

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon:Welcome to the GNSO Review Working Group meeting held on Thursday, 26 July 2018 at 13:00 UTC.

Rafik Dammak:hi all

Andrea Glandon:Welcome Rafik!

Kris Seeburn:hi rafik/jen

Sara Bockey:Good day everyone

Julie Hedlund:Hi everyone! We'll start in a few minutes.

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Hi, good afternoon!

Kris Seeburn:seems ok

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:no additions

Kris Seeburn:its ok

Kris Seeburn:part from these two changes ..it is ok...

Kris Seeburn:refik was oing to propose the motion

Rafik Dammak:ok

Rafik Dammak:I just need the latest version

Julie Hedlund:Noted Rafik and thanks!

Kris Seeburn:+1 wolf

Kris Seeburn:make sense

Kris Seeburn:great refik

Rafik Dammak:SCI

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Maybe an additional Res 5: The GNSO Council shall decide to disband the WG after the Final Report has been approved by the ICANN Board.???

Kris Seeburn:ok i think we leave it to the council and wait and see...

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Charter: The GNSO Review Working Group will also be responsible for considering any new requests¹ by the GNSO Council concerning issues related to the GNSO Council processes and procedures and to Working Group guidelines that have been identified either by the GNSO Council, or a group chartered by the GNSO Council, as needing discussion. However, the first priority of the Working Group will be the development of an implementation plan and the subsequent implementation so any such¹ For items that are submitted for review 'on request', the GNSO Review WG expects to receive detailed input from the group affected by the process/operational change concerned. See request template.~ 2 ~requests should be reviewed to determine urgency and/or linkage with the GNSO review recommendations to determine the appropriate timing for dealing with such requests.

Kris Seeburn:makes sense ..

Kris Seeburn:Rafik woud need to jot down the points and act

Kris Seeburn:Julie i think will help rafik i guess

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:yes

Kris Seeburn:yes support

Kris Seeburn:i gueses the important part would be an update to the group....by staff

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:I thought the next GNSO review is going to start 2019, 5 years after the last one.

Julie Hedlund:@Wolf-Ulrich: That's a good point. I'll check that with Donna.

Rafik Dammak:there is time to be allowed to see how implementation work and that should be factored in the timeline

Rafik Dammak:@Wolf some recommendations concerns SG/C directly, staff cannot make implementation plan on that area

Kris Seeburn:fully agree

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:@Rafik: Agreed. So it could be distinguished between different types of work; more staff related vs more community related

Pascal Bekono:Concerning the question "What if, anything, could be done to make the process more efficient and effective?". I would like to know if there are mechanisms which have been used in the past, so a similar efficient approach could be implemented

Kris Seeburn:i think points to be noted and have in hindsight and we see how things move...

Kris Seeburn:both wof and rafik makes sense...and understand the challenge

Kris Seeburn:to make it simple we just need to ensure the recommendations are taken forward and see

Kris Seeburn:i guess wait

Rafik Dammak:old hand

Rafik Dammak:I dont think we need a call, we can discuss in the list

Kris Seeburn:yes agree with rafik

Kris Seeburn:i am fine with the proposal

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:yes, let's wait.

Sara Bockey:Hold off and schedule as needed works

Sara Bockey:thanks all :)

Rafik Dammak:thanks all, almost almost done

Kris Seeburn:thanks as well

Wolf-Ulrich Knoblen:Thanks all and bye! And special thanks to Jen for your 4-year term!

Kris Seeburn:+ 1 to all