## Instructions:

This table was built to assist the Sunrise Data Review Sub Team in its analysis as to whether, and how, the previously collected Sunrise data (between December 2016 and March 2018) answer each of the final agreed Charter questions.

- In the **Sunrise Tab** of the <u>analysis tool</u>, Staff have included excerpts, as well as the relevant page/slide reference, from the previously collected data that staff believe may assist in answering the final agreed Charter questions. Summaries of the excerpts are included in Column B.
- The excerpts cited by Staff are nonexclusive; Sub Team members are welcome to download and reference the actual documents, linked from the **Source Tab**, to cite relevant information that may help answer the final agreed Charter questions.
- When providing input, please note the source name and page/slide number of the previously collected data.

## **Sunrise Charter Question 8:**

On LRP, ALP, QLP – Limited Registration Periods, Approved Launch Programs and Qualified Launch Programs:

(a) Are Limited Registration Periods in need of review vis a vis the Sunrise Period? Approved Launch Programs? Qualified Launch programs? (b) Are the ALP and QLP periods in need of review?

(c) What aspects of the LRP are in need of review?

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
George Kirikos	Yes	а-с	On page 5 of section 2.1.1 of the Deloitte TMCH Report, March 2013 - February 2017, it shows there were only 127 verified TMs for all of Russia. This reinforces what Maxim has been saying about the potential need for changes to account for local lack of use of the TMCH.	Deloitte TMCH Report, March 2013 - February 2017, 2.1.1 (page 5)
			According to page 7 of the Analysis Group report, 97% of the recordals are Latin script, and also there are only 1700 users, with USA dominating (both of these might impact geoGTLDs outside the USA).	AG report, p. 7

Sunrise Charter Question 8:

On LRP, ALP, QLP – Limited Registration Periods, Approved Launch Programs and Qualified Launch Programs:

(a) Are Limited Registration Periods in need of review vis a vis the Sunrise Period? Approved Launch Programs? Qualified Launch programs?

(b) Are the ALP and QLP periods in need of review?

(c) What aspects of the LRP are in need of review?

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
----------------------------	---	---	--	--

Griffin Barnett	No		
David McAuley	No	This 'no' refers to AG Independent Review of TMCH and follow-ups	
Greg Shatan	Yes	geoTLD and ccTLD launch programs: A registry noted that slow approval for special launch programs to give public authorities priority access to geoTLD and ccTLD registrations sometimes cause these TLDs to give priority to trademark owners instead of geoTLD and ccTLD community members	Analysis Group Report, p66
David McAuley	No	This 'no' refers to INTA Cost Impact Survey	

Sunrise Charter Question 8:

On LRP, ALP, QLP – Limited Registration Periods, Approved Launch Programs and Qualified Launch Programs:

(a) Are Limited Registration Periods in need of review vis a vis the Sunrise Period? Approved Launch Programs? Qualified Launch programs?

(b) Are the ALP and QLP periods in need of review?

(c) What aspects of the LRP are in need of review?

Sub Team Member Name	Do the previously collected data help answer this Sunrise Charter Question?	If yes, which sub question(s) do the survey results assist?	How do the data assist (e.g. "Information X in document Y demonstrate Z")?	Source Name & Page/Slide Reference
----------------------------	---	---	--	--