

DRAFT RPM PDP TIMELINE: JUNE 2018 - AUGUST 2019 (updated 30 August 2018 by ICANN staff)

Note: This is a “best case scenario” (plausible but aggressive) timeline. It is based on the last timeline circulated to the GNSO Council.

Date	Task	Comments	Sub Team/Vendor Work, Additional Notes
25-28 June (ICANN62)	<p>Session #1: Presentation of survey results by URS Practitioners Sub Team; updates from URS Documents and TMCH Data Sub Teams</p> <p>Session #2: Discussion of procedural issues (John McElwaine to provide additional information)</p> <p>Session #3: Working Session for URS Providers Sub Team to review survey results</p>	3 sessions at ICANN62	Survey vendor to refine surveys based on Data Sub Team feedback to date
4 July 2018	No WG meeting	<p>Week after ICANN62</p> <p>URS Practitioners & URS Providers Sub Teams to provide requested responses on specific survey questions to URS Documents Sub Team (per the URS Topics Table)</p>	
11 July 2018	URS Documents Sub Team meeting to resume work to		

	<p>review specific cases based on staff compilation of relevant results from Rebecca's research</p> <p>URS Providers meeting to continue review of survey results</p> <p>Data Sub Team to resume meeting with survey vendor</p>		
18 July 2018	<p>URS Documents Sub Team meeting</p> <p>URS Providers Sub Team meeting</p> <p>Data Sub Team meeting with survey vendor</p>		
20 July 2018	Data Sub Team meeting with survey vendor		
23 July 2018	Data Sub Team meeting with survey vendor (final meeting)		
25 July 2018	URS Documents Sub Team meeting (to review URS Topics Table, based on cases reviewed and information from the Practitioners & Providers Sub Teams)		
30 July 2018	URS Documents Sub Team meeting (to review URS Topics		

	Table, based on cases reviewed and information from the Practitioners & Providers Sub Teams)		
1 August 2018	Full WG meeting (to review reports from URS Documents, Practitioners & URS Providers Sub Teams)		Survey vendor to program and test surveys with ICANN staff/volunteers Final survey questions to be sent to full WG for information Surveys to be issued as they complete testing
8 August 2018	Full WG meeting to review consolidated data/survey results reported by URS Sub Teams		Survey vendor to begin beta testing and roll out surveys
15 August 2018	WG to continue review of consolidated URS Sub Team findings		
22 August 2018	WG to discuss co-chairs' procedural proposal for developing URS recommendations WG to discuss proposals from URS Sub Teams Providers Sub Team to meet to review follow up feedback from the three providers		Providers Sub Team to send updated report to full WG

29 August 2018	WG meeting canceled; Sub Teams to refine/revise text of proposals for full WG consideration Providers Sub Team meeting to discuss refinements/revisions (Practitioners & Documents Sub Teams to discuss via email)	<i>Note that the end-of-month meeting usually is at an APAC-friendly time</i>	All Sunrise & Claims surveys to be out in the field by end-August WG members to submit proposals for URS policy & operational recommendations by 6 September
5 September 2018	WG to discuss URS Sub Team proposals	120 minute meeting (at APAC-friendly time)	
12 September 2018	WG to complete discussion of Sub Team recommendations	120 minute meeting	
17 September 2018	WG to begin discussion of members' proposals for URS	Keep meeting at 90 minutes	This meeting replaces the Wednesday meeting that is being canceled
19 September 2018	No WG meeting	Yom Kippur	
26 September 2018	WG to continue discussion of proposals submitted by WG members	120 minute meeting (at APAC-friendly time)	Polls (if deemed appropriate) may be conducted week-to-week, as needed, or toward the conclusion of all presentations
3 October 2018	WG to continue discussion of proposals submitted by WG members	Likely to require a longer meeting	Survey vendor to “check in” with Data Sub Team on Friday 5 October?
10 October 2018	WG to complete discussion of all Sub Team & WG	Likely to require a longer meeting	WG co-chairs to work with staff to prepare list of proposals

	member proposals		viewed as having substantial support vs diversity of support vs no support
17 October 2018	No WG meeting Co-chairs/staff to circulate WG's initial review of URS proposals for WG members' determination in advance of ICANN63	Week before ICANN63	WG members should be encouraged to provide feedback on the initial reviews before ICANN63
20-26 October 2018 (ICANN63)	Session (1) & (4): WG meeting to present WG's initial review of URS proposals, and for WG to discuss those reviews Session (2): Survey vendor to present survey results to full WG (Part 1) Sessions (3) - Survey vendor to present survey results to full WG (Part 2); WG to agree on procedural approach for handling development of proposals based on survey results	Consider using a Sub Team each to develop initial proposals relating to Sunrise & Claims	4 sessions have been allocated to the WG
31 October 2018	No WG meeting	Week after ICANN63	WG members to submit any follow-up questions for survey vendor (deadline needed)
7 November 2018	No WG meeting		

14 November 2018	Staff walkthrough/"refresher" of technical and operational features of the TMCH		
21 November 2018	No WG meeting -- Analysis Group to provide responses to WG follow-up questions.	Day before US Thanksgiving	
28 November 2018	Analysis Group to run through responses to follow-up questions with WG.	At earlier time for end-of-month meeting	
5 December 2018	WG to begin discussion of potential Sunrise recommendations		Sub Team meetings (if needed)
12 December 2018	Complete discussion of potential Sunrise recommendations	Will likely need a longer meeting	Sub Team meetings (if needed)
19 December 2018	Begin discussion of potential recommendations for Claims	May need a longer meeting	Sub Team meeting (Claims)
26 December 2018	No WG meeting	ICANN offices closed	
2 January 2019	Continue discussion of potential recommendations for Claims	May need a longer meeting	Sub Team meeting (Claims)
9 January 2019	Continue discussion of potential recommendations for Claims	May need a longer meeting	Sub Team meeting (Claims)
16 January 2019	Complete discussion of potential recommendations for Claims	May need a longer meeting	

23 January 2019	Begin discussion of open TMCH issues (deferred previously)		
30 January 2019	Continue discussion of open TMCH issues; begin developing recommendations for TMCH	Will likely need a longer meeting <i>Note that the end-of-month meeting usually is at an APAC-friendly time.</i>	
6 February 2019	Continue discussion of potential TMCH recommendations	Lunar New Year is 5 February - should this meeting go ahead? If canceled, consider an alternative date/time for the meeting in order to stay on track	
13 February 2019	Complete discussion of potential TMCH recommendations	May need a longer meeting	
20 February 2019	Review all potential Phase One recommendations as well as any remaining issues	Will likely need a longer meeting	
27 February 2019	Continue review of Phase One recommendations & open issues	Will likely need a longer meeting <i>Note that the end-of-month meeting usually is at an APAC-friendly time.</i>	Staff to circulate Draft Initial Report
6 March 2019	No WG meeting (TBC)	Week before ICANN64	
9-14 March 2019	Working sessions to review	ICANN64	Assume allocation of four 75-

	recommendations and the Draft Initial Report		or 90-minute sessions
20 March 2019	No WG meeting (TBC)	Week after ICANN64	
27 March 2019	Finalize recommendations and sign off on Initial Report	Will likely need a longer meeting	
29 March 2019	Publish Initial Report for public comments		Mandatory minimum 40-day public comment period (earliest closing date = 11 May 2019)
15 May - 19 June 2019	Review public comments received	Memorial Day = Monday 27 May Week before ICANN65 = Wednesday 19 June	Public comments review may begin before 15 May, depending on number of comments received prior to the closing date
24-27 June 2019	Working Sessions at ICANN65 - complete review of public comments and discuss final Phase One recommendations		Assume allocation of four 75- or 90-minute sessions
3 July 2019	No WG meeting (TBC)	Week after ICANN65	
10 July 2019	Complete discussion of Phase One recommendations; open formal Consensus Call on proposed final recommendations	Will likely need a longer meeting	Staff to circulate draft Final Report
17 July 2019	Review substantive changes in Final Report based on any changes between the initial and final recommendations	Will likely need a longer meeting	

24 July 2019	Continue review of substantive changes in Final Report		
31 July 2019	Finalize substantive changes as between Initial & Final Report; close of formal Consensus Call	Will likely need a longer meeting	
7 or 14 August 2019	Sign off on Final Report		Staff and Council liaison to submit report to GNSO Council

NOTES:

On Methodology:

- The WG is very likely to need longer and/or additional meetings through much of Q3 & Q4, 2018; and Q1 & Q2, 2019.
- It may also be necessary to consider meeting on a different day of the week in those cases where a WG meeting is canceled (e.g. because of a holiday).
- Similarly and concurrently, the WG may need to consider forming new Sub Teams to expedite the development of initial proposals on Sunrise and Claims, for review and discussion by the full WG.
- Assuming the co-chairs' proposed procedure for handling URS proposals from WG members is adopted, a similar approach (i.e. deadlines, time limits for presentations, polls as needed etc.) can be considered for the other RPMs.

On Timeline:

- The dates following the anticipated publication of an Initial Report is based on the following critical assumptions:
 - The WG has hit its timeline targets up to that date; and
 - The public comment period is not extended beyond the minimum mandatory 40 days.
- Notes:
 - The number of meetings and the amount of time needed to complete a review of all input received depends on the nature, number and extent of the public comments and the ability of the WG to reach final consensus on their Phase One recommendations.
 - If there are many extensive and substantive public comments (especially if they are filed close to the deadline) and the WG is not able to reach consensus quickly, the date of completion of the Final Report is very likely be delayed further.