



AL-ALAC-ST-0514-02-00-EN

ORIGINAL: English DATE: 08 May 2014

STATUS: Final (Ratification

Pending)

AT-LARGE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem

Introduction

Holly Raiche, ALAC member from the Asian, Australian and Pacific Islands Regional At-Large Organization (APRALO) and ALAC Leadership Team member composed an initial draft of this Statement after discussion of the topic within At-Large and on the Mailing Lists.

On 30 April 2014, this Statement was posted on the At-Large ICANN Strategy Panels Workspace.

On 02 May 2014, Olivier Crépin-Leblond, Chair of the ALAC, requested ICANN Policy Staff in support of the ALAC to send a Call for Comments on the Recommendations to all At-Large members via the <u>ALAC-Announce Mailing List</u>.

On 08 May 2014, a version incorporating the comments received was posted on the aforementioned workspace and the Chair requested that Staff open an ALAC ratification vote on the proposed Statement on 09 May 2014 and close on 15 May 2014.

The Chair then requested that the Statement be transmitted to the Public Comment process, copying the ICANN Staff member responsible for this Public Comment topic, with a note that the Statement was pending ALAC ratification.

On 16 May 2014, online vote results in the ALAC will be published and a new version of the Statement incorporating an updated Staff introduction section will be submitted.

Summary

- The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem, particularly its conclusion that 'the multistakeholder model is by far preferable and should be elaborated and reinforced'.
- 2. The diagram on Governance, grouped into the Logical layer and Infrastructure Layer is a very helpful way to conceptualize Internet governance issues.
- 3. The Panel's discussions under the following headings also have some very useful pointers on directions for ICANN's new role in: Globalize not internationalize, Consolidation and simplification of root-zone management, and a web of affirmation of commitments.
- 4. Globalizing the process of accountability through a web of relationships and suggesting accountability panels is indeed a potential way forward but only if a panel can provide recourse. The ALAC has concerns about the practical workability of this scenario but is ready to assist.

The original version of this document is the English text available at http://www.atlarge.icann.org/correspondence. Where a difference of interpretation exists or is perceived to exist between a non-English edition of this document and the original text, the original shall prevail.

ALAC Statement on the ICANN Strategy Panels: ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem

The ALAC strongly supports the report from the Panel on ICANN's Role in the Internet Governance Ecosystem. Although the Panel was developed prior to the US Government's announcement about the IANA function, it nevertheless provides valuable insights and recommendations for ICANN's expanded role in Internet Governance. We particularly support the conclusion from the Panel: that 'the multistakeholder model is by far preferable and should be elaborated and reinforced'.

The diagram on Governance, grouped into the Logical layer (technical, content and social layers) and Infrastructure Layer (domain names and numbers, and connectivity and universal access) is a very helpful way to conceptualize Internet Governance issues.

The Panel's suggestions for the Roadmap on 'how do we get there from here', and the discussions under the following headings also have some very useful pointers on directions for ICANN's new role in:

- Globalize not internationalize
- Consolidation and simplification of root-zone management
- A web of affirmation of commitments bilateral or multilateral agreements between and among ICANN and non-governmental eco system partners. For government-ICANN relationships – a separate and common affirmation text so as to achieve egalitarian treatment (GAC may be of assistance)

It is worth noting that globalizing the process of accountability through a web of relationships and suggesting accountability panels is indeed a potential way forward but only if a panel can provide recourse should a party to an affirmation of commitments believe that another party has failed in some way. The ALAC has concerns about the practical workability of this scenario but is ready to assist with the building of this web of relationships.