact upon registration and offering additional identity validation to deter identity fraud. “…perform basic validation of contact data…[and] optional identity validation”

DE-D#-01 Data minimization in collection and accessibility. “Make public the bare minimum dataset: domain name details, contact IDs for the registrant and designated contacts, and registrant’s own e-mail address. By default, all other contact data would be gated...Registrants and contacts can chose to make more data public but would not be required to do so.”

DE-D#-02 “Registrants will have more flexibility and control over what data is public”

SM-D#-01 Single RDS interface, not outsourced to registries, registrars, resellers or third-party systems. “Registrants will have one place to access their data to see what RDS users can learn about them”