#6

COMPLETE

Collector:	Web Link 1 (Web Link)
Started:	Tuesday, March 26, 2019 6:16:43 AM
Last Modified:	Tuesday, March 26, 2019 6:19:14 AM
Time Spent:	00:02:30

Page 1

Q1 Proponent's Full Name If this proposal is jointly developed by more than one Working Group member, please write the full names of all proponents involved.

George Kirikos

Q2 Does your recommendation address Sunrise, Trademark Claims, or both?

Trademark Claims only

Q3 What type of recommendation are you proposing?

Operational Fix

Q4 What recommendation are you proposing? Please be succinct as well as substantially specific and not general in nature. One proposal for one recommendation only.

If the TM Claims Notices are retained (a separate proposal calls for their elimination), then ICANN shall provide open source software in the top 5 programming languages used by registrars to assist in integration of the TM Claims notices with registrar systems.

Q5 What is your rationale for the proposal? (250 words max)

Currently, some registrars have not implemented the TM Claims notices, due to the implementation costs. This proposal seeks to lower the compliance and technical burden on these registrars (especially smaller registrars and new entrants) by having sample code provided for them at no cost.

Q6 What evidence do you have in support of your proposal? Please detail the source of your evidence. (250 words max) Such evidence may be information developed by the Sub Teams or documented in other sources.

Tab Registry-Q26 of the TMCH Sunrise & Trademark Claims Survey Results (12 December 2018) https://docs.google.com/spreadsheets/d/1aBw-dW2gBzvBfhUgl3u6ShWlPZt0yyNF-Vs1qmUuIjg/edit#gid=872290217 documents the current burden upon registrars.

Q7 In respect to which particular agreed Sunrise and/or Trademark Claims Charter Question(s) is your proposal relevant? (250 words max) A full list of agreed Sunrise Charter Questions can be downloaded here: https://goo.gl/knQa2p A full list of agreed Trademark Claims Charter Questions can be downloaded here: https://goo.gl/FeAJpa

TM Claims Q1 (lack of universal implementation by registrars can be considered an "unintended consequence")

Q8 Does the data reviewed by the Sub Teams show a need to address this issue and develop recommendations accordingly? (250 words max)

Yes.

Q9 If not already addressed above, on the basis of what information, gathered from what source or Sub Team, is this proposal based, if any? Please provide details. (250 words max)

Already addressed above.