TABLES FOR THE RPM SUNRISE & TRADEMARK CLAIMS DATA REQUESTS APPROVED BY THE GNSO COUNCIL

Prepared for RPM Data Sub Team Use by ICANN Staff – 09 February 2018

TABLE 1: SURVEYS OF VARIOUS TARGET GROUPS

1. Survey of New gTLD Registry Operators (RO) **Purpose & Scope Relevant Charter Question** Sub Team's Suggested Draft Questions, Notes & Additional Guidance **Anecdotal Questions Data Questions** Survey Introduction: This question is a subjective one that can only be answered by trademark holders. Some information that might contribute to a greater understanding of this question: Does Registry Sunrise or • [can ask, but likely won't get • Did/do you view the Sunrise Obtain anecdotal evidence • to facilitate Working Group Premium Name pricing period as providing a valuable answered] Did you receive any review of Sunrise Charter practices unfairly limit the complaints on behalf of brand service? Question #2 (whether ability of trademark owners • Was Sunrise participation owners/registrants about your Sunrise and/or Premium to participate during something that you Sunrise pricing, including premium Pricing affects trademark Sunrise? encouraged? Was it part of pricing that applied during (TM) holders' ability to • If so, how extensive is this your strategy/how did you Sunrise? • Did you operate a formal (or participate in Sunrise) problem? market it? o If yes, what practices or informal) premium pricing policies did you implement challenge process for brand to encourage Sunrise owners? Did ROs registrations? offer/accommodate them? o If no, why not? • Will you provide your standard 0 Regardless of your answer Sunrise pricing compared to above, do you have GA? What about your premium

Comment [2]: _Accepted suggestion_

Deleted: 27 November

Deleted: 7

		 suggestions for other policies that would have made Sunrise more effective and balanced in protecting brand owners' rights in your TLD(s). What are they? Why do you suggest them? If you have received complaints on behalf of brand owners/registrants about your Sunrise pricing, please share any steps you took to resolve the complaint and how those steps were received. If you offered premium pricing (during Sunrise, for names in the TMCH), how did that work? What steps did you take to avoid overlap between premium pricing and Sunrise Registrations? If so, how did that work? In your opinion, what does 	 pricing? Did you offer premium pricing (during Sunrise, for names in the TMCH)? How many Sunrise registrations did you process? Please provide your standard Sunrise pricing, standard general availability pricing, and premium pricing. 	Comment [4]: Also, purpose statement is not neutral. Deleted: Purpose of the RPMs is to protect trademark rights. If you did not participate, did you think RPMs were not protecting trademark owners? Comment [8]: In creating your reserved names lists, how did you deal with trademarked terms? Comment [9]: move to anecdotal Deleted: <#>Did you reserve domain names that you
		 effectiveness' mean for RPMs? Should Sunrise and Claims be both required or be alternative options? 		knew were trademarks?[1] Formatted: Font:(Default) Noto Sans Symbols, (Asian) Noto Sans Symbols, 10 pt Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.41" Comment [5]: Without a definition this is not helpful.
Obtain anecdotal evidence to facilitate Working Group review of Sunrise Charter Question #4 (whether	 Are Registry Operator reserved names practices unfairly limiting participation in Sunrise by 	 In creating your Reserved Names lists, how did you deal with trademarked terms? If you reserve names for 	 Did you check to see if your reserved names list included trademarked names? 	Comment [5]: Without a definition this is not neiphil. The surveyor could define "trademarked" but we have to deal with the issue of marks like Apple somehow. Comment [6]: An alternative question suggested: Did you employ any mechanism in selecting reserved names to exempt terms that were trademarked? (might be problematic legally)

registry use of Reserved Names lists affects TM holders' ability to participate in Sunrise)	 trademark holders? Should Section 1.3.3 of Specification 1 of the Registry Agreement be modified to address these concerns? Should Registry Operators be required to publish their reserved names lists what Registry concerns would be raised by that publication, and what problem(s) would it solve? Should Registries be required to provide Trademark Owners in the TMCH notice, and the opportunity to register the domain name should the Registry release it - what Registry concerns would be raised by this requirement? 	 political or legal reasons specific to your jurisdiction, how did you select these terms? Would you support an ICANN policy (such as through a modification to Section 1.3.3 of Spec 1 of the RA) that required ROs to publish their reserved names lists? Why or why not? Specifically would such publication violate any local laws? Should domain names on the reserved list that match entries in the TMCH, be offered first to brand owners? Why or why not? Alternatively, should RO's notify brand owners when a reserved name matching a TMCH entry is sold to a 3P (even if the Claims period is over)? Why or why not? 	 Did you reserve names for political or legal reasons specific to your jurisdiction? Are they blocked or can the names be released to certain parties? How many names are in this category? 	
Obtain anecdotal evidence to facilitate Working Group review of Sunrise Charter Question #5 (whether there should be mandatory/optional Sunrise, and the efficacy of a 30-day mandatory minimum Sunrise period)	 (a) Does the current 30-day minimum for a Sunrise Period serve its intended purpose, particularly in view of the fact that many registry operators actually ran a 60-day Sunrise Period? Are there any unintended results? 	 If you did not run any Sunrise period for longer than 30 days, why not? If you run any Sunrise period for longer than 30 days: What were the benefits (to the registry or to brand owners)? What were the 	 Did you run any Sunrise period for longer than 30 days? If so, how many days? When did you get the bulk of your registrations? Did you have a lot of queries regarding the Sunrise registration? How many Sunrise registrations did you process? 	Comment [10]: General comments on these questions: * Some of these questions will need threshold questions to be answered first. * Need to give direction to the survey provider. * Could the survey provider do phone surveys? The provider should suggest the appropriate vehicle to carry out the surveys.

 Does the ability of Registry Operators to expand their Sunrise Periods create uniformity concerns that should be addressed by this WG? Are there any benefits observed when the Sunrise Period is extended beyond 30 days? Are there any disadvantages? (b) In light of evidence gathered above, should the Sunrise Period continue to be mandatory or become optional? Should the WG consider returning to the original recommendations from the IRT and STI of Sunrise Period OR Trademark Claims in light of other concerns including freedom of expression and fair use? In considering mandatory vs optional, should Registry Operators be allowed to choose between Sunrise and Claims (that is, make ONE mandatory)? 	 registrants). Do you think there would have been more registrations in a 60- day Sunrise period? Do you think the 30-day minimum Sunrise period is effective in preventing cybersquatting? Why or why not? What suggestions do you have for improving participation or preventing cybersquatting? If Sunrise was not mandatory, but the TMCH was still available, would you voluntarily offer Sunrise? IF so, would you make any changes to the ICANN-mandated policy? If not, why not? 	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.09"
--	---	--------------------------------

Obtain anecdotal evidence to facilitate Working Group review of Sunrise Charter Question #12 (whether there is a need for priority or special rules for specialized gTLDs)	 Should Sunrise Registrations have priority over other registrations under specialized gTLDs? Should there be a different rule for some registries, such as specialized gTLDs (e.g. community or geo TLDs), based on their published registration/eligibility policies? Examples include POLICE.PARIS and POLICE.NYC for geo-TLDs, and WINDOWS.CONSTRUCTION for specialized gTLDs. 	 Should there be special rules to give precedence to certain groups? If you have a restricted-use TLD, then(ask follow up anecdotal questions) If any registry that you operate has registration eligibility restrictions, have you had to balance those restrictions against Sunrise requirements? If so, what have you done to accommodate both? What difficulties did you encounter? How could the ICANN brand protection policies like Sunrise or Claims be altered to better accommodate restricted TLDs (like Community or GeoTLDs)? 	 Is your TLD a Restricted TLD? How many of your TLDs were community, geo, restricted by eligibility terms, etc? 	Deleted: New data question: h Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.16", Don't add space between paragraphs of the same style, Outline numbered + Level: 1 + Numbering Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5" Style: Bullet + Aligned at: 0.25" + Indent at: 0.5" Comment [11]: add description of TLDs restricted by eligibility terms. Comment [12]: Include definitions for survey providers.
If a RO ran an Approved Launch Program (ALP), Qualified Launch Program (QLP) and/or Limited Registration Period (LRP) – obtain feedback on whether, and if so what aspects of, the programs should be reviewed (Sunrise Charter Question #8)	 Are Limited Registration Periods in need of review vis a vis the Sunrise Period? Approved Launch Programs? Qualified Launch programs? Are the ALP and QLP periods in need of review? What aspects of the LRP are in need of review? 	 Did you encounter any unanticipated startup issues with these programs - specifically, what barriers (if any) did you encounter as you rolled out Limited Registration Periods? Approved Launch Programs and Qualified Launch Programs? How (if at all) did your LRP, QLP or ALP interact with the Sunrise Period? Please 	 Did you offer any Approved Launch, Qualified Launch, Limited Registration, or Founder's periods (or any similar pre-GA program that limited participants? [If no, stop here.] Which did you launch? Add a new comment for each. 	Deleted: c

		 provide some examples. How were you able to reconcile your plans for ALP, LRP and QLP with the ICANN requirements to offer Sunrise and Claims? Explain as specifically as possible. What suggestions do you have for future New gTLD roll-outs? What rules, if any, would you recommend for resolving these issues that you have raised above? How could pre-General Availability periods be made more accessible and successful? 	
If a RO offered an Internationalized Domain Name (IDN) gTLD – obtain feedback on the efficacy of Sunrise for IDN gTLDs (Sunrise Charter Question #11)	 How effectively can trademark holders who use non-English scripts/languages able to participate in Sunrise (including IDN Sunrises), and should any of them be further "internationalized" (such as in terms of service providers, languages served)? 		 Are you operating an IDN TLD? Are you offering second level domains in any IDN script? [If no to both, skip] Did you receive any Sunrise registrations in any of your supported SLD IDN languages? If so, what percentage of your Sunrise registrations were for IDN domains? Did you receive inquiries about Sunrise registrations for IDN domain names that you didn't support? Did you hear from brand owners in the areas targeted by your IDN who did not understand how to participate in Sunrise or the

			 TMCH? Did you offer any special registration periods for IDN domain names apart from the TMCH/Sunrise period?
If a RO operates in a jurisdiction where profane or other words (strings) are prohibited – obtain feedback on its use of Reserved Names lists (Sunrise Charter Question #4)	 Are Registry Operator reserved names practices unfairly limiting participation in Sunrise by trademark holders? Should Section 1.3.3 of Specification 1 of the Registry Agreement be modified to address these concerns? Should Registry Operators be required to publish their reserved names lists - - what Registry concerns would be raised by that publication, and what problem(s) would it solve? Should Registries be required to provide Trademark Owners in the TMCH notice, and the opportunity to register the domain name should the Registry release it – what Registry concerns would be raised by this requirement? 	MERGED WITH THE SIMILAR QUESTION ABOVE.	MERGED WITH THE SIMILAR QUESTION ABOVE.

Obtain feedback from ROs who may believe that their business models (e.g. geo, community or other specialized TLDs) possess attributes that warrant a non-uniform policy in relation to Claims (Claims Charter Question #5)	 Should the Trademark Claims period continue to be uniform for all types of gTLDs in subsequent rounds? 	 If you offered an extended Claims period, why? Do you believe the Claims period was effective for preventing cybersquatting? Why or why not? If ICANN did not mandate a Claims period, but the TMCH still existed, would you voluntarily offer one? If so, what would you do same/different? If you run a registry that has an eligibility-restricted TLD, or that offered LRP(s), a QLP, and ALP or other Founders-type program, were there any aspects of the Claims service that didn't work specifically for those TLDs/periods? What aspects? What changes would you make to better align these periods with the Claims service? 	 Did you offer an extended Claims period? If so, for how long?
---	--	--	---

		1			
Purpose & Scope	Relevant Charter Question	Sub Team's Suggested Draft Questio	ns, Notes & Additional Guidance		
		Anecdotal Questions	Data Questions		
		be answered by trademark holders. R of information to inform this, althoug	h 4 is a subjective one that can only really egistrars may not be the primary source h they may be able to contribute to the have had feedback from their customers. to understand:	\leq	Comment [13]: This comment referred to Q4 rather than all of the Registrar questions Deleted: This question Comment [20]: This question was originally draft for registry operators. Sub Team feedback suggested to move this question for registrars. The exact placeme
Obtain anecdotal evidence to facilitate Working Group review of Sunrise Charter Questions #4 & #5 (i.e. ROs' use of Reserved Names lists; mandatory vs. optional Sunrise; efficacy of mandatory minimum 30-day Sunrise period)	 Question 4: Are Registry Operator reserved names practices unfairly limiting participation in Sunrise by trademark holders? Should Section 1.3.3 of Specification 1 of the 	 If you did not participate in Sunrise, why? Have you had feedback from your customers regarding their experiences with registry reserved names in the context of that registry's Sunrise – positive or_negative (for example, regarding names matching a 	 Did you participate in Sunrise? What percentage of registries publish a list of reserved names on their website, provide a list to their accredited registrar, confirm that a name is reserved (either unavailable, or available at a premium price) only once you try to register? Other? 		of the question may need to be adjusted. Comment [21]: Suggested on the last call that we sw the order of Charter Qs 4 and 5. Then the "do you participate in Sunrise" and "if not why not" questions could stay here at the start of the registrar section Charter Q 5. Comment [22]: If the answer to this is no; go to the "ir not why not" question and then on to the next section questions (which would now be the ones relating to Charter Q4
Sumse penou,	Registry Agreement be modified to address these concerns? • Should Registry	 trade mark being unavailable for registration or only available at a premium price) How do you get notified of 	How far in advance are reserved names notified to you?		Deleted: [Deleted:] Comment [14]: Examples: Brand owners that couldn get their brand due to reserved names list OR registrants that didn't get a name they wanted becau
	Operators be required to publish their reserved names lists what Registry concerns would be raised by that publication, and what problem(s) would it solve?	 registry reserved names? Do you have experience that the advance notice is either adequate or inadequate? Do you have any comments on the proposal that registries should publish their lists of reserved names? 			the names was "protectively reserved" for a brand. Deleted: f Deleted: When name collision names were released fro reservation, trademark owners with a mark in the TMCI were given a right of first refusal. What was your experience of this, what went well? Were there any technical or other issues?Do you have any experience o this, if so, what went well? Were there any technical or other issues?

required to provide	 Some in the Community have 			Deleted:
Trademark Owners in	suggested that if a registry plans			Deleted: f
the TMCH notice, and	to release reserved names for			
the opportunity to	registration they should be			Deleted: were to
register the domain	offered first to trademark			Deleted: y
name should the	owners with a mark in the			Deleted: , what would be the best way to do this from
Registry release it –	TMCH. What would be the			your perspective as a registrar? A second
what Registrar_concerns	challenges, if any, to doing so,		1	Deleted: ?
would be raised by this	from a technical, operational or		= 1/	Deleted: A
requirement?	other perspective? Would there		- 11/	
	be a way to do this which would			Deleted: ?
	be less problemmatic? or more			Comment [15]: Combine these two questions in one.
	so? Consider for example			Comment [16]: Rewrite this questions:
	multiple Sunrises, a right of first		1/	Should registry reserved names be offered first to
	refusal, or some other process. If		./ //	trademark owners with a mark in the TMCH? What
	you have positive or negative			would be the best way to do this? What, if any,
	experiences from the process			technical issues need to be taken into account?
	when names collision names		1 /	Deleted: What of any concerns would this raise for you as
	were released from reservation		1	a registrar?
	that you can share to illustrate		1/ /	Comment [18]: I don't disagree with the question, but I think as redrafted the above bullet point now captures
	your response please do so.			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	•			Comment [19]: If the answer to this is no; go to the "if not why not" question and then on to the next section fo
Ouestion 5:	• From your experience as a	• Did you encounter a Sunrise longer		questions (which would now be the ones relating to
(a) Does the current 30-day	registrar: Are there any benefits	than 30 or 60 days?	-7 X	Charter Q4
minimum for a Sunrise	or disadvantages to a Sunrise	What notice [maximum & minimum]		Deleted: What would be the consequences to you if the registry were to release the reserved name?
Period serve its intended	which is 30 days (start date	/ on average] do you generally get		
purpose, particularly in view	Sunrise); are there any	of the commencement of a start		Formatted: Font:10.5 pt, Highlight
of the fact that many	advantages and disadvantages	date Sunrise? And an end-date		Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
registry operators actually	to a 60-day (end date) Sunrise?	Sunrise?		Comment [23]: Should place this section of questions above Question 4
ran a 60-day Sunrise Period?	Does having two models make it	 Have you experienced the duration 	···· \	Formatted: Font:10.5 pt, Highlight
Are there any	difficult for you?	of a Sunrise being extended when		Formatted: Font:10.5 pt, Highlight
unintended results?	Do you consider the notice that	already underway. How much	and the second second	
 Does the ability of 	you get of Sunrise	notice did you get?		Comment [24]: Split this question into multiple
l				Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt

Registry Operators to expand their Sunrise	<u>commencement to be</u> adequate? If not why not?, What	Deleted: <#>Do you get adequate notice of the commencement of Sunrises?
Periods create uniformity concerns	would be adequate notice?Do you consider that the notice	Deleted: If you do not get adequate notice of the commencement of Sunrises, w
that should be	<u>that you get of</u>	Deleted: If you do not get adequate notice regarding
addressed by this WG?	changes/extension of the	
Are there any benefits	Sunrise term is adequate? If not	
observed when the	why not? What would be	Deleted: , w
Sunrise Period is	adequate notice?	
extended beyond 30	Would there be any benefits, or	
days?	disadvantages, to all registries	
Are there any	running the same standardized- term Sunrise?	
disadvantages?		
(b) In light of evidence	What would be the advantages and disadvantages of making	
gathered above, should the	only the Claims or the Sunrise	
Sunrise Period continue to	mandatory. If a registry could	
be mandatory or become	choose only one, what would be	
optional?	the advantages and	
 Should the WG consider 	disadvantages for you as a	
returning to the original	registrar?	
recommendations from	Would there be an alternative	Formatted: Font:10.5 pt
the IRT and STI of	duration of Sunrise to either of	Comment [25]: We discussed this on the call, and this
Sunrise Period OR	the current 30 and 60 day options	seems the appropriate place to capture this
Trademark Claims in	which would work better for you	Formatted: Font:10.5 pt
light of other concerns	and why?	Formatted: Font:10.5 pt
including freedom of		
expression and fair use?		
In considering		
mandatory vs optional,		
should Registry		
Operators be allowed to		
choose between Sunrise		
and Claims (that is,		
·	÷	

	make ONE mandatory)?			
Specific survey questions for Claims Charter Question #1: 1.What is the abandonment rate associated with reasons other than only a Claims notice being triggered? What is the difference between abandonment rates between those that trigger Claims Notices, and those that don't?	Is the Trademark Claims service having its intended effect? Consider the following questions specifically in the context both of a Claims Notice as well as a Notice of Registered Name: a. Is the Trademark Claims service having its intended effect of deterring bad-faith registrations and providing notice to		 Do you have any records of the "abandonment rate" (i.e., domain name applicants who request the registration of a particular domain but do not go through to complete the payment)? If so, what are the rates of abandonment for legacy TLDs and ccTLDs? What is the abandonment rate for a New gTLD during the Claims period – both for names which receive Claims Notices and those which do not? And after the Claims period? 	Deleted: n
2. Is there anecdotal data explaining why potential registrants did not complete registrations?	 b. Is the Trademark claims service having any unintended consequences, such as deterring good-faith domain name applications? NOTE: "follow on" question for Claims Charter Question #1, - If the answers to 1.a. is "no" or 1.b. is "yes", or if it could be better: 	 Do you capture any feedback from registrants as to why they do not complete a purchase on receipt of a Claims Notice? If yes, what are the feedback? Do you have any views of your own as to why registrants do not complete a purchase? Some in the Community think that the duration of the Claims period should be changed. If the Claims period were to be extended, would there be any technical, operational or other 		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.32" Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt, Font color: Gray-80%, Highlight

3. At what point in the registration process is a trademark record downloaded? Does this happen when domain names are placed in carts, or does it happen when payment/attempted registrations are done later in the process?	 What about the Trademark Claims Notice and/or the Notice of Registered Name should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order for it to have its intended effect, under each of the following questions? a. Should the Claims period be extended - if so, for how long (up to permanently)? b. Should the Claims period be shortened? c. Should the Claims period be mandatory? d. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM and if so, which ones and why? e. Should the proof of use requirements for Sunrise be extended to 	 concerns? If the Claims period were reduced would there be any technical, operational or other concerns? If you have experiences in relation to Registries which operated an extended Claims period which would illustrate your answer please share them At what point in the registration process is a trademark record downloaded? Does this happen when domain names are placed in carts, or does it happen when payment/attempted registrations are done later in the process? Do you collect any feedback from your customers regarding their understanding of the trademark Claims Notice? Is there any particular wording which is generally well understood, or misunderstood? 			Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt, Font color: Gray-80%, Highlight Deleted: n Deleted: <#>If you offer(ed) pre-order registration for
4. Many registrars take orders for domain names before general availability –	include the issuance of TMCH notices?	• What, if any, challenges do you encounter when sending	Do you/Did you offer pre-order, for new gTLD domain names before the launch of GA?		Deleted: <#>If you offer(ed) pre-order registration for new gTLD domain names before the launch of GA, when was the Claims Nnotice submitted to the customer? - Deleted: registration
pre-orders do not normally		<u>Claims Notices in respect of</u>	 If you offer(ed) pre-order 	•	Formatted: Font:12 pt, Font color: Black
result in Claims Notices		pre-order names or other operations?	registration for new gTLD domain		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.14"
being presented until within		Were there any particular	names before the launch of GA,		Deleted: n
48 hours of general		TLDs or types of TLDs where	when was the Claims Notice		Formatted: Font:12 pt, Font color: Black
<mark>availability – does this</mark>			submitted to the customer?		Comment [30]: Move this to bullet 2 in the Data/Fact column

contribute to the abandonment rate? If so, to what extent are pre-ordered domain name registrations abandoned?	•	the operation of the Claims was technically or operationally difficult, or where Claims was otherwise problematic or unnecessary? Please explain. What aspects of the Trademark Claims RPMs conflicted with your domain names sales/operations? Is the way the claims period described in the RPM too prescriptive?	 If you capture data about "abandonment rates" what is the rate for domain pre-orders compared to domains which were not pre-ordered? 	Comment [27]: Ask questions along this line: *Should domain name applicants receive Claims Notice only: - at the time they apply for the domain name - at the time their domain name is registered? Please explain your answer. *Is the timing of receiving Claims Notice - very important - somewhat important - not important? Comment [28]: Disagree with this suggestion. This is not a question for registrars and elicits only opinion.
5. Would it be feasible for registrars to run surveys of domain name applicants	t	Given the registration process that you operate, would it be feasible for you and/or your		We have asked for the relevant information elsewhere in terms of what feedback if any registrars have from their customers; and about issues arising from pre- order names
during subsequent rounds of new gTLDs for anecdotal evidence on why registrations are being abandoned? Is this something ICANN should mandate?	r a v r a v v v v v v v v v v v v v v v	resellers to run surveys of domain name applicants who decide not to proceed with a registration during subsequent rounds of new gTLDs for anecdotal evidence on why registrations are being abandoned? Are there any technical or procedural reasons which would make this impossible or disproportionately difficult or costly?		Formatted: Font: 12 pt, Font color: Black
6. Has the TM Claims Notice been translated into the			 Where are you (registrar) located? What languages other than English 	

language of the registration agreement and is it being made available to registrants in that language?	 do you use for your registration agreement with new gTLD domain name registrants? Do you translate the Claims Notice
	into all of these languages?

Obtain feedback on Sunrise Question 2:	- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	the New gTLD program, and in particu ("TMCH") and the Sunrise and Traden	ng the Rights Protection Mechanisms of Ilar the Trademark Clearing House		
Obtain feedback on Sunrise Question 2:		trademark and brand owners regardir the New gTLD program, and in particu ("TMCH") and the Sunrise and Traden supports. Please answer each questic	ng the Rights Protection Mechanisms of Jar the Trademark Clearing House nark Claims programs that the TMCH		
Obtain feedback on Sunrise Question 2:					
 Charter Questions #2, #4 & Does Registry So or Premium Nares and the use of Premium Names and Reserved Names lists affected TM owners' willingness to participate in Sunrise; whether intended purpose of mandatory 30-day Sunrise fulfilled, and whether Sunrise should be mandatory/optional) Does Registry So or Premium Nare pricing practices unfairly limit the of trademark ov participate duri Sunrise? If so, how extent this problem? 	me s e ability vners to ng	 If price impacted your ability to seek Sunrise Period registration, how did it affect your decision? In the gTLDs that you decided not to seek Sunrise Period registration due to price: What did you do afterwards? Did you wait until general availability? (depends on the question) If you have not submitted Proof of Use for any of your trademarks with the TMCH in order to take part in Sunrise Services, why? What factors have you 	 Do you or your company own registrations for any trademarks? If so, how many? If not, stop survey. Have you registered any of your trademarks with the TMCH? If so, how many? If not, stop survey. Have you submitted Proof of Use for any of your trademarks with the TMCH in order to take part in Sunrise Services? If so, how many? Have you applied to register any of your trademarks in a New gTLD during a Sunrise Period? If so, which ones? In what gTLDs? 	(Deleted: price?

you believe this was an unfair or premium

hat factors have you considered in deciding whether to apply to register your trademark during any Sunrise Period?

	 trademark during any Sunrise Period? Did price impact your ability to seek Sunrise Period registration? Was the price of registering in a gTLD a factor in your decision whether to apply or 	•		Deleted: <#>In what gTLDs did you decide not to seek Sunrise Period registration due to price? . <#>Are you aware of any other trademark registration owners who have decided not to apply to register their trademarks as domain names during Sunrise Periods due to the price of registration? . Formatted: Font:(Default) Noto Sans Symbols, (Asian) Noto Sans Symbols, 10 pt
	not?			Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.12"
	 In what gTLDs did you decide not to seek Sunrise Period registration due to price? What was the price you 			Deleted: <#>Are you aware of any other trademark owners who have decided not to apply to register their trademarks as domain names during Sunrise Periods due to the price of registration?
	paid? If you remember the		$\langle \rangle$	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.39"
	price, please indicate what it			Formatted: Font:(Default) Noto Sans Symbols, (Asian) Noto Sans Symbols, 10 pt
	 was. Was the reason for the pricing explained to you? 			Comment [31]: Find cost-effective ways of allowing willing respondents to be contacted if they wish to provide more specific details about pricing.
Question 4:	• Have you tried to register a	• 1	······	Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.32", Add space between paragraphs of the same style
 Are Registry Operator reserved names 	name in Sunrise Period and could not? If so:	۲		Deleted: Do you know if any of your trademarks have been reserved by any New gTLD Registry operators?
practices unfairly	 Were you informed or do 			Deleted: [MAY HAVE TO EXPLAIN]
limiting participation in Sunrise by trademark	you know the reason for non-registration?			Deleted: If so, have you contacted the Registry operator to inquire about these trademarks?
holders?Should Section 1.3.3 of	 o If so, what was it? Could you give us an example of 			Formatted: Font:(Default) Noto Sans Symbols, (Asian) Noto Sans Symbols, 10 pt
Specification 1 of the Registry Agreement be modified to address	 <u>a name that you could not</u> register in the Sunrise Period? Have you contacted the Registry 			Deleted: <#>Has your participation in Sunrise Period registration been affected by Registry Operator reservation of names? If so, how? -
these concerns? NOTE:	Operator to inquire about these			
I have not included this in guestions to	 refused names? trademarks? If you contacted the Registry 			
trademark owners because it would be	Operator, were you able to get the name released to register?			

 beyond their knowledge and should be answered in response to the information we learn by asking Question 4 – not part of the question. Should Registry Operators be required to publish their reserved names lists what Registry concerns would be raised by that publication, and what problem(s) would it solve? Should Registries be required to provide Trademark Owners in the TMCH notice, and the opportunity to register the domain name should the Registry release it – 	 Were you able to get the name released to registration despite the first refusal? What did they do if anything? Do you know if any of those were due to the string being on the reserved name list? If so, please give specific examples. Should Registry Operators , be required to publish their reserved names lists? If you answered yes, why should they? If you answered no, why should they not publish them?	Deleted: Do you believe Deleted: should be Deleted: do you think Deleted: would
the TMCH notice, and the opportunity to register the domain name should the	solve or address? In the event a Registry has placed a trademark in its reserved names list and later	Deleted: would

	to register the domain name upon its release? If so, why do you believe this should be the case? Has your participation in Sunrise Period registration been affected by Registry Operator reservation of names? If so, how?		Deleted: <#>Do you believe Registries will have any issue with this requirement?[6]
Question 5: (a) Does the current 30-day minimum for a Sunrise	 Did you attempt to register any of your trademarks in any gTLDs 	• <u> </u>	Deleted: Between the End-Date Sunrise and Start-Date Sunrise, which of the forms do you believe gave trademark owners the best ability to participate?
Period serve its intended purpose, particularly in view	 Did your trademarks in any grups during the Sunrise Period? Did you attempt to register any 	• 1	 Deleted: Did you attempt to register any of your trademarks in any gTLDs during the Sunrise Period?
of the fact that many registry operators actually	of your trademarks in any gTLDs during a Sunrise Period but you		Deleted: Did you attempt to register any of your trademarks in any gTLDs during a Sunrise Period but you missed the registration window?
ran a 60-day Sunrise Period?Are there any	 missed the registration window? If so, why did you miss the 		Deleted: If so, why did you miss the registration window end date?
 unintended results? Does the ability of Registry Operators to expand their Sunrise 	 registration window end date? Were you confused about the ending date of the Sunrise Period registration window? 		Deleted: Are you aware of any domains that contain strings that are identical to or confusingly similar to any of your TMCH registered trademarks that were applied for after the Sunrise Period?
Periods create uniformity concerns that should be addressed by this WG? Are there any benefits observed when the Suprice Period is	Are you aware of any domains that contain strings that are identical to or confusingly similar to any of your TMCH registered trademarks that were applied for after the Sunrise		Comment [33]: Staff to research the purpose of the 30- day minimum for a Sunrise Period service.
Sunrise Period is extended beyond 30	 Period? Do you believe the 30-day 		

days?	minimum for a Sunrise Period		
Are there any	provides a sufficient period for		
disadvantages?	trademark owners to take		
	advantage of the Sunrise Period?		Deleted: serves its intended purpose? [NOTE: I think we
(b) In light of evidence	Do you believe the 60-period		need to identify that purpose as a preface to these
gathered above, should the	observed by many registry		questions]
Sunrise Period continue to	operators would be more		
be mandatory or become	appropriate?		
optional?	If so, why?		Comment [35]: Input from Sub Team: Seems to get
Should the WG	• Are you aware of any		subsumed if we rephrase anecdotal question no.2 and
consider returning to	benefits from a Sunrise		add new data questions on the right.
the original	Period extended beyond 30		
recommendations from	days?		
the IRT and STI of	 Are you aware of any 		
Sunrise Period OR	disadvantages or negative		
Trademark Claims in	effects from a Sunrise Period		
light of other concerns	extended beyond 30 days?		
including freedom of	0	•	Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
expression and fair	•		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.75"
use?	Do you believe that the Sunrise	 	Deleted: <#>What benefits have you observed when a
In considering	Period should continue to be		Sunrise Period is extended beyond 30 days?
mandatory vs optional,	mandatory in New gTLDs or		
should Registry	should it be optional?		
Operators be allowed	o If so, why?		Deleted: W
to choose between	 If not, why not? 		Deleted: W
Sunrise and Claims	 Do you believe having a Sunrise 		Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
(that is, make ONE	Period but no Claims Service		Formatted. Font. (Denaut) earlori, (Asian) earlori, 11 pt
mandatory)?	would be a better means for		
	meeting the goals of the TMCH		
	and these Rights Protection		
	Mechanisms?		
	o If so, why?		Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.32"
	o If not, why not?		

	ii. Does it inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of	Do you_believe the Trademark <u>Claims Notice_has met its</u> intended purpose?	Trademark owners would be a letter of concern or a cease- and-desist letter.		also provide a copy for review] Deleted: If you do not
	can it be improved?	of possible conflict with a registered trademark?	were successful? If not, why? The primary method for		Deleted: [AGAIN we might want to explain here what that purpose is said to be in the Applicant's Guideb Book and
	 If inadequate, how 	purpose of notifying applicants	 Do you believe your actions 	1	http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/about/trademark- clearinghouse/rpm-requirements-30sep13-en.pdf
purpose)	hard to understand, or otherwise inadequate?	applicants has met its intended	 What actions did you take? (possible multiple choice) 	H^{-}	Reference:
Claims serves its intended	i. If not, is it intimidating,	you believe the Trademark Claims Notice to domain name	follow up with some actions?		rights.
Question #3 – whether	intended purpose?	 Based on your experience, do 	How many of these NORNs did you		provide clear notice to the prospective domain nameregistrant of the scope of the Trademark Holder's
of cease-and-desist letters sent (Claims Charter	Claims Notice to domain name applicants meet its	the Notifications of Registered Name (NORNs)?	received for your <u>TMCH registered</u> trademarks?	/	Deleted: itself Comment [37]: The Claims Notice is intended to
Obtain feedback on number	(a) Does the Trademark	 What did you do in response to 	How many <u>NORNs</u> have you	al and a second	Formatted: Font:Not Bold
					Deleted: Claims Notices
		o <u>If not, why not?</u> ▲			Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
		<u>o lf so, w</u> hy?			Deleted: W
		operations?			Formatted: Font:(Default) Courier New, (Asian) Courier New, 10 pt
		incorporate in their Registry			
		should Registry Operators be allowed to choose which to			
		Period should be made optional,			
		Service or having a Sunrise			Deleted: one of these procedures
		 Jf you believe having a Claims 			Deleted: <#>Why? .
		 If so, why? If not, why not? 			Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.32" Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
		Mechanisms?			
		and these Rights Protection			
		meeting the goals of the TMCH			
		would be a better means for			Deleted: , or
		Do you believe, having a Claims Service but no Sunrise Period			Deleted: S

iii. Are translations of the Trademark Claims said anything about not having understood the Claims Notice? resolution? Deleted: based on a registered trademark Notice effective in informing domain name applicants of the scope and limitation of trademark holders' rights? • • Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Cl Deleted: saved on a registered trademark (b) Should Claims • • • • (b) Should Claims • • • • (b) Should Claims • • • • adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • </th <th>}</th>	}
informing domain name applicants of the scope and limitation of trademark holders' rights? • Do you believe the Claims Notice sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? • Do you believe the Claims Notice sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? • Do you believe the Claims Notice sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? • Deleted: Is the Claims Notice sent to app understand, or otherwise inadequate? 0 If not, please explain. o • • Mhat might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? • 0 What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants • What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants • Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of for survey respondent to review. 0 Thot, please explain. o • Should Claims Notice to better advise applicants • Suggestion to leave out the questions about	libri
scope and limitation of trademark holders' rights? • Do you believe the Claims Notice sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? • Do you believe the Claims Notice sent to domain Name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? Formatted: Formatted: (b) Should Claims Notifications only be sent to registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? • If not, please explain. o • Deleted: es Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of Q for survey respondent to review. • Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of Q for survey respondent to review.	
trademark holders' rights?sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH?sent to domain name applicants (a copy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights?Deleted: Is the Claims Notice sent to app understand, or otherwise inadequate, how it could be improved?0If not, please explain. oWhat might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned?Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of for survey respondent to review.Comment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer.Comment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer.TMCH?Should Claims Notice only beSuggestion to leave out the questions abord	libri
rights?Comment [38]: Question format: tick box(b) Should Claimsadequately inform domain name applicants of the scope andNotifications only be sent to registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH?I acopy of which is attached) adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights?Deleted: Is the Claims Notice sent to app understand, or otherwise inadequate?0If not, please explain. oIf not, please explain. oDeleted: es0What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicantsComment [39]: Need to include a copy of for survey respondent to review.Comment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer.Comment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer.TMCH?Should Claims Notice only beSuggestion to leave out the questions above	
adequately inform domain name applicants of the scope and Notifications only be sent to registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH?	
Notifications only be sent to registrants who complete domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? Imitations of trademark holders' rights? Imitations of trademark holders' rights? If not, please explain. What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? Should Claims Notice only be Deleted: If you believe it is inadequate, how it could be improved? Deleted: If you believe it is inadequate, how it could be improved? Deleted: If you believe it is inadequate, how it could be improved? Output If not, please explain. What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? Omment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer. Suggestion to leave out the questions about the questions about 	icants hard to
domain name registrations, as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? If not, please explain. What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? Should Claims Notice only be Deleted: es Deleted: es Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of the for survey respondent to review. Comment [40]: These questions may be have a particular to answer. Suggestion to leave out the questions about the provide	do you think
as opposed to those who are attempting to register domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? • What might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? • Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of the for survey respondent to review. • Mhat might you change in the Claims Notice to better advise applicants concerned? • Comment [39]: Need to include a copy of the for survey respondent to review. • Should Claims Notice only be • Should Claims Notice only be	
domain names that are matches to entries in the TMCH? advise applicants concerned? Comment [40]: These questions may be h trademark owners to answer. Should Claims Notice only be Suggestion to leave out the questions about	laims Notice
TMCH? • Should Claims Notice only be Suggestion to leave out the questions abo	rd for
sent to domain name applicants, content of the Claims Notice and instead a reactions, actions, and responses by the a	sk about the
• at the time they apply for Comment [41]: Question format: tick box	
• at the time their domain • at the time their domain • name is registered?	s should be
Please explain your answer. Deleted: how can it be improved?	
Is the timing of sending Claims	
Notice Deleted: who complete domain name regist are matches of trademarks registered in the opposed to sending Notifications to those w applied to register domain names that are matches registered in the TMCH?	TMCH, as no have
Obtain feedback on actual brand owner experiences • What is the evidence of harm under the existing • Are you aware of what harms were meant to be addressed by • How many UDRP, URS or litigation proceedings have you brought Comment [42]: Suggest to include a prean Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.32"	

regarding evidence of harm	[exact match] system? ¹	the Trademark Claims service of	based on the registration and/or
intended to be addressed by		notification of TMCH registration	use of domain names for which you
the Claims RPM (Claims		to applicants, requirement of	received a NORN? Deleted: Trademark Claims Notice
Charter Question #4(a))		statement of non-infringement,	How many UDRP, URS or litigation
		and notification of trademark	proceedings have you brought
		owners upon registration of	based on the registration and/or
		TMCH registered names?	use of domain names that are exact
		 Do you have any evidence of 	matches of your trademarks – (1) Deleted: the
		harm being addressed before	those registered in the TMCH and Deleted: both
		the institution of the Claims	(2) others? Deleted: actually occurring prior to
		Notice?	How many UDRP, URS or litigation Deleted: service
		 Please describe it. 	proceedings have you brought
		 Do you have any evidence that 	based on the registration and/or
		you, your company or your	use of domain names that are not
		trademarks, or your ability to	exact matches of your trademarks –
		<mark>register domain names</mark> have	(1) those registered in the TMCH Comment [45]: Need to be rephrased to be more
		been harmed in any way by the	and (2) others?
		fact that Claims Notices are only	Of the UDRP Actions you have filed, Deleted: both
		issued to Exact Match	how many have been against each
		applications?	of the following:
		 Do you have any evidence that 	O Domain Name is exact
		broadening the comparison	duplicate of TRADEMARK
		bases for issuing Trademark	 Domain Name contains exact
		Claims Notifications to include	duplicate of TRADEMARK and
		variants of trademarks and not	some other elements
		only exact matches would be	• Domain Name contains Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.39"
		useful and protect the rights of	intentional misspelling or
		both trademark owners and	creative spelling of the
1		domain name applicants?	TRADEMARK (Typosquat) Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri

¹ This Charter question had the following note: "In conducting this analysis, recall that IDNs and Latin-based words with accents and umlauts are currently not serviced or recognized by many registries."

	Please provide this evidence or your observations.	
--	--	--

Comment [48]: The whole goal is to get reach registrants who tried to register domain names -started the registration process -- even if they did not

Comment [49]: The surveyor should have thoughts about the best way to screen for the kinds of people who are likely to encounter this process--those who are

Deleted: <#>Have you ever registered a domain n ... [10]

complete it after a TM Notice popped up.

likely registrants.

Purpose & Scope	Relevant Charter Question	Sub Team's Suggested Draft Questions, Notes & Additional Guidance			
		Anecdotal Questions	Data Questions		
		registering domain names. Examples petdogs.de. In this scheme, .comuk, and .de ar Domain names are used by individus merchandize, create communities, p addresses.	als and organizations to put up web sites, sell publish blogs, and establish branded email w domains have been introduced. These ondon, .nyc), generic types		
Obtain anecdotal evidence on effect of Claims Notices (Claims Charter Question #1(b))	 Is the Trademark Claims service having any unintended consequences, such as deterring good-faith domain name applications?² 	 If you have, within the last three years, registered or even initiated the registration of a domain in of the "new" types of top-level domains, please type the first three letter of the top-level domain where 	 Have you applied to register a New gTLD Domain Name? If No: Go to Potential Registrants Qs in Section 5 If Yes: Go the next Q in Section 4 Have you registered a New gTLD Domain Name? 		

² Note the "follow on" question if the answer to this sub-question is Yes: "What about the Trademark Claims Notice and/or the Notice of Registered Name should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order for it to have its intended effect, under each of the following questions?

- c. Should the Claims period be mandatory?
- d. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM and if so, which ones and why?
- e. Should the proof of use requirements for Sunrise be extended to include the issuance of TMCH notices?



a. Should the Claims period be extended - if so, for how long (up to permanently)?

b. Should the Claims period be shortened?

Obtain "more granular data about the percentage of those who abandoned registration attempts in response to a notice based on dictionary terms versus those who abandoned attempts in response to distinctive trademarks" (quote from Sub Team report on Claims Charter Question #1(b))	 Is the Trademark Claims service having any unintended consequences, such as deterring good-faith domain name applications?³ 	 you registered a name. How did you react to objection to your choice of a domain name? Proceeded? Why? Did not proceed? Why? [after opportunity for verbatim response, some selections about reasonsnot worth the time, not sure about legal rights, not sure if I would get suedphrasing to be discussed with surveyor] [Repeat as necessary if there 	 If No: Go to Potential Registrants Qs in Section 5 If Yes: Go to next Q in Section 4 Have you, within the last three years, registered or initiated the registration of a domain in of the "new" types of top-level domains? These domains might be: city types (e.g., .london, .nyc), generic types (e.g., .club, .art, .vip, .shop, .blog, .eco). [if no, terminate survey] Have you received a notice that said? 	Deleted: even Comment [50]: Survey provider to recommend ways to best funnel respondents, and let them easily flow from questions in Section 4 to questions in Section 5. Consider designing gateway survey questions that can lead to follow up questions suitable for three groups of registrant audience: 1) those who have registered, 2) those who have tried but failed for whatever reason, 3) those who are interested but haven't tried. Comment [51]: Seems central as a data question. Comment [52]: Seems central as a data question.
Obtain feedback on number of cease-and- desist letters received (Claims Charter Question #3)	 (a) Does the Trademark Claims Notice to domain name applicants meet its intended purpose? i. If not, is it intimidating, hard to understand, or otherwise inadequate? If inadequate, how can it be improved? ii. Does it inform domain name applicants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders' rights? If not, how can it be improved? iii. Are translations of the Trademark Claims Notice 	 [Repeat as necessary if there are additional domain names] [if no, proceed to comprehension questions: if you received a notice that said] How did you react to this notice: Show notice: [Explain in your own words what you understand the notice to mean] [Ask more comprehension questions: you may or may not have rights] 	 <u>Said1</u> <u>Yes</u> <u>No</u> <u>Not sure</u> <u>If so, which of the following did you</u> <u>receive?</u> <u>Trademark Claims Notice from</u> <u>Registrar</u> <u>Cease and Desist letter from</u> <u>another party</u> <u>Other type of objection (if so, explain)</u> When you registered names in any of the new top-level domains, did you receive a notice that stated: [] <u>If hese next questions should be asked of people who said they did receive an objection; it might be possible to ask</u> 	Comment [53]: Seems central as a data question. Comment [54]: Seems central as a data question. Deleted: <#>Have you received any kind of objection to your choice of a domain name? . Deleted: If so, what type? . Formatted: Indent: Left: 0.14" Deleted: <#>Have you received any kind of objection to your choice of a domain name? . Formatted: Font:(Default) Arial, (Asian) Arial Comment [56]: This question needs to be worded broadly enough to get a good collective experience. - If answer is "No" (terminate the survey, or ask a hypothetical question?) * If you receive such notice, what would you do about it? - If answer is "Yes" (go to the comprehensive questions) * Did you understand it? * What did you do about it?

effective in informing	people who didn't receive an objection
domain name applicants	about their beliefs too but the
of the scope and	guestions would have to be different;.]
limitation of trademark	How did you react to objection to your
holders' rights?	choice of a domain name?
	o Proceeded with the registration?
(b) Should Claims	Why?
Notifications only be sent to	 Did not proceed? Why?
registrants who complete	[after opportunity for verbatim
domain name registrations,	response, some selections about
as opposed to those who are	reasonsnot worth the time, not sure
attempting to register	about legal rights, not sure if I would
domain names that are	get suedphrasing to be discussed with
matches to entries in the	<u>surveyor]</u>
TMCH?	[Repeat as necessary if there are
	additional domain names]
	(a) III no, proceed to comprehension
	questions: if you received a notice didwould you:
	that said]
	(a) the registration, is it because received a notice with the following wording, would you believed you were:
	• If, when registering your domain <u>name</u> , Deleted: <#>If, when registering your domain, you the registration, is it because received a notice with the
	following wording, would you believed you were:
	registration, is it because you believed;
	(a) <u>be</u> sued or be subject to an action to take the domain if you
	continued Deleted: /why not? – anecdotal question]
	(b) someone else had a legal right to Deleted: received a notice with the following wording,
	the name
	(c) you had no legitimate or legal right Deleted: you would
	to the name, Deleted: definitely get
	(d) it just seemed like too much Deleted: might not get sued
	trouble to continue Deleted: definitely would not get sued if you continued?
	here here here here here here here here

Formatted: Font: (Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt

Deleted: [Why/why not? – anecdotal question]
Deleted: <#>If, when registering your domain, you received a notice with the following wording, would you believe that:
more than one occasion?
Formatted: Font:(Default) Arial, (Asian) Arial If you received other notices, How did Formatted: Indent: Left: 0"
you react to the second (and other) notices?
[same options as above] w w w beleted: (a) - Having seen it before, proceeded with the registration without thinking about it much - <#>Having seen it before, abandoned the registration without thinking about it much
Have you received any kind of objection in writing or in email to your without thinking about it much
choice of a domain name? o If so, please describe i and your reaction? Choice of a domain name?

5. Survey of Potential Registrants					
Purpose & Scope	Relevant Charter Question	Relevant Charter Question Sub Team's Suggested Draft Questions, Notes & Additional Guidance			
		Anecdotal Questions	Data Questions		
		sell merchandize, create communities email addresses.	nples of domains are amazon.com, called top-level domains. s and organizations to put up web sites, s, publish blogs, and establish branded domains have been introduced. These ndon, .nyc), generic types		
Obtain "more granular data about the percentage of those who abandoned registration attempts in response to a notice based on dictionary terms versus	 Is the Trademark Claims service having any unintended consequences, such as deterring good- faith domain name applications?⁴ 	 Show notice: [Explain in your own words what you understand the notice to mean] [Ask more comprehension questions: you may or may not have rights] 	 Have you ever registered a domain name? Do you plan on registering a domain name in the next year? Would you consider one of these new domains? 		
<pre>*those who abandoned attempts in response to distinctive trademarks" (Claims Charter Question #1(b))</pre>		 Separate survey/inquiry of IBM and/or Analysis Group: data on past potential registrants who 	 Have you attempted to register a domain name in one of these new domains? [if no to both, terminate survey; if the answer is yes to the "attempt" 		Deleted: How did you react to the second (and other) notices regarding objection to your choice of a domain name? Formatted: Font:(Default) Calibri, (Asian) Calibri, 11 pt
Show copy of Claims Notice to average Internet users who are likely to register a	Question 1: Is the Trademark Claims service having its intended effect?	didn't turn into registrants: those who received notices and did not proceed. See	question, ask if they succeeded; if so, send to registrant survey; if no, ask questions about why not & if they		

 $^{\rm 4}$ Note the "follow on" question if the answer is Yes, as above.

domain - to test	Consider the following	distribution of numbers who	<u>received a ™ notice</u>]	
understanding of the notice	questions specifically in the context both of a Claims Notice	were trying for "hotel" and	 If, when registering your domain, 	
(in multiple languages, using languages into which	as well as a Notice of	other top ten/top 100 results and numbers who were trying	you received a notice with the following wording, would you	
the TMCH has translated its	Registered Name:	for "xerox"—mode is also a	believe you were:	
website) (Claims Charter	a. Is the Trademark Claims	significant number	(a) legally allowed to continue	
Questions #1 & #3)	service having its intended	Significant number	with the registration	
Questions "I Q "Sy	effect of deterring bad-		(b) not legally allowed to	
	faith registrations and		continue	
	providing notice to domain		(c) not be sure if you were	
	name applicants?		legally allowed to continue?	
	b. Is the Trademark Claims		[Why/why not? – anecdotal	
	service having any		question]	
	unintended consequences,		• If, when registering your domain,	
	such as deterring good-		you received a notice with the	
	faith domain name		following wording, would you	
	applications?		believe you would:	
			(a) definitely get sued if you	
	Question 3:		continued	
	a. Does the Trademark Claims		(b) might or might not get sued	
	Notice to domain name		(c) definitely would not get sued	
	applicants meet its		if you continued?	
	intended purpose?		[Why/why not? -]	Comment [57]: Properly coded, not anecdotal.
	i. If not, is it intimidating,		• If, when registering your domain,	Deleted: Anecdotal Question
	hard to understand, or		you received a notice with the	
	otherwise inadequate?		following wording, would you	
	 If inadequate, how can it be 		believe that:	
	improved?		(a) you had a legal right to continue with the	
	ii. Does it inform domain		registration?	
	name applicants of the		(b) you might or might not have	
	scope and limitations		a legal right to continue with	
	of trademark holders'		the registration?	
	of trademark holders			

rights?		(c) you had no legal right to	
 ○ If not, how can it 		continue with the	
be improved?		registration?	
iii. Are translations of the		[Why/why not?]	 Deleted: – anecdotal question
Trademark Claims			
Notice effective in		received a notice with the	
informing domain		following wording:	
name applicants of the		(a) continue with the registration	
scope and limitation of		(b) not continue with the	
trademark holders'		registration	
rights?		(c) consult someone else [who]	
b. Should Claims Notifications		(d) something else [explain]	
only be sent to registrants		[Consider some cells using	
who complete domain		examples: e.g., xerox.careers,	
name registrations, as		apple.farms, chipotles.sucks—	
opposed to those who are		what would they do if they	
attempting to register		received notices?]	
domain names that are		If you registered names in any of	
matches to entries in the		the new top-level domains, did	
TMCH?		you receive a notice that	
		stated:	
		If you have registered a domain	
		name, have you received any kind	 Deleted: other
		of objection to your choice of a)
		domain name? If yes, what was	
		it? URS, UDRP, letter from a	
		lawyer, lawsuit, don't know/not	
		sure, something else [fill in w/	
		survey expert consultation]	
		Did you keep the domain name	
		after the objection?	
		[Yes/no/explain]	Comment [58]: Also worth discussingpartly this is a
	•	Have you received such a notice	comprehension issue, partly we may want UDRP data.

|--|

Purpose & Scope	Relevant Charter Question	Sub Team's Suggested Draft C	Questions, Notes & Additional Guidance	
		Anecdotal Questions	Data Questions	
		Survey Introduction:		
Obtain feedback on Sunrise Charter Question #5 (mandatory vs. optional Sunrise and efficacy of 30- day mandatory minimum Sunrise period)	 a. Does the current 30-day minimum for a Sunrise Period serve its intended purpose, particularly in view of the fact that many registry operators actually ran a 60-day Sunrise Period? Are there any unintended results? Does the ability of Registry Operators to expand their Sunrise Periods create uniformity concerns that should be addressed by this WG? Are there any benefits observed when the Sunrise Period is extended beyond 30 			

	1	
	days?	
	 Are there any 	
	disadvantages?	
b	In light of evidence	
	gathered above, should	
	the Sunrise Period	
	continue to be mandatory	
	or become optional?	
	 Should the WG 	
	consider returning to	
	the original	
	recommendations	
	from the IRT and STI	
	of Sunrise Period OR	
	Trademark Claims in	
	light of other concerns	
	including freedom of	
	expression and fair	
	use?	
	 In considering 	
	mandatory vs	
	optional, should	
	Registry Operators be	
	allowed to choose	
	between Sunrise and	
	Claims (that is, make	
	ONE mandatory)?	

General Comments / Instructions for Survey Provider

- Get as much empirical information as possible, but also give the opportunity for anecdotal follow-on questions.
- Enable respondents to provide more information by building in an entirely separate, non-mandatory additional field to elaborate on certain response. Not necessarily a full other survey, though.
- Allow respondents to skip certain questions and continue with the survey.
- General comments for Section 4-5 (Registrants / Potential Registrants)
 - When surveying this type of less sophisticated audience we should keep the survey short and keep away from open-ended questions and try to use multiple choice questions.
 - <u>e</u> Regarding outreach to the respondents -- one way is to engage the survey company; an alternative way would be to survey Registrars' customers, though that might be tricky. Need to consult with the survey provider on how to reach registrants.
 - To drive the cost down, perhaps limit the number of open-ended questions, since they are cost driver and someone has to categorize them.
 - o Let the survey provider decide where we off ramp or on ramp -- or not "off ramp" but being directed to questions that apply.

Formatted

Glossary Table

Term	Definition	Learn More
Applicant Guidebook (AGB)	An ICANN guidebook describing the requirements and the entire application and evaluation processes of applying for new generic top-level domains (gTLDs) in the 2012 New gTLD Program.	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/agb
Approved Launch Program (ALP)	A program launched in November 2013 that allows the Registry Operator to conduct a registration program for its TLDs prior to the start date of their Sunrise Period.	http://newgtlds.icann.org/en/about/tradema rk-clearinghouse/launch-applicationprocess- 12nov13-en.pdf
Cease and Desist Letter	A document sent to an individual or business to stop purportedly illegal activity ("cease") and to not restart later ("desist").	
Community Top-Level Domain	A Community TLD is a regulated type of gTLD made possible through ICANN's New gTLD Program. It is intended for community groups that are interested in operating their own TLD registry. The term "Community" should be interpreted broadly, including an economic sector, a cultural community, or a linguistic community. Community groups are given precedence for TLDs in contention. If there are multiple applicants for a given string, and one applicant applies and proves community status, the community group is automatically given precedence to the TLD.	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/cpe
Cybersquatting	Cybersquatting is generally bad faith	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/cybe

	registration of another's trademark in a domain name. It is the action of attempting to profit by purchasing domain names made of marketable and trademark related terms, and later reselling or licensing those names back to the companies that developed the trademark.	rsquatting-2013-05-03-en
End Date Sunrise	It is a type of Sunrise registration. The Registry has no advance notice requirement to trademark owners but must provide the Sunrise registration service for a minimum of 60 calendar days prior to General Registration, and must not use a time-based allocation method (e.g., first come, first served). At the end of the period, all the claims are registered by the Registry and auctions are conducted if there is more than one claim for the same domain. The majority of registries who have launched to date have offered an End-Date Sunrise.	
Exact Match	A domain name label is an identical match to the trademark, meaning that the label must consist of the complete and identical textual elements of the trademark in accordance with section 4.2.1 of the Trademark Clearinghouse Guidelines. For example, if the Trademark Holder's trademark is AB, then the domain name label that is applicable must be AB for it to be deemed an Exact Match. If the Trademark Holder's Trademark label is èé, then the identical label is èé and not ee.	http://www.trademark- clearinghouse.com/sites/default/files/files/do wnloads/TMCH%20guidelines%20v1.0%20_1. pdf#page=18

Founders' Program	Some new gTLD registries (e.g., .BANK, .BLOG, .EARTH) offered up some of their "premium" domain names to companies that wanted to develop a business or website using one of their domain names prior the public launch. In some cases, domain names were given away for free if specific requirements and hurdles were met. In other cases, reserved domain names were sold.	https://domaininvesting.com/find-founders- program/	Comment [59]: Not an official definition
General Availability (GA)	GA is the period when, during the introduction of a new TLD, registration becomes open to the public. During this period, which follows the Sunrise Period, applications may be submitted for registration.		
Geographic Top-Level Domain (GeoTLD)	GeoTLD is a TLD category denoting geographical, geopolitical, ethnic, linguistic, or cultural community. Examples include .london, .asia, .cat.	https://ccnso.icann.org/en/workinggroups/cc wg-unct.htm	
Internationalized Domain Name (IDN)	IDNs are domain names that include characters used in the local representation of languages that are not written with the twenty-six letters of the basic Latin alphabet "a-z" and the "0-9" digits (they are termed "ASCII characters" ASCII = American Standard Code for Information Interchange). An IDN can contain Latin letters with diacritical marks, as required by many European languages, or may consist of characters from non-Latin scripts such as	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/idn- 2012-02-25-en	

	Arabic or Chinese.	
Limited Registration Period (LRP)	LRP is voluntary service provided by registries. It is intended to provide additional flexibility for registration of domain names to a closed group, based on Sunrise-like periods other than trademark rights. LRP must have registration restrictions limiting domain names from being generally available to all domain name registrants who may be otherwise qualified to register domain names within that new gTLD.	
Premium Names	A registry operator may reserve certain premium names for later release (after the Sunrise Period) at its sole discretion. Registry Operators may classify generic terms as premium names, and, in that event, such names are not available for registration during the Sunrise period even if they are the subject of a trademark record.	
Pre-Order / Pre-Registration	New gTLD registries offer opportunities for potential registrants to reserve a specific domain name after the Sunrise Period and before General Availability when any qualifying entity in the public can register any name. Some domains can be pre-registered / pre-ordered at varying price points, similar to an auction. Some pre-registrations are accepted on a first-come, first-served basis. Pre-Registration is available to everyone, and there are no trademark requirements.	Comment [60]: Not an official definition.

Proof of Use	Proof of use allows a trademark owner to participate in Sunrise periods when attempting to register domain names with new gTLDs. To verify the proof of use of trademarks, trademark owners must submit a declaration stating that the trademark is indeed being used as the trademark owner says it is. Examples to show that the mark is in use include an advertisement and/or a branded product.	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/about/tradem ark-clearinghouse/faqs
Qualified Launch Program (QLP)	A program launched in April 2014 that allows registries to register up to 100 domain names to third parties prior to Sunrise, for purposes of promoting the TLD, under certain conditions.	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/about/tradem ark-clearinghouse/rpm-requirements-qlp- addendum-10apr14-en.pdf
Name Collision	A name collision occurs when an attempt to resolve a name used in a private name space (e.g. under a non-delegated TLD, or a short, unqualified name) results in a query to the public Domain Name System (DNS). When the administrative boundaries of private and public namespaces overlap, name resolution may yield unintended or harmful results. The introduction of any new domain name into the DNS, whether a generic TLD, country code TLD, or second-level domain name, creates the potential for name collision.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/nam e-collision-2013-12-06-en
New Generic Top-Level Domain (gTLD)	When you type a web address, it usually ends with .com, .net, .org, and so on. These labels are called the generic Top-Level Domains (gTLDs). Before 1998, the domain namespace	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/

Notification of Registered Name (NORN)	 including .biz, .info, and .museum; in 2004, eight more, including .asia, .travel, and .xxx, were made available. Based on the results of these two trial rounds, ICANN communities produced a set of principles and recommendations on implementing new gTLDs over 18-month long policy discussions. After the adoption of this policy, the New gTLD Program was officially launched in 2012, commencing a massive expansion of the Internet. More than 1,930 new gTLD applications were received from around the world, and as of today, over 1,200 new gTLDs have been delegated. Notifications sent by the TMCH to a trademark holder during a Sunrise Period or Trademark Claims Period that a domain name has been registered that matches labels for one of the trademark holder's Trademark Records. 	https://www.icopp.org/popuros/popos/pogs
Registrant	Individuals or organizations that apply for one or more domain names with a registry. Also, a domain name registrant is the person or organization who has registered the domain name. Some domain name registrants may be trademark holders.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/regi strant-rights-2013-09-16-en and https://whois.icann.org/en/domain-name- registration-process

Registrar	Registrars are entities that interface with a domain name registrant to register or maintain the registration of domain names in a top-level domain (TLD). In existing TLDs, domain registrants use any of the approximately 1,000 ICANN accredited registrars to register and maintain their registrations of domain names.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/regi strars-0d-2012-02-25-en
Registration Agreement	A registry operator of a top-level domain must enter a contract, which is termed Registry Agreement (RA), with ICANN in order to operate and maintain a generic TLD (gTLD). Through the Registry Agreement, ICANN designates a registry operator as the registry operator for a particular TLD, subject to the requirements and necessary approvals for delegation of the TLD and entry into the root zone. The Registry Agreement is subject to changes by consensus policy that has been developed through the GNSO's Policy Development Process (PDP).	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/regi stries/registries-agreements-en
Registry	Registries are the contracted parties that manage TLDs through authority delegated to them by ICANN. Registries selected in the new gTLD program are mandated to use Clearinghouse services to ensure a minimum level of protection for trademark rights.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/regi stries/registries-en
Registry Operator	The entity entering into the Registry Agreement with ICANN, responsible for setting up and maintaining the operation of the registry.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/regi stries/registries-en

			1	
Reserved Names	A registry operator may reserve a domain name from registration as allowed by Specification 9, Registry Operator Code of Conduct, Section 1(b), of the New gTLD Registry Agreement.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rese rved-2013-07-08-en		Comment [61]: Are reserved names the same as premium names?
Restricted Top-Level Domain	A restricted TLD is a top-level domain whose registration is limited to people or entities that satisfy certain criteria. Both generic TLDs and country code TLDs can have restriction. It is up to the TLD's registry to implement registrictions and decide on the criteria for those restrictions.			Comment [62]: Are restricted TLDs part of the reserved names? Comment [63]: Not an official definition.
Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs)	The RPMs refer to those policies and processes developed to provide workable mechanisms for trademark owners to either prevent or remedy certain unauthorized uses of their trademarks at the second level of gTLDs. As the longest standing RPM, the Uniform Dispute Resolution Policy (UDRP) has been an ICANN Consensus Policy since 1999. As part of the 2012 New gTLD Program, additional RPMs were developed subsequently to supplement the UDRP: 1) the Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH) and the associated Sunrise and Trademark Claims services, 2) the Uniform Rapid Suspension procedure (URS), and 3) the Trademark Post- Delegation Dispute Resolution Procedure (TM-PDDRP).	https://gnso.icann.org/en/issues/new- gtlds/rpm-final-issue-11jan16-en.pdf https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/rpm -drp-2017-10-04-en		
Start-Date Sunrise	This is another type Sunrise registration. Registry must provide the service for a			

	minimum of 30 calendar days prior to General Registration and must provide 30 calendar days' notice prior to the start of the Sunrise period. Trademark-related domains are registered on first-come, first-served (or other) basis.	
Statement of Non-Infringement	A party may apply to the court for a declaration that an act does not, or a proposed act would not, constitute an infringement of a patent. It must be shown that, prior to seeking the declaration, that party has applied in writing to the proprietor for a written acknowledgement to the effect of the declaration claimed, and has furnished with full particulars in writing of the act in question, and the proprietor has refused or failed to give any such acknowledgement.	https://www.lexology.com/library/detail.aspx ?g=3c3029bc-b633-4f9d-8377-a4a412bf5702
Sunrise Period	The Sunrise Period is a pre-launch phase providing trademark owners, whose trademarks have been validated by the TMCH, with an opportunity to register domain names corresponding to their marks in the new gTLD before registration is generally available to the public. The Sunrise Period is mandatory in all new gTLDs with a minimum period of at least 30 days.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/sdrp -2013-10-31-en
Trademark Claims	A service that generates real-time notice to someone attempting to register a domain name if it matches a trademark in the Trademark Clearinghouse. It also notifies trademark holders when domain names are	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/announcemen ts-and-media/announcement-09sep13-en

	registered that match marks in the Clearinghouse. It is a mandatory service in all new gTLDs.	
Trademark Claims Period	The Trademark Claims Period follows the Sunrise Period and runs for at least the first 90 days of general registration for a new gTLD. During this period, anyone attempting to register a domain name matching a trademark record of a trademark holder that has been verified by TMCH will receive a notification displaying the relevant mark information. If the notified party goes ahead and registers the domain name, the TMCH will send a notice to those trademark holders with matching records in the Clearinghouse, informing them that someone has registered the domain name.	https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/about/tradem ark-clearinghouse/faqs
Trademark Claims Notice	It is a notice sent by ICANN-accredited registrars to anyone attempting to register a domain name matching a mark that is recorded in the Trademark Clearinghouse. The notice must be in the form specified in the TMCH Rights Protection Mechanism Requirements, and sent in real time and in English.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/tm- claims-2014-01-29-en
Trademark Clearinghouse (TMCH)	The TMCH is the central repository for verified brands for the purpose of protecting brands in ICANN's 2012 new gTLD program. The TMCH consists of two primary functions: (i) the authentication of contact information and verification of Trademark Records and (ii)	http://www.trademark-clearinghouse.com/ https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-regext- tmch-func-spec-03

	the storage of such Trademark Records in a database in order to provide information to the new gTLD registries to support the providing of Notification of Registered Name. Deloitte has been appointed by ICANN to provide the Clearinghouse Verification Services, a part of the Trademark Clearinghouse.	
Uniform Domain-Name Dispute-Resolution (UDRP)	All ICANN-accredited registrars must follow the UDRP. Under this policy, disputes over entitlement to a domain-name registration are ordinarily resolved by court litigation between the parties claiming rights to the registration. Once the courts rule who is entitled to the registration, the registrar will implement that ruling. In disputes arising from registrations allegedly made abusively, UDRP provides an expedited administrative procedure to allow the dispute to be resolved without the cost and delays often encountered in court litigation. In these cases, a complaint can be filed with one of the dispute-resolution service providers to invoke the administrative procedure.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/help /dndr/udrp-en
Uniform Rapid Suspension (URS)	A Rights Protection Mechanism, modeled on the UDRP, aims to provide trademark holder with a fast and reasonably inexpensive way to obtain the suspension of a domain name that was registered and used in bad faith.	https://www.icann.org/resources/pages/urs- 2014-01-09-en https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/urs/pr ocedure-01mar13-en.pdf https://newgtlds.icann.org/en/applicants/urs/rul es-28jun13-en.pdf

Page 2: [1] Deleted		
1 age 2. [1] Deleteu	Ariel Liang	12/1/17 10:07:00 PM
Did you reserve domain nam	es that you knew were trademarks?	
If so, were those reserved names a	lso "dictionary" words?	
O Page 10: [2] Commented	Ariel Liang	1/19/18 9:33:00 PM
		1/1/10 7.55.00 1 10
Split this question into multiple q		
Page 11: [3] Deleted	Susan Payne	1/21/18 12:49:00 PM
Do you get adequate notice o	of the commencement of Sunrises?	
Do you get adequate notice regard	ing changes/extension of the Sunrise to	erm[1]?
• Page 17: [4] Deleted	Anial Liana	1/11/18 11:30:00 AM
<u> </u>	Ariel Liang	
	not to seek Sunrise Period registration	•
	ou paid? If you remember the price, ple	ease indicate what it was.
Was the reason for the pricing expl	aned to you?	
Page 17: [5] Deleted	Ariel Liang	12/11/17 6:19:00 PM
	rademark registration owners who hav	e decided not to apply to
	lomain names during Sunrise Periods d	
If so, how many of these trademark	-	
0	-	
Page 19: [6] Deleted	Michael Graham	12/15/17 5:19:00 PM
	have any issue with this requirement?	
If so, what would those issues be?		
O Page 20: [7] Deleted	Michael Graham	1/11/18 9:21:00 AM
Page 20: [7] Deleted		
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended	beyond 30 days?[3]
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obse	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended rved when a Sunrise Period is extende	beyond 30 days?[3]
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended	beyond 30 days?[3]
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obse • Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended rved when a Sunrise Period is extende	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? 1/16/18 7:04:00 PM
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obse Page 22: [8] Commented	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? 1/16/18 7:04:00 PM
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obse Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants.	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send o	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? 1/16/18 7:04:00 PM
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obse Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send or	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? 1/16/18 7:04:00 PM
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser • Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send of <u>Ariel Liang</u>	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u>
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser • Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send or	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u>
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser • Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send of <u>Ariel Liang</u>	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u>
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende <u>Ariel Liang</u> o clarify the proper timing to send of <u>Ariel Liang</u> laims Notices did[4] you follow with sc	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang to clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with so e? ee?	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g Was it resolved? What we want was warded with the second wat was warded warded was warded warde	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang to clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with sc e? et? Are you satisfied? If so, why? If not eas the resolution?	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g Was it resolved? What w How many times did the apple	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang o clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with so e? get? Are you satisfied? If so, why? If not ras the resolution? licant to whom you sent a cease and de	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g Was it resolved? What we how many times did the application as a result of y	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang to clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with so e? get? Are you satisfied? If so, why? If not ras the resolution? licant to whom you sent a cease and de our cease and desist letter?	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist c, why not? esist letter agree to abandon
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g Was it resolved? What w How many times did the application as a result of y How many times did the application as a result of y	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang o clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with so e? get? Are you satisfied? If so, why? If not ras the resolution? licant to whom you sent a cease and de	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? <u>1/16/18 7:04:00 PM</u> ut the Claims Notice to <u>1/16/18 6:42:00 PM</u> ome action a cease and desist c, why not? esist letter agree to abandon
Page 20: [7] Deleted What benefits have you obser What disadvantages have you obser Page 22: [8] Commented Suggest to include a preamble to domain name applicants. Question format: multiple choice Page 22: [9] Deleted How many of these NORNs C letter? What action did you take What response did you g Was it resolved? What w How many times did the application as a result of y How many times did the application?	ved when a Sunrise Period is extended erved when a Sunrise Period is extende Ariel Liang to clarify the proper timing to send of Ariel Liang laims Notices did[4] you follow with so e? get? Are you satisfied? If so, why? If not ras the resolution? licant to whom you sent a cease and de our cease and desist letter?	beyond 30 days?[3] d beyond 30 days? 1/16/18 7:04:00 PM ut the Claims Notice to 1/16/18 6:42:00 PM ome action a cease and desist c, why not? esist letter agree to abandon esist letter refuse to abandon

How many URS, UDRP or other actions did you file against applicants to whom you sent a cease and desist letter that refused to abandon their applications based on likelihood of confusion, cybersquatting or bad faith[5]?

In how many such actions did the applicant abandon its application prior to decision?

In how many such actions did you succeed? In how many such actions did you lose?

Page 25: [10] Deleted	Kathy Kleiman	12/15/17 2:50:00 PM
Have you ever registered a	a domain n[6][7][8][9]	
ame? [if no, terminate surv	vey]	
Page 26: [11] Deleted	Kurt Pritz	12/15/17 1:27:00 AM
Have you received any kind	d of objection to your choice of a domain nam	e?
If so, what type? [ask r	more questions][10]	
Page 27: [12] Deleted	Rivka T	12/15/17 4:29:00 PM
If, when registering your d	lomain, you received, for the first time, a notic	e with the following
wording, didwould you:		
proceed with the regis	stration without thinking about it much	
thinkformation		
_	ion about the notice and carefully consider wh	nether you should
continue with the regi		
seek additional inform	nation	
abandon the registration		
(a)		
	Rivka T	12/15/17 4·28·00 PM
Page 27: [13] Deleted	Rivka T	12/15/17 4:28:00 PM
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is	
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were:	
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is	
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were:	
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other?	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were:	
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration	it because received a 12/15/17 1:37:00 AM
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u>	it because received a 12/15/17 1:37:00 AM
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is vording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> lomain, you received a notice with the followir o continue with the registration	it because received a 12/15/17 1:37:00 AM ng wording, would you
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> domain, you received a notice with the followir o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the regist	it because received a <u>12/15/17 1:37:00 AM</u> ng wording, would you
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your d believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no you had no legal right	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> lomain, you received a notice with the followir o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the regist to continue with the registration?	it because received a 12/15/17 1:37:00 AM ng wording, would you
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> lomain, you received a notice with the followir o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the regist to continue with the registration?	it because received a <u>12/15/17 1:37:00 AM</u> ng wording, would you
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your d believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no you had no legal right	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> lomain, you received a notice with the followir o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the regist to continue with the registration?	it because received a 12/15/17 1:37:00 AM ng wording, would you
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no you had no legal right [Why/why not? – anecdotal quest	omain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration <u>Kurt Pritz</u> domain, you received a notice with the followin o continue with the registration of have a legal right to continue with the regist to continue with the registration? stion]	it because received a <u>12/15/17 1:37:00 AM</u> ng wording, would you ration
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no you had no legal right [Why/why not? – anecdotal quest	comain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration Kurt Pritz domain, you received a notice with the following o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the registration? stion] Kurt Pritz Kurt Pritz received a notice with the registration?	it because received a <u>12/15/17 1:37:00 AM</u> ng wording, would you ration
Page 27: [13] Deleted If, when registering your do notice with the following w legally allowed to cont other? (a) Page 28: [14] Deleted If, when registering your do believe that: you had a legal right to you might or might no you had no legal right [Why/why not? – anecdotal quest Page 28: [15] Deleted What would you do if you	pmain, you proceeded with the registration, is yording, would you believed you were: tinue with the registration Kurt Pritz Iomain, you received a notice with the following o continue with the registration ot have a legal right to continue with the registration? stion] Kurt Pritz received a notice with the registration? stion]	it because received a <u>12/15/17 1:37:00 AM</u> ng wording, would you ration

[Consider some cells using examples: e.g., xerox.careers, apple.farms, chipotles.sucks—what would they

something else [explain]

do if they received notices?