

Attendance - 12 members

Brian Beckham - WIPO
David McAuley
George Kirikos
Greg Shatan
Griffin Barnett
Jason Schaeffer
Kathy Kleiman
Kristine Dorrain
Maxim Alzoba
Michael Karanicolas
Petter Rindforth
Philip Corwin

Apologies: Susan Payne

Staff: Ariel Liang, Julie Hedlund, Berry Cobb, Julie Bisland

AC Chat:

Julie Bisland:Welcome to the RPMs Sub Team for Sunrise Data Review call on Wednesday, 09 January 2019 at 18:00 UTC

Julie Bisland:Agenda wiki: https://community.icann.org/x/iQj_BQ

David McAuley:just dialed in, I am 4154

David McAuley:Great prize?

David McAuley:Hello

George Kirikos:Hi folks.

George Kirikos:It looks like the font size/color menu is gone! :-)

Julie Bisland:you're right, George, I think they used this room recently for another call and may have changed some settings.

Griffin Barnett:How will I know George's is commenting without his signature blue text?

Griffin Barnett:/s

George Kirikos:I will trademark that colour, just like Loboutin (?) does for shoe soles.

Greg Shatan:Hi, all.

George Kirikos:I was reading about TMs for scents....very interesting stuff.

David McAuley:sounds fine

Julie Bisland:sorry Julie!

Greg Shatan:Please, thanks!

Greg Shatan:Also, I will be changing my name to Julie.

Michael Karanicolas:Is there an opportunity to discuss the Proposed Process for TMCH Sunrise & Trademark Claims Sub Teams?

Griffin Barnett:AGree we should bring the practices re workflow for this sub-team in line with what we agreed to in the Claims sub-team

Greg Shatan:Good, I would appreciate the same run through as the Claims group got, and the discussion of lockdown time.

George Kirikos:@Michael: I think that's for the main mailing list, not just the subteams.

Michael Karanicolas:Fair enough

George Kirikos:I plan to send comments on it by the end of the week too.

David McAuley:Thank you Julie

Greg Shatan:I would agree with George on that last point (discussion of Process doc).

Maxim Alzoba:Hello All

Maxim Alzoba:which URL is on the screen?

David McAuley:Thanks Ariel

David McAuley:yes

George Kirikos:(if it would be possible to increase the default font in this chat, that would be great)

Julie Hedlund:@George: I don't think that's possible, unfortunately.

George Kirikos:(normally there are controls, but it looks like the settings changed) For those of us with older eyes....

Griffin Barnett:Agree with Ariel - Maxim, if you had input on the question 5(a), I think it is your responsibility to add it into the Google Doc so all feedback takes the same format

Griffin Barnett:If it was already added to the Google Doc but disappeared that is another mystery

George Kirikos:Is there a version control system in Google Docs, to undo/see all changes?

Maxim Alzoba:@Griffin, it was in previous Google Doc

Griffin Barnett:As Ariel is saying now I think you put your input into the wrong doc

Maxim Alzoba:Ok, I will review it the third time

Ariel Liang:Thank you for your patience and help Maxim

Maxim Alzoba:Could we start version tracking ?

George Kirikos:When I print them out, they're in landscape already?

David McAuley:Good point Greg, maybe also number PDF's by week

George Kirikos:Oh, I printed from Google Docs, though, not the PDF that was emailed out.

Ariel Liang:Maxim - yes we will note the dates in the title of PDFs to help with version tracking

David McAuley:excellent, gthanks Ariel

David McAuley:gthanks is because we are a gnso group

Griffin Barnett:I'd say turn it to any third-party comments

Griffin Barnett:I added these comments to the Google Doc shortly after our sub-team call last week, so they have been there for about a week now

Maxim Alzoba:the source is https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A_newgtlds.icann.org_en_program-2Dstatus_sunrise-2Dclaims-2Dperiods&d=DwIFaQ&c=FmY1u3PjP6wrcrwlI3mSVzgfkbPSS6sJms7xcl4I5cM&r=QiF-05YzARosRvTYd84AB_UYInlydmFcjNmBM5XgySw&m=mQabcbHh8nzOE518522bWoQbSJJJeFHdJQQm0TKsFh-0&s=eaUlnZEEo5vO3_5iIBS39rbleUFIQ2wIIAK7FnSSoN8&e=

Maxim Alzoba:for Sunrises, claims, limited periods

Michael Karanicolas:Thanks Maxim, haha

David McAuley:fair point

David McAuley:note for good, i agree

George Kirikos:Griffin had many of the cell references cited in the 5th column of his comments.

George Kirikos:Some of that could have been moved into the 4th column too.

Maxim Alzoba:the only changes are moving into future

Michael Karanicolas:Well if it's not being kept up to date that's one thing... but if businesses are asleep at the wheel and miss their opportunities, isn't that on them?

Maxim Alzoba:for example - extensions of the claims period

Griffin Barnett:@Michael, sure... but if they can't rely on a resource for having appropriate notice etc. that's a problem that we can try and address

Griffin Barnett:Agree with Maxm it is notable re the FCFS vs/ end-date auction process point

Kristine Dorrain:hi all, I'm sorry I'm late.

Michael Karanicolas:@Griffin sure - if the information being posted is erroneous, I'm more sympathetic to the problem

Griffin Barnett:The survey data showed that the vast majority of registry operators ran an end-date Sunrise (60 day period, no advance notice before opening the period)

Michael Karanicolas:And seems like a more commonsense fix. Though I'd be interested in hearing from registries about economic impacts of limiting their flexibility with regard to timing

Griffin Barnett:This is a starting point for other of my comments about what this may mean in terms of possible changes

George Kirikos:@Griffin: would help to cite the cells/tab for that, for later reference.

David McAuley:i can read it Greg

Griffin Barnett:I thought I did?

Griffin Barnett:(@George)

George Kirikos:(if it's in the survey, although we might have that data elsewhere)

George Kirikos:Oh, ok.

Maxim Alzoba:auctions potentially are subject to anti-monopoly agency of a particular country (if domains are the same - why different price?)

George Kirikos:Thanks for adjusting the chat.

Ariel Liang:no problem

Michael Karanicolas:I don't think we need to belabour the discussion here - i just wanted to make sure the comment reflected that some in the working group think George touched on a legitimate issue

Michael Karanicolas:Hi!

Kristine Dorrain:apologies, I'm looking at the doc for 5(a) but I'm not seeing these comments...can someone pop a link in?

Michael Karanicolas:I'm fine with moving the conversation forward

George Kirikos:This had come up on the main mailing list, see: <https://mm.icann.org/pipermail/gnso-rpm-wg/2019-January/003608.html>

George Kirikos:"In addition, given the Working Group's instructions that staff were not to conduct any sifting or analysis work but merely collate, compile and categories all entries found for further Working Group review, it seemed clear that this was a huge task for which a significant amount of Working Group (or Sub Team) time would be needed. Given that it was unclear what, exactly, the scope of the search was to be (e.g. what search terms were to be used, and what excluded) and that the Working Group soon thereafter decided to develop the data request that ultimately became the Sunrise and Claims surveys administered by the Analysis Group, staff has not proceeded further with the research – pending more specific direction from the Working Group once analysis of the Sunrise and Claims survey data as well as the data already available is complete, such that the utility of such a wide-ranging and undefined search can be clearer."

George Kirikos:Kristine and I were the only ones to submit thoughts, albeit brief ones.

George Kirikos:*thoughts

Griffin Barnett:Re the Preamble Q - I prepared written input but missed the window for adding it to the Google Doc before it was locked for this meeting

George Kirikos:The "Clarifying Note" at the top was very important.

Griffin Barnett:I can try and speak to it today, time permitting, but would suggest we start with Kristine and George's input that's there, and I will add my comments once the Google Doc is unlocked following this call

Griffin Barnett:I tend to agree with George that the survey data only assists us re (a) - (d)

George Kirikos:@Kristine: did you find anything for (c) through (f)?

George Kirikos:We have other sources for "abuses", but not from the survey.

Maxim Alzoba:RPMs were added later

Griffin Barnett:I agree there was confusion about interplay between SUNrise and other pre-GA periods like QLP/ALP

Griffin Barnett:And the survey data appears to support that notion

Griffin Barnett:I think that's what Kristine is getting at

Kristine Dorrain:@Griffin, yep

Kristine Dorrain:but there may have been more

Griffin Barnett:@George, I think we get to the same answer either way - if some party was committing abuses, they would be documented by another type of party

George Kirikos:+1 Greg...seemed more logical the 2nd way.

David McAuley:I suspect that as well although agree, nice phras, syntactically challenged

Kristine Dorrain:@ Greg, of course.

Griffin Barnett:I would say it might be useful to document both

Griffin Barnett:(who did the abuse, who documented it)

Kristine Dorrain:Yes, we're looking for sort of 1sts hand accounts.

Griffin Barnett:In any event - turning back to our task at hand - seems like folks agree the survey we are currently looking at does not help us answer those questions

Griffin Barnett:But there may be other sources we will ultimately look at that might do so

Kristine Dorrain:@Griffin, yes. c-f are pretty scant

Kristine Dorrain:(or non-existent in the survey)

Griffin Barnett:I think you mean (d) - (f)

Griffin Barnett:(c) is a different type of question?

Kristine Dorrain:@Griffin, yes

Griffin Barnett:Ok, just making sure

Kristine Dorrain:and no info also for c, but it's a different type of question....

Julie Hedlund 2:@Kathy: It should be on the wiki already.

Julie Hedlund 2:It would be considered when we move to other data.

Julie Hedlund 2:other collected data.

Kristine Dorrain:To be clear, the CORE issue is an example/anecdote. the sunrise problem he highlighted may not be limited to geos going forward. He's just the first big example.

Maxim Alzoba:Thursday, June 29 • 09:00 - 12:00RPMs PDP open sessionat Ballroom 2 (GNSO)

Griffin Barnett:I tend to agree data on (c) is also a bit scant, or at least indirect

Maxim Alzoba:2017

George Kirikos:We have (c) from Rebecca's 10 examples sent to Deloitte (last year, or the year before).

Griffin Barnett:My thoughts on (c) are: v

Griffin Barnett:This is more a TMCH question than a Sunrise question, and the survey data does not really speak to this issue directly, although it notes that some brand owners did not submit proof of use because: - Not planning to make Sunrise registrations (1); - Cost of submitting Proof of Use is greater than the benefit (1); - Time and administrative work required is greater than the benefit (1); - Not aware it was necessary to submit Proof of Use to make Sunrise registrations (3); - Other (2)* Scope of protection too narrow* Proof of use not required by registrar. Overall, it seems proof of use is not a major issue

George Kirikos:But, not from the survey.

Maxim Alzoba:it was the timing of Amadeu speech

Griffin Barnett:Oh lord - we are not going to finish in 2 more meetings

George Kirikos:Ultimately, I think most of the 'work' is in the homework, i.e. folks going through the material between the "live" calls.

Kristine Dorrain:@Griffin the first thing I did when I saw the co-chairs timeline/work plan was LOL

Kristine Dorrain:@George, I agree... homework.

George Kirikos:So, I'm not sure adding length necessarily helps, if folks don't have enough time between calls to review everything.

Griffin Barnett:I know we are all trying our best

Griffin Barnett:I also tend to agree with George that more focus should be on in-between calls homework / review

Philip Corwin:Timeline and reality would seem to dictate that we migrate to 90 minute calls

Griffin Barnett:(so again my own apologies for not timely adding my written input inot the Google Doc prior to this call)

Kristine Dorrain:I'm opposed to longer calls unless we have 5-7 people regularly doing the homework and it turns out we have that much to discuss.

Griffin Barnett:+1 Kristine

Kristine Dorrain:(5-7 people is not even 25%)

George Kirikos:Can we put up the list of all questions?

Griffin Barnett:Even if your HW response is to comment/agree on other comments

Ariel Liang:Here is the list of the remaining homework: https://community.icann.org/x/_oiWBg

Kristine Dorrain:+Griffin. +1 is fine.

George Kirikos:Some might be easier than others (i.e. the multi-part questions are harder)

George Kirikos:Thanks Ariel.

Petter Rindforth:keep the starting time and make it 90 min

Maxim Alzoba:bye all, have to drop the call

Kristine Dorrain:I still object.

Kristine Dorrain:for the record

Jason Schaeffer:+1 Kristine on length of calls

George Kirikos>Please send out the next homework assignment today if possible, so folks can get cracking.

David McAuley:agree w Greg - please attend at least 60 minutes and if we cannot sustain a longer call so be it

Kristine Dorrain:+1George

Julie Hedlund 2:Yes, staff will get the homework assignment out today.

Jason Schaeffer:75 min :)

George Kirikos:Also, if they're not already unlocked, unlock all docs, so people can do 2 weeks' worth of homework at once.

Julie Hedlund 2:Yes, and we will unlock the documents.

Kristine Dorrain:I have to drop....thanks all. See you next week,

George Kirikos:Bye folks. Have a great day!

Ariel Liang:@George - they are all unlocked currently

David McAuley:Thanks Greg and staff

Griffin Barnett:Thanks all, bye

Julie Hedlund 2:Thanks so much everyone!

George Kirikos:Thanks Ariel.

Julie Hedlund 2:And thanks Greg and David!