|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Recommendations 16 & 18: Evaluate Post Implementation Policy Impact & Effectiveness | |
| Strategic Alignment | |
| Part One – Which ICANN Objective does this meet | |
| Promote role clarity and establish mechanisms to increase trust within the ecosystem rooted in the public interest. See Strategic Plan, page 23 at: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/strategic-plan-2016-2020-10oct14-en.pdf. | |
| Alignment with Strategic Objectives | |
| **Goal** | Shared understanding by Board, staff and stakeholders of the allocation of responsibilities for design, development and implementation of policy and operational processes.  - Shared understanding of the roles, responsibilities and accountability of the Board, staff and stakeholders.  - Board, staff, and stakeholders use |
| **Project/Recommendation** | Recommendation 16: That a policy impact assessment (PIA) be included as a standard part of any policy process.  Recommendation 18: That the GNSO Council evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness on an ongoing basis (rather than periodically as stated in the current GNSO Operating Procedures); and that these evaluations are analyzed by the GNSO Council to monitor and improve the drafting and scope of future PDP Charters and facilitate the effectiveness of GNSO policy outcomes over time. |

|  |
| --- |
| Scope Description |
| Scope Statement |
| 1. Staff to indicate when the implementation of the DMPM recommendations is expected to be completed and whether any of these actions are included: 2. Develop an analytical framework for assessing policy impacts. 3. The GNSO Review Working Group to determine what should be measured and corresponding metrics. As part of this determination: 4. Staff to provide recent experience to review some policies that have been implemented. 5. Staff to provide the DMPM strawman to assist the community in identifying metrics that can be used to test policy effectiveness. 6. The GNSO Review Working Group to review the PDP Manual to determine what changes, if any, need to be made to make post-implementation policy effectiveness evaluation an ongoing rather than a periodic process and to include an assessment period at the start of the implementation process. 7. The GNSO Review Working Group to develop guidelines for how implementation of policies should be evaluated.   Upon completion of the above steps, the GNSO Review Working Group to determine whether this recommendation has been implemented. |
| **Out of Scope** |
| The above scope is sufficiently clear. |
| **Assumptions** |
| That the recommendations will require changes to the GNSO Operating Procedures. |
| **Deliverables** |
| Insert |

|  |
| --- |
| Option Analysis |
| None were considered or were necessary to be considered. |
| Solution |
| 1. Staff noted that recommendations 16 and 18 appear to be addressed in the Final Report of the Data and Metrics for Policy-Making (DMPM) Working Group DMPM Final Report: <http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/dmpm-final-09oct15-en.pdf>. On 21 October 2015 the GNSO Council passed a motion to approve the Data & Metrics Working Group Recommendations (non-PDP) as detailed in the Final Report at: http://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/dmpm-final-09oct15-en.pdf. See the motion at <https://community.icann.org/display/gnsocouncilmeetings/Motions+21+October+2015>. Some of the recommendations in the Final Report resulted in revisions to the GNSO Operating Procedures, Version 3.2 published on 17 February 2016 (<http://gnso.icann.org/en/council/annex-2-pdp-manual-16feb16-en.pdf>) as follows:    1. Recommendation 2 directs staff to update Annex 2 of the GNSO Operating Procedures, Policy Development Process Manual, Section 9, Outcomes and Processes, concerning early outreach in regards to audience scope and quantitative input;    2. Recommendation 3 directs staff to create and publish new templates of the Issue Report, Charter, and Final Report templates in Annex 1 of the GNSO Operating Procedures, Working Group Guidelines, Section 5, Products and Outputs;    3. Recommendation 6 directs staff to update Annex 2 Policy Development Process Manual, by adding a new Section 4.5 2 Metrics Request Decision Tree and Form;    4. and Recommendation 7 directs staff to import the Metrics Request Decision Tree found in Annex B and Metrics Request Form found in Annex C of the Final Report into Annex 1 Working Group Guidelines. 2. Staff reviewed the revised GNSO Operating Procedures v3.2 and the revisions detailed above appear to complete the implementation of the recommendations. 3. Staff hereby presents the results of the review to the Working Group. 4. The Working Group will determine whether the revisions constitute the implementation of the recommendations. |

|  |
| --- |
| Key Dependencies |
| 1. Approval the recommendations to be included GNSO Operating Procedures by the GNSO Council. 2. Publication of the revised GNSO Operating Procedures, which occurred on 17 February 2016. |

|  |
| --- |
| Risk Identification |
| Risk was identified as lack of approval by the GNSO Council. |
|  |

|  |
| --- |
| Key Performance Indicators |
| As the manager of the PDP GNSO Council is expected to ensure that its GNSO Operating Procedures are followed. |

|  |
| --- |
| Necessary to proceed |
| Next Phase Activities/Resources |
| None. |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Reviewers** | | |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Date Sent** |
| GNSO Review Working Group |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Approvers** | | | |
| **Name** | **Title** | **Approval Status** | **Date** |
| GNSO Review Working Group |  |  |  |
| GNSO Council |  |  |  |
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