

IDN Implementation Guidelines (IDNG) Working Group (WG)

Notes from Meeting on 30 June, 2016

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

WG members:

1. Dennis Tanaka
2. Jian Zhang
3. Mats Dufberg
4. Wael Nasr

Staff:

5. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The WG members continued the discussion on the document and the recommendations to be proposed for public comment.

- 1. Terminology, Section 2.2.** The three options presented were discussed: (i) no terminology section, (ii) terminology section focused only on the terms used in the guidelines, or (iii) terminology section containing a broader set of terms than used in the guidelines. The WG decided to include Option 2 going forward and not consider Options 1 and 3.
- 2. Format of IDN Tables, Section 2.3.** Current Recommendation 3 was agreed to be carried forward. Current recommendation 4 with the added reference to Label Generation Ruleset format in the upcoming RFC was also agreed to be included.
- 3. Consistency of IDN Tables, Section 2.4.** While discussing current recommendation 6, it was suggested that the wording: *"The registry should also encourage its registrars ..."* should be made more specific. The revised text will be proposed for review of the WG.

Members were not clear on the intention of the current recommendation 9. It was discussed if this refers to consortia like CDNC. It was not clear how such a generic recommendation could be executed. Alternate wording for this recommendation was discussed, either to develop single IDN tables which all TLDs can use, or make a references second level LGRs. However, enforcing a single IDN table stifles competition.

It was suggested that if this recommendation is kept, it be removed from Section 2.4 and taken to a new section so that it can be generalized to other areas like Universal Acceptance. However, this recommendation needs to be clarified on its focus.

- 4. IDN Variants, Section 2.5.** The WG members reviewed the discussion from the previous meeting on IDN Variants. The WG members agreed to take this up in the next meeting.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	<i>Staff to find out when RFC for Label Generation Rules may be released</i>	SH
2	<i>Suggest updated text for current recommendation 6 in section 2.4 to be more specific</i>	JZ
3	<i>Review current recommendation 9 in section 2.4 to see how it may be clarified and focused</i>	ALL
4	<i>Review the table with text on IDN Variants and come with suggestion for recommendation(s) for next meeting</i>	ALL