

	<b>Revised Charter Questions (following Sub Team call of 28 April)</b>	<b>Sub Team Comments/Discussion</b>	<b>Updated Question</b>
1.	<p><u>General Question:</u> Is the mandatory 90-day Trademark Claims period having its intended effect? If not, or if there are unintended consequences, what should be adjusted, added or eliminated?</p> <p><u>Specific Questions:</u> 1A: Does having a mandatory pre-registration Trademark Claims Notice create a “chilling effect” on good faith registrations?  1B: If so, would the perceived “chilling effect” be reduced or minimized if the Claims period was of a shorter duration?  1C: If so, what would be the appropriate shorter period?  1D: Does having a mandatory Claims service (as structured currently) fail in its goal of deterring bad faith registrations?  1E: If so, would lengthening the duration of the claims service (or making it permanent) have beneficial effect?  1F: Is the Trademark Claims Notice to users intimidating or hard to understand? Does it meet the intended purpose of informing potential registrants of the limitations of</p>		<p><u>1. Is the Trademark Claims service having its intended effect of deterring bad faith registrations?</u></p> <p>a. If no, or if it could be better: what about the Trademark Claims service should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order for it to have its intended effect?</p> <p>i. Should the Claims period be extended – if so, permanently? ii. Should the Claims period be shortened? iii. Should the Claims period be mandatory? iv. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM?</p> <p><u>2. Is the Trademark Claims service having any unintended consequences, such as a “chilling effect” on good faith</u></p>

	<p>trademark holders rights? If the notice is inadequate, how can we improve it?</p>		<p><u>registrations?</u></p> <p>a. If so: what about the Trademark Claims service should be adjusted, added or eliminated in order to avoid having these unintended consequences?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. Should the Claims period be extended – if so, permanently?</li><li>ii. Should the Claims period be shortened?</li><li>iii. Should the Claims period be mandatory?</li><li>iv. Should any TLDs be exempt from the Claims RPM?</li></ul> <p><u>3. Does the Trademark Claims Notice to users meet its intended purpose?</u></p> <p>a. If not: Is it intimidating, hard to understand, or otherwise inadequate?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>i. If so: How can it be improved?</li></ul> <p>b. Does it inform potential registrants of the scope and</p>
--	--	--	--

			limitations of trademark holders' rights?  i. If not: How can it be improved?
2.	Should the Trademark Claims period be extended beyond ninety (90) days?		
3.	Should the Trademark Claims period continue to be uniform for all types of gTLDs in subsequent rounds?		