**Fees**

Q2: Among the Complaints you received that each listed 15 or more disputed domain names registered by the same Registrant, how many Respondents filed a Reponses and paid the required Response Fee?

* Staff Note: Based on staff’s collected data and Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research, there have been no Responses filed to the six (6) Complaints in question, meaning that no Response Fee for those cases was paid

**The Response**

Q3: Have you received any requests for an extension of time to respond?

A) If yes, how many/what percentage of the Respondents asked for an extension of time?

B) How many of these requests were received after Default (14 Calendar Days), or after Determination (no more than 30 Calendar Days)?

* Staff Note: Staff's initial review of Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research data indicates that no requests for extension of time to respond have been made.

**Language**

Q3: Are all of your assigned Examiners fluent in the non-English language of the Respondents?

* Staff Note: Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research data notes specific decisions published in languages other than English, as well as cases where it was specifically noted that an Examiner was fluent in other language(s). While this may not answer the question, it may be an interesting data point for the WG to review.

**Examiner**

Q2: Noting that URS Rule 13(a) provides that an Examiner may “make a Determination …in accordance with …any rules and principles of law that it deems applicable”, are you aware of instances where an Examiner has invoked substantive criteria beyond those articulated in the URS Rules, Procedure, and Supplemental Rules?

* Staff Note**:** Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research includes data on the cases where Examiners invoked “other” substantive criteria beyond the URS Rules, Procedure, and Supplemental Rules.

Q5: How do your Examiners apply the “clear and convincing evidence” standard of proof required in URS cases?

* Staff Note**:** Professor Rebecca Tushnet's research includes data on the case Determinations where Examiners did not provide details or invoked "other" substantive criteria.

Q7: Among your Examiner’s Determinations, how many did not provide the reasons on which the Determination is based but simply stated that the URS elements have been established?

* Staff Note**:** Staff’s initial review of Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research suggests that the numerical answer to this question can be derived from the data.

**Determinations and Publication**

Q3: Has any Determination that your Examiners have issued concerned the same domain name(s) at issue in a prior case? If so, have you linked the cases? Has any Final Determination been made by the same Examiner who made the initial Default Determination in the same case? If so, how many times has this occurred?

* Staff Note: Staff’s initial review of Professor Rebecca Tushnet’s research shows that data has been included that can answer Parts 1, 3 and 4 of this question.