## **URS Practitioner Background Experience and Perspective**

	In how many URS proceedings have you been involved as Complainant or its sentative?
B C D	. 1 to 2 . 3 to 5 . 5 to 10 . 10 or more . None
	In how many URS proceedings have you been involved as Respondent or its sentative?
B C D	<ul> <li>1 to 2</li> <li>3 to 5</li> <li>5 to 10</li> <li>10 or more</li> <li>None</li> </ul>
	Overall, leaving aside the result of the proceeding, how was your experience with the ess of a URS proceeding?
B C D	<ul> <li>Extremely Positive</li> <li>Positive</li> <li>Neither positive nor negative</li> <li>Negative</li> <li>Extremely Negative</li> </ul>
Proc	edural Issues
	When involved as Complainant or its representative in a URS proceeding, were there any s with delivering notice of the proceeding to the Respondent?
В	. Yes . No . Not applicable
If yes	, briefly explain the issue:
5.	When involved as Respondent or its representative in a URS proceeding did you

experience any issues with receiving notice of the proceeding?

A. Yes
B. No C. Not applicable
If yes, briefly explain the issue:
6. Have you filed or been involved in an appeal of a URS decision?
A. Yes B. No
7. If yes to question 6, from the choices below how would you characterize your experience with the appeal process after a URS proceeding?
<ul> <li>A. Extremely Positive</li> <li>B. Positive</li> <li>C. Neither positive nor negative</li> <li>D. Negative</li> <li>E. Extremely Negative</li> </ul>
8. Have you or a party adverse to you in a URS proceeding ever sought de novo review under Paragraph 6.4 of the URS Procedure.
<ul><li>A. Yes, within the initial 6 months</li><li>B. Yes, within a six month extension period</li><li>C. No</li><li>D. Not applicable</li></ul>
9. If you answered yes to Question 8: From your experience with de novo review under Paragraph 6.4 of the URS Procedure, do you believe this procedure should be retained, modified or removed?
<ul><li>A. Yes, retained as is</li><li>B. Yes, retained but modified</li><li>C. No, should be removed</li><li>D. No opinion</li></ul>
10. Please provide any comments you wish to add in explanation of any of your answers to questions 3 through 9 above:

## **Substantive Issues**

1. Do you believe that URS dispute resolution providers should provide a resource similar to the WIPO Overview of WIPO Panel Views on Selected UDRP Questions for the URS?

B.	No
	your URS proceeding(s) do you believe the Decision/Determination provided the s upon which the decision was based, as required by Section 13(b) of the URS Rules?
B. C. D.	Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree
	o you believe that the URS is being used for the types of cases for which it was intended, , clear cases of abuse?
B. C. D.	Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree
4. Have you encountered any problems with the implementation of the relief award following a URS decision?	
	Yes No
If yes,	please briefly describe:
5. D	o you believe the relief provided by a URS proceeding is adequate?
B. C. D.	Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree
If your	response to question 5 is D or E, how would you change it?
	ould there be more guidance provided to educate or instruct practitioners on what is d to meet the "clear and convincing" burden of proof in a URS proceeding?

A. Yes

В. С.	Yes, more guidance from the dispute resolution service provider Yes, from ICANN No, the guidance is already adequate No opinion	
	ased on your experience as a URS practitioner, is the standard of "clear and convincing ce" for the burden of proof in a URS proceeding appropriate?	
В. С.	No, too high Appropriate No, too low No opinion	
8. Based on your experience with the URS, should the standard for the burden of proof modified?		
	No, it is adequate as is Yes, it should be lowered – please explain the basis for your position:	
C.	Yes, it should be made higher – please explain the basis for your position:	
D.	No opinion	
	ease provide any comments you wish to add in explanation of any of your answers to ons 1 through 8 above:	
<u>Practi</u>	cal Issues	
1. D	o you believe the current mechanisms for proving use in a URS case are adequate?	
B. C. D.	Strongly agree Agree Neither agree nor disagree Disagree Strongly Disagree	
	o you believe that the submission of an SMD file from the Trademark Clearing House to astrate that proof of use was filed with the TMCH is adequate proof of use for a URS	
	Yes No	

Do you believe the filing fee for a URS is appropriate?

3.

	В.	Strongly agree Agree
		Neither agree nor disagree Disagree
		Strongly Disagree
f y	ou a	answered D or E, should it be higher or lower, and why?
4.	D	o you believe there are adequate means for searching prior URS cases?
		Strongly agree Agree
		Neither agree nor disagree
		Disagree
	E.	Strongly Disagree
5.	D	o you believe the existing word limitation for filings in a URS proceeding is appropriate?
	A.	Strongly agree
		Agree
		Neither agree nor disagree Disagree
		Strongly Disagree
lf y	ou a	answered D or E, should it be higher or lower, and why?
б. ар		o you believe the existing time frames for submitting filings in a URS proceeding are priate?
	A.	Strongly agree
		Agree
		Neither agree nor disagree
		Disagree Strongly Disagree
lf y	ou a	answered D or E, should it be longer or shorter, and why?
7. are		o you believe the existing limitations on the submission of evidence in a URS proceeding propriate?
	A.	Strongly agree
		Agree
	C.	Neither agree nor disagree