RECOMMENDATIONS 18: EVALUATE POST IMPLEMENTATION POLICY IMPACT & EFFECTIVENESS
STRATEGIC ALIGNMENT

Part One – Which ICANN Objective does this meet

Promote role clarity and establish mechanisms to increase trust within the ecosystem rooted in the public interest. Also, evolve policy development and governance processes, structures and meetings to be more accountable, inclusive, efficient, effective and responsive. See Strategic Plan main web page at: https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/strategic-engagement-2013-10-10-en.

Alignment with Strategic Objectiv

Alignment with Strategic Objectives				
Goal	Shared understanding by Board, staff and stakeholders of the allocation of responsibilities for design, development and implementation of policy and operational processes. Shared understanding of the roles, responsibilities and accountability of the Board, staff and stakeholders. Board, staff, and stakeholders use best practices and exercises appropriate behavioral norms.			
Project/Recommendation	Recommendation 18: That the GNSO Council evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness on an ongoing basis (rather than periodically as stated in the current GNSO Operating Procedures); and that these evaluations are analyzed by the GNSO Council to monitor and improve the drafting and scope of future PDP Charters and facilitate the effectiveness of GNSO policy outcomes over time.			

SCOPE DESCRIPTION Scope Statement 1. Staff to review the policy review roles are outlined in GDD's Consensus Policy Implementation

- Framework at: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdd-consensus-policy-implementationframework-31may15-en.pdf.
- 2. Staff will review how the Expired Registry Recovery Policy (ERRP) review was conducted.
- The Working Group will consider how reviews of Consensus Policies could be included, and reviewed by the Working Group, followed by a determination of whether future reviews should remain periodic, or become ongoing.
- Upon completion of the above steps, the GNSO Review Working Group to determine whether this recommendation has been implemented.

Out of Scope

The above scope is sufficiently clear.

Assumptions
That the recommendations will require changes to the GNSO Operating Procedures.

Deliverable Insert

OPTION ANALYSIS

None were considered or were necessary to be considered.

SOLUTION		
 SOLUTION Staff notes that the Global Domains Division, along with the Policy and Compliance Departments of ICANN, have a role in in terms of reviewing the effectiveness of Consensus Policies beyond Consense Policy Effective Dates. These roles are outlined in GDD's Consensus Policy Implementation Framew at: https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/gdd-consensus-policy-implementation-framework: 31may15-en.pdf. Staff notes also that the Expired Registry Recovery Policy (ERRP) recommended a review of that pol No time frame was set. Staff is currently acquiring contractual compliance complaint data and othe data sources to begin the evaluation. The process will roughly follow: Collect appropriate data for review of policy; GDD, Compliance, Policy team input; External sources where possible; Analyze data to determine if intent of policy was met from original WG recommendations; Contruct a Policy Review document and share with GNSO Council for consideration; If additional policy work is required, the policy development process shall be invoked; Else, a review of the policy may occur again in the future. The WG could consider whether this recommendation could be revisited following the results of the ERRP Review as that is the first of the reviews to be performed. Staff review of the GDD Consensus Policy Implementation Framework notes that it appears to compt the implementation of the recommendations, except with respect to this statement: "That the GNS Council evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness on an ongoing [emphasis added] basis (rather than periodically as stated in the current GNSO Operating Procedures)". Staff notes that in accepting recommendation. 18 the Working Party assigned the implementation level of "m	e e cork cr e co co co co co co co co co co co co co	
4. Staff hereby presents the results of the review to the Working Group. Working Group Determination:		Deleted: <pre>c#>The Working Group agreed to move this recommendation into Phase II of the GNSO Review Implementation Plan</pre>
The Working Group determined that the GDD Consensus Policy Implementation Framework of 31 May 2013 completes the implementation of the recommendation that post implementation policy effectiveness evaluations are analyzed by the GNSO Council to monitor and improve the drafting and scope of future PDF Charters and facilitate the effectiveness of GNSO policy outcomes over time. The Working Group further determined that it is not feasible to evaluate post implementation policy effectiveness "on an ongoing basi (rather than periodically as stated in the current GNSO Operating Procedures) it is not feasible to implement this aspect of the recommendation.		
		Deleted:
Key Dependencies		
 Approval the recommendations to be included GNSO Operating Procedures by the GNSO Council. Publication of the revised GNSO Operating Procedures, which occurred on 17 February 2016. 		
RISK IDENTIFICATION Risk was identified as lack of approval by the GNSO Council.		

KEY PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

It is not clear to staff whether a KPI applies in the implementation of these recommendations.

NECESSARY TO PROCEED Next Phase Activities/Resources None.

Approvers			
Name	Title	Approval Status	Date
GNSO Review Working Group			

REVISION HISTORY					
Version	Description	Author			
V1	Original Draft	Julie Hedlund, Policy			
		Director			
<u>V2</u>	Modified with suggestion for Working Group	Julie Hedlund, Policy			
	determination.	Director			
	Version V1	Version Description V1 Original Draft V2 Modified with suggestion for Working Group			

Attachments, as applicable:

• None

Page 2: [1] Deleted

1.

Author

The Working Group agreed to move this recommendation into Phase II of the GNSO Review Implementation Plan.