URS Practitioner Background Experience and Perspective

In how many URS proceedings have you been involved as Complainant or its

representative?
A. 1 to 2 B. 3 to 5 C. 5 to 10 D. 10 or more E. None
2. In how many URS proceedings have you been involved as Respondent or its representative?
A. 1 to 2 B. 3 to 5 C. 5 to 10 D. 10 or more E. None
3. Overall, leaving aside the result of the proceeding, how was your experience with the process of a URS proceeding?
 A. Extremely Positive B. Positive C. Somewhat Positive D. Neutral E. Somewhat Negative F. Negative G. Extremely Negative
Procedural Issues
4. When involved as Complainant or its representative in a URS proceeding, were there any issues with delivering notice of the proceeding to the Respondent?
A. Yes B. No C. Not applicable If yes, briefly explain the issue:
n you, anony oxplain the locae.

experience any issues with receiving notice of the proceeding?
A. Yes
B. No
C. Not applicable
If yes, briefly explain the issue:
6. Have you filed or been involved in an appeal of a URS decision?
A. Yes
B. No
7. If yes, to question 6, from the choices below how would you characterize your experience with the appeal process after a URS proceeding?
A. Extremely Positive
B. Positive
C. Somewhat Positive D. Neutral
E. Somewhat Negative
F. Negative
G. Extremely Negative
8. Have you or a party adverse to you in a URS proceeding ever sought de novo review under Paragraph 6.4 of the URS Procedure.
A. Yes, within the initial 6 months
B. Yes, within a six month extension period
C. No
D. Not applicable
9. If you answered yes to Question 8: From your experience with de novo review under Paragraph 6.4 of the URS Procedure, do you believe this procedure should be retained, modified or removed?
A. Yes, retained as is
B. Yes, retained but modified
C. No, should be removed D. No opinion
D. No opinion
10. Please provide any comments you wish to add in explanation of any of your answers to questions 3 through 9 above:

When involved as Respondent or its representative in a URS proceeding did you

Substantive Issues

1. Do you believe that that URS dispute resolution providers should provide a resource similar to the WIPO Overview of WIPO Panel Views on Selected UDRP Questions for the URS?
A. Yes B. No
2. Do you believe the Determination in your URS proceeding provided the reasons on which the decision was based, as required by Section 13(b) of the URS Rules?
A. Strongly agreeB. AgreeC. DisagreeD. Strongly Disagree
3. Do you believe that the URS is being used for the types of cases for which it was intended namely clear cases of abuse?
A. Strongly agreeB. AgreeC. DisagreeD. Strongly Disagree
4. Have you encountered any problems with the implementation of the relief awarded following a URS decision?
A. Yes B. No
If yes, please briefly describe:
5. Do you believe the relief provided by a URS proceeding is adequate?
A. Strongly agreeB. AgreeC. DisagreeD. Strongly Disagree
If your response to question 5 is C or D, how would you change it?

6. Should there be more guidance provided to educate or instruct practitioners on what is needed to meet the "clear and convincing" burden of proof in a URS proceeding?
A. Yes, more guidance from the dispute resolution service providerB. Yes, from ICANNC. No, the guidance is already adequate
D. No opinion
7. Based on your experience as a URS practitioner, is the standard of "clear and convincing evidence" for the burden of proof in a URS proceeding appropriate?
A. No, too high B. Appropriate
C. No, too low
D. No opinion
8. Based on your experience with the URS, should the standard for the burden of proof be modified?
A. No, it is adequate as is
B. Yes, it should be lowered
C. Yes, it should be made higher
D. No opinion
If you are advocating for a change in the standard of proof, please explain the basis for your position:
9. Please provide any comments you wish to add in explanation of any of your answers to questions 1 through 8 above:
Practical Issues
1. Do you believe the current mechanisms for proving use in a URS case are adequate?
A. Strongly agree

- B. Agree
- C. Disagree
- D. Strongly Disagree
- 2. Do you believe that the submission of an SMD file from the Trademark Clearing House to demonstrate that proof of use was filed with the TMCH is adequate proof of use for a URS case?
 - A. Yes

	В.	NO
3.	D	o you believe the filing fee for a URS is appropriate?
	В. С.	Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
If y	ou i	answered C or D, should it be higher or lower, and why?
4.	D	o you believe there are adequate means for searching prior URS cases?
	В. С.	Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
5.	D	o you believe the existing word limitation for filings in a URS proceeding is appropriate?
	В. С.	Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
lf y	ou i	answered C or D, should it be higher or lower, and why?
6. ар		o you believe the existing time frames for submitting filings in a URS proceeding are priate?
	В. С.	Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree
lf y	ou i	answered C or D, should it be longer or shorter, and why?
7. are		o you believe the existing limitations on the submission of evidence in a URS proceeding propriate?
	В. С.	Strongly agree Agree Disagree Strongly Disagree

8. Please provide any comments you want to add to explain your answers to questions 1 through 7 above:
<u>Other</u>
1. Have you chosen not to file a URS in a particular matter?
A. Yes B. No
C. Not applicable
If the answer is yes, please elaborate and if possible without violating confidentiality, privilege of attorney work product, specify the alternative action you did take:
2. Do you believe that the URS Process as it now exists is an effective rights protection mechanism?
A. Strongly agree
B. Agree
C. Disagree
D. Strongly Disagree
3. Please provide any comments you want to add to explain your answers to questions 1 and 2 above: