Beginning: Multistakeholder Empowerment Framework

Given the difficulty of according a definition for what constitutes unwanted international communication, is it reasonable to conceive expedition of agreement on the constituency of industry or domain ownership? Every nation has a unique culture stemming from a set of norms rooted in societal consensus fostered over the ages. Yet we all share common hopes for prosperity, security and liberty in context of our cultures. This paradox of nations, potentiated by differences and similarities in resource, is the competitive charge driving global economy.

Since a top-level domain presumably yet requires a multitude of domain subcategories to operate to some standard to accrue credibility, it is prudently positioned by definition to become the standard discriminating the category. Should one entity be granted global title to a domain or industry, with the means by which to cultivate widespread recognition, no other entity would be able to compete. Any minorities adversely affected by such perpetuity would find little recourse in synonymous viability of appealing to the benefactor.

While we examine the broader question of equitable governance through exploration of agreement on a framework for accountability, we must individually implement prevalent infrastructure that preserves the freedom of self-determination with respect to one another’s economies and cultures. This may be achieved through the integration of authoritative rule-sets that enable selectivity at local root server instances, in turn empowering local distribution masters to externally reflect global root preferences as defined within its locally signed zone. Each entity hosting a distribution master in the resulting federation should default to the global root and parity check its preference of other distribution masters to automate global awareness.

Such a hybrid approach maintains Internet cohesion at a single global root while both resolving conflict through local augmentation and promoting competition through choice facilitation. Beyond the recognizable advantages that accrue to stakeholders based on merit, a federation hybrid implies an inherent accountability mechanism for the purchase of time in an evolutionary transition. Should an entity be motivated to leverage augmentation of its local root zone, it would either find some level of adoption or recognition within the international community, to the extent of which affects its standing in the global root and its ongoing relationship with the IANA functions operator. Conversely, the IANA functions operator would naturally remain insulated from direction by interest groups, constrained to the consensus of the international community by risk of losing relevance to entities well prepared for an event of reelection.
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