Trademark Claims Service Questions

1. Is Trademark Claims period having its intended effect of deterring bad faith registrations?
   1. If no, or if it could be better: what about the Trademark Claims Service should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order for it to have its intended effect?
      1. Should the Claims period be extended – if so, permanently?
      2. Should the Claims period be shortened?
      3. Should the Claims period be mandatory?
      4. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM?
2. Is the Trademark Claims period having any unintended consequences, such as a “chilling effect” on good faith registrations?
   1. If so: what about the Trademark Claims Service should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order to avoid having these unintended consequences?
      1. Should the Claims period be extended – if so, permanently?
      2. Should the Claims period be shortened?
      3. Should the Claims period be mandatory?
      4. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM?
3. Does the Trademark Claims Notice to users meet its intended purpose?
   1. If not: Is it intimidating, hard to understand, or otherwise inadequate?
      1. If so: How can it be improved?
   2. Does it inform potential registrants of the scope and limitations of trademark holders’ rights?
      1. If not: How can it be improved?