Attendance- 12 Members

Brian Beckham - WIPO

Claudio DiGangi

David McAuley

George Kirikos

Greg Shatan

Kristine Dorrain

Maxim Alzoba

Michael Karanicolas

Mitch Stoltz

Petter Rindforth

Philip Corwin

Susan Payne

Audio Only:

None

Apologies:

Griffin Barnett

Staff:

Ariel Liang

Julie Hedlund

Mary Wong

Andrea Glandon

AC Chat:

Andrea Glandon: Welcome to the RPM Sub Team for Sunrise Registrations call held on Wednesday, 19 December 2018 at 18:00 UTC.

Andrea Glandon: Wiki Agenda Page: https://community.icann.org/x/Pgj BQ

Maxim Alzoba: Is it possible to grant me use of Adobe audio? (computer mic)

Maxim Alzoba:Hello Andrea

Andrea Glandon: Hello Maxim! Apologies, mic is available now

Maxim Alzoba:sound works

Andrea Glandon:sounds perfect!

Kristine Dorrain: Dialing in now, but running for a quick break.

Maxim Alzoba:toss a coin!

George Kirikos:Hi folks.

David McAuley: I am 4154

David McAuley:but not a day over

Julie Hedlund:LOL David ;-)

Mitch Stoltz:hello all. I'm dialed in as 5068.

Andrea Glandon: Thank you, Mitch!

George Kirikos: We're starting at 5 mins after, though?

George Kirikos:(as agreed last week, i.e. end TM claims at :55, start next call at :05)

Greg Shatan: I have to drop at the half-hour.

David McAuley:good point, 5 after

Kristine Dorrain: Ariel, can you please post a link to the table we need to fill in?

Kristine Dorrain:(not the Google spreadsheet)

Ariel Liang: yes one moment

George Kirikos:Susan did, from the first call

George Kirikos: Secretary of the IPC.

Susan Payne: SOI - only the one I mentioned on the claims call - now Secretary of IPC

Ariel Liang:@Kristine - this is the summary table for the Sunrise Sub Team to fill in. We will convert this into a Google Doc shortly

Ariel Liang: https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rpm-

 $\frac{wg/attachments/20181203/0f4ad243/Table of final agreed Sunrise questions data for review-3 Dec 2018-0001.pdf$

Andrea Glandon: Please remember to mute your phone and mics. I am hearing some echo.

Kristine Dorrain:thanks!

Susan Payne: I'm assuming I don'; 't have to also mention it on the main WG call too:)

Julie Hedlund:@Susan: You may need to do so for completeness :-)

Susan Payne: i'll try to remember then

David McAuley:good idea

George Kirikos:14 people, David,

see: https://community.icann.org/pages/viewpage.action?pageId=100533471

Mitch Stoltz: I support keeping nominations open until Friday

George Kirikos:+3 co-chairs, = 17 David McAuley:thanks George

Kristine Dorrain: If no one volunteers, would the WG chairs rotate like they do for plenary?

Maxim Alzoba:+1 for nominations to be open till the friday

Susan Payne:that's a good solution Kristine

David McAuley:thanks Phil

Ariel Liang: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A docs.google.com spreadsheets d 1aBw-2DdW2gBzvBfhUgl3u6ShWIPZt0yyNF-

2DVs1qmUuljg edit-3Fusp-

<u>3Dsharing&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjltyVqrCYHorks9SFxlmbYEJqG-y9l&m=-ryDomT0v4LgKuFVETYNRgOQ84YEGwY_bvc-ONhsm4E&s=wFLdwz-</u>

oG9g8legE0KgF2iR26jI2uNnnlw06C4BwCzo&e=

Kristine Dorrain:no objections, you're marvelous

David McAuley:none here

Mitch Stoltz:No objection

David McAuley:+1 @ Kristine

Julie Hedlund:link: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-

3A docs.google.com spreadsheets d 1aBw-2DdW2gBzvBfhUgl3u6ShWlPZt0yyNF-

2DVs1qmUulig edit-23gid-

3D381275905&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwll3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4l5cM&r=k7uKdjSb7_ZjItyVqr

CYHO rKms9SFxlmbYEJqG-y9I&m=-ryDomT0v4LgKuFVETYNRgOQ84YEGwY bvc-

ONhsm4E&s=IKxUkgWClddocri-ZBalDD7wmO1zmpgC-Fr3wTcCaXY&e=.

Maxim Alzoba:+1

George Kirikos: Obviously we can't use only the input from brandowners in assessing this question.

George Kirikos: The registrars and registries definitely had a lot to say about the sunrise periods.

Kristine Dorrain: and they were asked....

Andrea Glandon:Please mute lines

David McAuley:bad background noiuse

Andrea Glandon: finding the line

Maxim Alzoba:@Mary, could you arrange a dial in?

George Kirikos: Non-TM owning Registrants weren't asked about the sunrise periods. Hmmm.

Andrea Glandon: Maxim, I can call out to you

George Kirikos:Cells F28 and G28 answer that, namely that only 4 TM owners missed the 30 day deadline.

Julie Hedlund:@George: Thank you.

George Kirikos:Cell F31 argues for 'more time', but with no tradeoff/cost attached to it, it's somewhat a weak question.

Julie Hedlund:@George: Noted.

Kristine Dorrain:PROPOSAL: We refer to and work from https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rpm-wg/attachments/20181203/0f4ad243/TableoffinalagreedSunrisequestionsdataforreview-3Dec2018-0001.pdf. And people can refer to the data table as needed to help fill in the chart. We need to keep our eye on the outcome.

David McAuley:Acknowledging the point Kristine made, I am as yet undecided on a Sunrise extension – can see argument for both sides. And comparing answers to Q15 and Q16 doesn't help a great deal. So I would prefer to weigh in on list after considering this further, perhaps aided by context yet to come in this group.

George Kirikos:The notice was on G35 of the registries + registrants tab.

Maxim Alzoba: about sunrise extension - there is a huge misunderstanding ... 30 days sunrise and 60 days are both 60 days, for the first one is a 30 day notice period

Maxim Alzoba: and they have different rules - first comes first served, for 60 - the highest bidder Greg Shatan: Agree with Maxim & Susan. The Charter question is somewhat confused.

Maxim Alzoba:@Mary, please read out my comments about 60 days from the table, I still have issues with connectivity

Maxim Alzoba: from the XLS document

George Kirikos: I think the registrar responses might have been skewed by BRANDOWNER-oriented registrars (Markmonitor, etc.), vs Consumer-oriented registrars.

George Kirikos: We know that the sunrise was abused, and wasn't popular to begin with.

Michael Karanicolas: I agree with Kristine. These foundational questions need to be addressed.

Susan Payne:not skewed; sunrises are utilised by brand owners and a number work with corporate registrars, so obviously their take on duration of sunrise is highly relevant

Mary Wong:@Kristine, correct about needing to fill out the table now being displayed in AC.

Susan Payne: many non-corporate registrars chose not to participate in sunrises at all

Maxim Alzoba:@Julie, please add my notes to the Notes/Action items

Susan Payne:strongly agree Kristine

Mitch Stoltz: I agree with @Kristine. Best to start with the general questions.

Julie Hedlund:@Maxim: Which notes? The chat will be captured separately. Also the recording.

Maxim Alzoba: I have hand up, will use phone

Kristine Dorrain: Many registrars chose not to participate in sunrise.

Maxim Alzoba:@Julie, from the Google table

Philip Corwin:@Kristine -- if Sunrise is being abused, what is the proper response? Tighten it to reduce abuse, or eliminate it? Not arguing for either, just asking for where you thnk the answer will take us.

David McAuley:yes

Mitch Stoltz:ves

George Kirikos:Yes, can hear you.

Kristine Dorrain:@Phil, of course that would depend on how it's being abused any by whom. (nice lawyer response?)

Kristine Dorrain:It's hard to abuse sunrise. You could put a mark in the TMCH fraudulently...but that's a TMCH issue.

George Kirikos:F41 and G41 of the Registries/Registrars tab shows how long the registries vs. the registrars wanted the sunrise period to last (when choosing between 30 & 60 days).

Kristine Dorrain: (I suppose Rrs or Rys could abuse an end-date sunrise)

Michael Karanicolas:@Kristine - I think where I'd make the distinction, and where it becomes a sunrise issue, is where trademarks are being applied beyond their category of use

Kristine Dorrain: Maxim, I think a registrar could do a FCFS sunrise however long it wanted....

George Kirikos:Registries wanted it shorter, but the registrars that answered the survey wanted it longer (which is more aligned with brandowners, for the registrar responses).

Kristine Dorrain:sorry, Registry.

Michael Karanicolas:So - it may be reasonable for Apple to have its mark protected in the TMCH, but if they use it to claim apple.food, that's problematic

George Kirikos:But, other tabs from the registrars/registries argued for elimination of sunrise, talking about higher costs, etc.

George Kirikos: See Regstrar & Registrar Q15 and Q4f tab, where answers pointed to higher costs.

Maxim Alzoba:adding +30 (multiplied by something) days means registry can not start business, but paying bills

George Kirikos: You're not "correcting" things, given there's no definitive answer.

Susan Payne:apologies not "correcting" then. "responding" to you and pointing out your errors George Kirikos:lol Not an error.

Susan Payne: Kristine is correct - but everyone who is working on this group should read the rules and understand what start and end date sunrises are.

Kristine Dorrain:+1000

Kristine Dorrain: Target audience *IS* corporate registrars....

Susan Payne: of course it was the ones who participated in sunrises - that was a gating Q

Kristine Dorrain:consumer registrars have no opinion on sunrise.....

Kristine Dorrain:(or very little)

Susan Payne:+10000 Kristine

Maxim Alzoba: I reffered to "Trademark ClearinghouseRights Protection Mechanism Requirements", 2.2.1, about 60 days

Kristine Dorrain:+1 Maxim...everyone should read that. :)

Kristine Dorrain:SEriously

Maxim Alzoba: I think lack of awareness about the timing might had been the reason for lots of confusion

Susan Payne: Yes, no-one who is working on this should be doing so if they are not familiar with that doc

Kristine Dorrain:@Maxim, yes. And for a big company, like Amazon, getting approval to participate in sunrise at all was time consuming.

David McAuley: i tried earl; ier link to this didn't work - can you post link one more time

George Kirikos: We'd likely want to create a new row for each bulleted point.

Maxim Alzoba: is it possible to mark who added what to the document with [name: text]?

George Kirikos:(I think we talked about that last time?)

Maxim Alzoba:so we do not loose tracking

Kristine Dorrain: Agree with George to add rows

Kristine Dorrain: (again-

David McAuley:nice mtn

Maxim Alzoba: and please make version tracking (nu,ber of the version in the leftmost corner of the first page :)

Kristine Dorrain:Thanks Staff! I like it

Petter Rindforth:Lets*s go ahead with Kristines suggestion

Kristine Dorrain:(obviously)

Kristine Dorrain: I vote that Staff edits live during the call

George Kirikos:Best to use the mailing list, otherwise it's a mess.

Kristine Dorrain: ^thoughts?

Maxim Alzoba: Is it possible to make "Comment ONLY mode for us"?

Kristine Dorrain: Agree with George on mailing list

Susan Payne: I'm afraid I have to drop off the adobe now but will stat on the call

David McAuley:google tools are a problem in the respect of such changes - become unwieldy in large groups

Kristine Dorrain:@Maxim, we don't want this many people to comment, we're collecting group thoughts,

George Kirikos:Plus, we'd need to monitor the spreadsheet for each change, compared to being notified via the mailing list as to comments (which I think is easier, at least for me).

George Kirikos:+1 David

Maxim Alzoba:ok, could we at least make read only version where it is possible to see who added what?

George Kirikos: Very poor scaling for Google docs, beyond 3 or 4 people.

George Kirikos:Tongue twister.

Kristine Dorrain:Like mailing list or discussion comments..this isn't about collecting individual thoughts, it's try to see whta we can coalsce around.

George Kirikos: Send it to the entire list, though, not just the secretariat.

Maxim Alzoba:Ok, no editor right, only comments mode might work

Mary Wong:Google Docs shows comments - perhaps we can ask that people use real names and not anonymous handles when commenting?

Mary Wong:Staff can also explore breaking out the single doc into different ones for different questions if that keeps the document shorter.

Kristine Dorrain:+1 MAry....you need to write your name in the comment itself as Google makes you an "anonoymous chimpanzee"

Maxim Alzoba: writing comments as [Name]: text might work

Kristine Dorrain: (Even if you're logged in)

Kristine Dorrain:+1 Mazim and Julie

Maxim Alzoba: I was writing in this mode 'Maxim:text'

Kristine Dorrain:I do that doo.

Kristine Dorrain:too, even.

David McAuley: Seems a good idea Julie - a doc per Q

Kristine Dorrain: Did you say tab per question?

Kristine Dorrain:Doc per question?

Kristine Dorrain: Can we do comments with spreadsheets? I feel like that's super awkward.

George Kirikos:Different spreadsheet tab per group of questoins, instead of having multiple documents.

Maxim Alzoba:tab per question is better, if we have many separate documents, we are doomed George Kirikos:Oh, ok, if it won't track things, then DOC is necessary.

George Kirikos:But, mailing list would have been easiest, I think.

Kristine Dorrain:@George, I think it does, but it's hard to follow.

Mary Wong:@George, the mailing list function does not allow for collaboration as much as it does for sequential responding.

George Kirikos:@Mary: true, but often folks will wait until the last minute to send in their comments.

George Kirikos:So, not as much 'collaboration' in reality as one might expect in theory.

Mary Wong:@George, perhaps - but staff recalls that in Panama (I think) the WG agreed on having cutoff times/dates for comments.

Mary Wong:So staff is assuming that the same will apply for the two sub teams (i.e. cut-off for comemnts before each call)

Kristine Dorrain:@George, I hear that. I feel like we need to start somewhere. :)

Maxim Alzoba: bye all, have nice holidays, I have to drop

Kristine Dorrain: Happy Holidays and a Joyous New Year. Talk to you all in 2019.

George Kirikos: Happy holidays, and best wishes for the New Year!

Kristine Dorrain: Thanks for herding the cat like a boss, Julie!

Kristine Dorrain:(cats)

Mitch Stoltz:Happy New Year, all.

David McAuley: Thanks Julie, staff, and all and happy holidays

Ariel Liang: Happy holidays to everyone!

Philip Corwin: HH & NY to all!

George Kirikos:Bye folks.

George Kirikos:lol

Kristine Dorrain::)