**Proposed speaking points for Monday**

**Does the ICG think that there is a realistic chance to reach the original target date?**

* ICG do have an existing timeline
* Reexamining it, as it relied on all three responses to the RFP arrive in mid-Jan
* Review ICG original timeline, and dependencies on external events
* ICG is making progress on the proposals already received
* The more collaboration between individuals across the communities, the less risk for higher number of communication round trips needed between ICG and OC’s (which take 2+2 weeks each).

**Has the ICG revised its timeline ? When will this be the case ? Will there be more clarity by the end of the ICANN week ?**

* ICG is reviewing the timeline
* ICG is communicating with the operational communities, including CWG Names
* The need of the names CWG for additional time means that the ICG cannot hit its orginal target for all three proposals, but aside from that our plans are unchanged
* ICG is looking for any opportunities to accelerate our work while ensuring a predictable process and the necessary public consultations
* More clarity will be delivered over time, when better predictions are possible to make

**What is the new target date ?**

* Earlier estimates said ICG need 6 months in addition to what time the communities require, but that was dependent on all proposals arriving at the same time
* The impact on getting the proposals at different points in time has not been evaluated yet

**Has the ICG approached the CWG in order to explore whether the CWG could compress its timeline ? Why not ?**

* ICG is communicating with the CWG, for example regarding synchronization of timelines and encouragement of cooperation between indidviduals
* The time they need is to be calculated by them. If the community require more time, the community should use that time, as Secretary Strickling stated <http://www.ntia.doc.gov/speechtestimony/2015/remarks-assistant-secretary-strickling-state-net-conference-1272015>

**IS IT SATISFIED THAT THE TWO PROPOSALS IT HAS RECEIVED SO FAR ARE WITHOUT ANY GLARING ERRORS OR OMISSIONS?**

**Is the ICG working on the proposals from the communities which it has received so far?**

* Yes, the ICG has started on the evaluation of the proposals from the protocol parameters and numbers. We have made considerable progress during our recent meeting and have asked one formal question to both communities so far, regarding synchronization of IANA.ORG and other trademark issues.
* Yes, the secretariat is already working on comparison and analysis on the proposals, which will also make it easier to receive the last response to the RFP.
* We would try to make as much as progress we can with the proposals received so far.