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Founding, Scope and Objectives

This group has been convened to develop a Transition Plan in consultation with the broad range of IANA stakeholders, including those communities representing the operational functions of IANA as well as those impacted by the operations of IANA. The group’s development of a Transition Plan is informed by the NTIA specified conditions and is consistent with the ICANN document on the transition process.

The scope of work of this group focuses on the transition of the stewardship of the operational elements of IANA (names, numbers and protocols) when the NTIA role is no longer present. The work is relates to, but does not include the accountability of the policy-making role of ICANN which will be addressed in another group. The work on Stewardship transition and accountability will be coordinated as necessary.

An essential objective of the group related to its stewardship transition plan is to maintain and support the operational functionality and stability of the Internet and the related IANA functions throughout this process. The main deliverable of the Group is a proposal to the U.S. Commerce Department’s National Telecommunications and Information Administration (NTIA) regarding the transition of NTIA’s stewardship of the IANA functions to the Internet community.

The Group is chartered to develop a stewardship transition plan that is representative of rough consensus across the broad range of the IANA stakeholders. The Group will follow established and transparent processes outlined further herein related to receiving inputs, circulating drafts, comment periods and decision-making processes.

Operational Practices

The group’s mission is to coordinate the development of a proposal among the communities affected by the IANA functions. The IANA parameters fall into three categories: domain names, number resources, and other protocol parameters. While there is some overlap among these categories, each poses distinct organizational, operational and technical issues, and each tends to have distinct communities of interest and expertise. For those reasons it is best to have work on the three categories of IANA parameters proceed autonomously in parallel and be based in the respective communities of interest.

The coordination group has five main tasks:

 Act as liaison to the three communities of interest (names, numbers, protocols) including specifying the necessary and sufficient elements of a proposal related to essential elements of stewardship transition.

Work with the broader communities of interest that are impacted by the functions of IANA to keep them informed of the process and solicit appropriate inputs to the process

1. Assess the formal inputs of the communities of interest for , compatibility and consensus
2. Assemble a complete proposal for the transition
3. Information sharing and public communication

Describing each in more detail:

1. Liaison

Members of the ICG will ensure that the communities from which they are drawn are working on their part of the transition plans. This involves informing them of requirements and schedules, tracking progress, and highlighting the results or remaining issues. The role of a coordination group member during this phase is to provide status updates about the progress of his or her community in developing their component, and to coordinate which community will develop a transition proposal for each area of overlap (e.g., special-use registry)

1. Assessment

When the group receives output from the independent groups it will discuss and assess their workability, assess their compatibility and interoperability with the proposals of the other groups, and verify their levels of support in the respective communities. The ICG might at some point detect problems with the component proposals. At that point the role of the ICG is to communicate that back to the relevant communities so that they (the relevant communities) can address the issues. In assessing consensus, the coordination group will rely to some extent on its members to reflect to the rest of the group the support levels within the member's own community, but the group is also authorized to engage in independent assessments, such as public notice and comment periods.

1. Assembling and submitting a complete proposal

The assembly effort involves taking the proposals for the different components and verifying that they fulfil the intended scope, meet the intended criteria, that there are no missing parts, and that the whole fits together. The ICG will then develop a draft final proposal that achieves consensus within the ICG itself. The ICG will then put this proposal up for public comment involving a reasonable period of time for reviewing the draft proposal, analyzing and preparing supportive or critical comments. The ICG will then review these comments and determine whether modifications are required. If not, and the coordination group agrees, the proposal will be submitted to NTIA. If changes are required to fix problems or achieve broader support, the ICG is authorized to make minor amendments in consultation with the affected communities of interest. If, in the ICG’s opinion, broad public support for the proposal as articulated by the NTIA is not present, the parts of the proposal that are not supported return to the liaison phase.

1. Information sharing

The ICG should serve as a central clearinghouse for public information about the IANA stewardship transition process. Its secretariat should maintain an independent website, under its own domain, where status updates, meetings and notices are announced, proposals are stored, the ICG members are listed, etc.