

Attendance - 12 Members

Brian Beckham - WPO
David McAuley
George Kirikos
Greg Shatan
Griffin Barnett
Jason Schaeffer
Kathy Kleiman
Kristine Dorrain
Michael Karanicolas
Mitch Stoltz
Philip Corwin
Susan Payne

Apologies: Maxim Alzoba

Staff: Ariel Liang, Julie Hedlund, Berry Cobb, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the Review of all Rights Protection Mechanisms (RPMs) Sub Team for Sunrise Data Review call on Wednesday, 02 January 2019 at 18:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki page: https://community.icann.org/x/hwj_BQ

Griffin Barnett:Hi all happy new year

Griffin Barnett:The Claims call just ended - I was on it

George Kirikos:Hi folks! Happy New Year.

Julie Hedlund:All -- Just a reminder that we'll start this call at 5 after the hour to allow time for the transition between calls

David McAuley:I am #4154

Julie Bisland:thank you, David!

Mitch Stoltz:I am on the phone at *9333

Julie Bisland:Thank you, Mitch!!

David McAuley:Thank you, Greg, seconded here

Greg Shatan:If anyone else wants to chair here, I can co-chair the other group with Martin Silva.

Greg Shatan:Thank you all.

Ariel Liang:https://community.icann.org/x/_oIWBg

Griffin Barnett:Just as a heads up, I had submitted a Word doc with some comments, but I am planning to integrate those comments directly into the Google Doc after this meeting

Ariel Liang:oops corrected. Thank you

Kathy Kleiman:It sounds like Kristine's comments represent a merger of two sets of individual comments -- great!

Kristine Dorrain:@Greg, I think at this point, we're trying to analyze the data available and see if we can coalesce around some ideas or themes. We haven't really decided yet how to resolve lack of consensus. We have already discussed an initial report like SubPro's - recommendations were possible, but questions or ideas were not.

Griffin Barnett:I think it's important to keep in mind that for this question we are looking at the intended purpose of Sunrise

Griffin Barnett:And whether the 30 day minimum served that purpose

Kristine Dorrain:@ George, just a reminder that largely only corporate registrars participated in sunrise because most TMCH SMD holders use them.

Julie Hedlund:@Greg: Staff is happy to do so :-)

Griffin Barnett:Surely, many registrars or registries had some cost burdens having to implement Sunrise, but that's separate from the issue of whether the 30 day minimum served the intended purpose of Sunrise, which was to facilitate Sunrise registrations by legitimate brand owners

Susan Payne:@George, the point of the questions was to gather experiences of sunrises, so the "universe" was only registrars who participated. that's now skewed

Kristine Dorrain:+1 Susan.

George Kirikos:The Analysis Group conceded many points, e.g. no statistic margins of error, they agree it's not a random sample, etc.

Philip Corwin:Greg--you can express personal views so long as you take off the chair hat and make clear that you are doing so

Michael Karanicolas:So... maybe the thing to do is to read the survey results through the lens of who was polled, and the interests and biases that are going to be baked into that group.

George Kirikos:It was intended to be scientific, could meet academic standards.

Michael Karanicolas:Just like if you see a poll that says Republicans are overwhelmingly supportive of Trump. It's useful, but must be read through that lens.

George Kirikos:Not just a series of anonymous anecdotes that are unrepresentative.

Kristine Dorrain:Correction: There were more End-Date Sunrises than Start-Date Sunrises. I misspoke.

Kristine Dorrain:The survey happened because we didn't just want to be an echo chamber. We wanted to hear from anyone outside ourselves that was willing to share. Period. Once we received our budget, we did not labor under ANY delusions that this survey would be scientific or academic, though we tried (people like Rebecca who are familiar with surveys did their best).

Kristine Dorrain:Brian, you're very faint

Griffin Barnett:Brian you were very faint

Kristine Dorrain:faint, even.

Kristine Dorrain:that's better

Kristine Dorrain:Just shout

Kristine Dorrain:~)

Kristine Dorrain:Pretend you're me.

George Kirikos:@Kristine: Suppose the survey responses were the result of a random number generator (i.e. totally invalid, unrepresentative, etc.), as a thought experiment. How would that survey differ from the one we got, where there are no applicable margins of error, and folks know it wasn't a representative random sample?

Kristine Dorrain:The point was to see if we got any new ideas or thoughts or maybe even just confirmation of what we knew. Our group is very representative. We don't expect any surprises. but we wanted to be sure.

Griffin Barnett:The TLD Startup Information microsite of the ICANN site is the main current resource, but in my experience, it is often not kept up to date/accurate and appears subject to changes on a rolling basis by ROs

Kristine Dorrain:All of us in this group should be able to largely speak for our demographic, if not representatively, at least anecdotally.

Susan Payne:@David, theoretically there was this information published by ICANN but I think it was not always accurate, especially if a Registry changed its timings

David McAuley:i agree not accurate or up to date - the good idea is to be current and clear and well publicised

Griffin Barnett:That site is here, FYI: https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_program-2Dstatus_sunrise-2Dclaims-2Dperiods&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=80tH26NEzep0Kqa7KU1ml_9yhCKAm_-_UViUq5S6oMyk&s=NRafLyzBFC-SQAAI16m9wTRjKG3859aA88Uuf8aFq7s&e=

George Kirikos:@Kristine: that's confirmation bias, etc.

Kristine Dorrain:@George. You won't hear me arguing.

Kristine Dorrain:The point was to do what we could with what we had.

Kristine Dorrain:+1 Brian

Kristine Dorrain:and I can confirm Brian objected to surveys....

Griffin Barnett:+1 Brian

Susan Payne:thanks Brian, completely agree with all of that

Brian Beckham - WPO:(old, sorry)

George Kirikos:All data, I believe.

George Kirikos:I.e. we had the other sources, like blogs, industry articles, etc.

Kathy Kleiman:First Analysis Group then All

Mitch Stoltz:I would hope the subteam considers all relevant information

Kathy Kleiman:+1 Julie

George Kirikos:But, the workflow was to start with this survey source, and then move on to the other data.

Mitch Stoltz:given the acknowledged limitations of the AG survey

David McAuley:well stated, Kristine

Kristine Dorrain:+1 George....people were having a hard time linking the survey Qs to the charter Qs.

George, Griffin and I were the only ones to do that so far.

George Kirikos:Thanks, Kristine. Like we mentioned on the first cal, perhaps next week others will have a chance to review the spreadsheet and provide their data.

Griffin Barnett:I'm happy to introduce my comments, but I wonder if it might be better if I put my comments into the appropriate Google Doc format first and then pick up next week?

George Kirikos:Survey implementation was very bad, not just bad. :-)

George Kirikos:The survey questions were great! But, they should have spent more than \$200 to collect full data, given they had \$50,000 to work with!

Julie Hedlund:@Griffin: Up to you how you would like us to proceed, although David McCauley had already commented on your comments.

Griffin Barnett:I think David might be an outlier :)

Kristine Dorrain:Griffin, I did just get to yours this morning. Happy to remark during the week. :)

Griffin Barnett:Indeed Kristine - I was a bit delayed in getting them circulated

Greg Shatan:I am still in an eggnog coma.

David McAuley:good point by George about a Jan 2 call - maybe not the most prepared

Susan Payne:so what question are we working on for homework please?

Greg Shatan:So I would agree with George on the "soft" review, and a call to comment for next week's "hard" review.

George Kirikos:So, will we have new homework for next week? (i.e. double, for those who didn't respond this week?)

Kristine Dorrain:If you're on this call, you're responsible to comment for HOMEWORK. Headmistress Kristine is cracking skulls. I don't want to be here this summer.

George Kirikos:Or, just 5(a) for next week?

George Kirikos:lol Kristine.

Mitch Stoltz:I second discussing the preamble worksheet

Ariel Liang:https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__docs.google.com_document_d_1Fw2J0gTvXi8IKlt5qELD6i1Ifg7-2D-5F9-2DjFSup8MEmH80_edit-3Fusp-3Dsharing&d=DwlFaQ&c=FmY1u3PJp6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=80tH26NEzepOKqa7KU1ml_9yhCKAm_-UViUq5S6oMyk&s=VEsVANAIT-tLtwvlf3j_S1hC6KyQcCdGiEFo7WH6Z4I&e=

Griffin Barnett:I think we should identify a specific next question to focus on for next week after 5(a)

Kristine Dorrain:We need more homework, AND please note that staff's suggested survey results rows are only illustrative....please make sure you review the results yourself to see if there is useful data in other rows, on other tabs.

George Kirikos:Can you send that to the mailing list too, Ariel, for those not on the call? (and since it sometimes takes a few days for the chat transcript to come)

George Kirikos:+1 Mitch

Kathy Kleiman:Can I urge people to respond in shorter segments -- more rows, more succinct comments?

George Kirikos:Oops, I meant +1 David.

Ariel Liang:Yes staff will send out the homework google doc to the mailing list

David McAuley:Thanks Julie

David McAuley:and Ariel, homework taskmasters

Ariel Liang:you bet!

George Kirikos:Bye folks.

Julie Bisland:Next call: Wednesday, 09 January 2019 at 18:00 UTC for 60 minutes

Greg Shatan:Thank you all!

Griffin Barnett:Thanks all, until next week....

David McAuley:Thanks all, good bye

Philip Corwin:Some of us have champagne hangovers ;-:

Mitch Stoltz:thanks all

Kristine Dorrain:thanks all

Susan Payne:thanks