

## RDS PDP WG Poll on Purpose - 10 January

During our 10 January call, the RDS PDP WG began deliberation on the following question/sub-question:

*2. Who should have access to gTLD registration data and why?*

*2.2.1 For what specific (legitimate) purposes should gTLD registration thin data elements be collected?*

As before, deliberation focused on purposes for “thin data” collection only, where “thin data elements” are WHOIS data elements required today, as listed in the [GNSO PDP on Thick WHOIS Final Report](#) page 10. Further information about purpose(s) identified thus far and related thin data elements and use cases can be found in this meeting handout: [10JanMeeting-PurposesForThinData-Handout-v2.pdf](#)

The following poll questions give all WG members an opportunity to confirm, reconsider, or elaborate upon points of agreement that surfaced during the 10 January call. This poll does NOT ask about and is not intended to imply any decisions about purposes for “thin data” authentication, disclosure, or access control; those will be deliberated upon later. **This poll will close at COB Saturday 14 January 2017.**

Note: A link to the most recently-opened RDS PDP WG poll, along with links to the last meeting’s notes/recordings and next meeting materials, can be found here: <http://tinyurl.com/ng-rds>

\* **Your name (must be a RDS PDP WG Member)**

**Q1) In 21 December call poll results, one respondent disagreed with Domain Name Control as a purpose for RDS/WHOIS collection of “thin data.” However, in the 10 January call, WG members expressed support for Domain Name Control as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Domain Name Control</b>	Creating, managing and monitoring a Registrant’s own domain name (DN), including creating the DN, updating information about the DN, transferring the DN, renewing the DN, deleting the DN, maintaining a DN portfolio, and detecting fraudulent use of the Registrant’s own contact information.	Domain Name Name Servers Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s RDS/WHOIS URL Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date RDS/WHOIS Last Updated Date

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Domain Name Control is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Domain Name Control is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q2) During the 10 January call, WG members on the call discussed the distinction between Domain Name Control and Technical Issue Resolution, finding that these purposes are sufficiently distinct to identify as a separate purposes for “thin data” collection, for now. WG members on the call expressed support for Technical Issue Resolution as a purpose for “thin data” collection; there were no objections.**

Purposes identified for Thin Data	Includes tasks such as... (Note: may involve more than thin data)	Related Thin Data Elements
<b>Technical Issue Resolution</b>	Working to resolve technical issues associated with domain name use, including email delivery issues, DNS resolution failures, and website functional issues, by contacting technical staff responsible for handling these issues.	Domain Name Name Servers Sponsoring Registrar Registrar’s RDS/WHOIS URL Registration Status(es) Registration Creation Date Registration Expiration Date RDS/WHOIS Last Updated Date

**Do you agree or disagree with this as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection?**

- a) Yes, Technical Issue Resolution is a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection.
- b) No, Technical Issue Resolution is NOT a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection. (Please provide rationale in the comment box below.)

Comment Box

**Q3) During the 10 January call, WG members on the call discussed Domain Name Certification as a purpose for “thin data” collection without reaching agreement; several WG members indicated that further discussion was necessary.**

**To facilitate continued deliberation on ALL of the other purposes identified thus far as potentially legitimate for “thin data” collection, understanding that your level of support may evolve during deliberation, please indicate your initial level of support below. WG members will have an opportunity to share their rationale as deliberation continues during the next WG call and/or on the WG email list.**

**Please indicate your initial level of support for each of the following as a legitimate purpose for “thin data” collection only. Refer to [10JanMeeting-PurposesForThinData-Handout-v2.pdf](#) for brief descriptions of each purpose.**

**Domain Name Certification**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Business Domain Name Purchase or Sale**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Academic/Public Internet DNS Research**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Regulatory and Contractual Enforcement**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Criminal Investigation & DNS Abuse Mitigation**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Legal Actions (e.g., IP Rights Enforcement, other Legitimate Investigative Purposes)**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

**Individual Internet Use (e.g., Consumer Protection/Mitigation, Consumer Trust/Verification)**

0 (No Support)                      5 (Neutral/Undecided)                      10 (Full Support)

Thanks for participating in this poll. Please click below to submit your responses.

Input gathered through this poll will be used as input to further WG deliberation on this charter question.