**Latin Generation Panel (LGP)**

**Notes from Meeting on 14 July, 2016**

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

 WG members:

1. Aysegul Tekce
2. Meikal Mumin
3. Mirjana Tasic
4. Ahmed Bakhat Masood

 Staff:

1. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

LGP commence its fortnightly calls.

1. **Revision of Proposal.** The proposal for forming LGR has to be finalized. A first version of proposal was shared with ICANN and some initial feedback has been received from the Integration Panel. The feedback has to be incorporated.
2. **Membership of LGP**. Also, the LGP needs to add members to be more representative for Latin script. One way to proceed is to identify the current membership and see where the gaps are, and to reach out to get these experts. This should be done by listing the languages and the people in the group and make a table.
3. **Review of summary paper on IP feedback. Schedule in the Proposal.** The suggested schedule in the proposal is sequential, and IP has suggested to see if some tasks can be taken in parallel. This would depend on the number of active members in LGP. The GP will come back to the planning, once membership is addressed. **Cross-script variants**. IP has suggested that an early analysis, based on MSR-2. can help coordination with other panels. LGP should develop a coordination mechanism with other GPs for this purpose. In similar cases for other scripts, GPs have documented an initial analysis and shared with other relevant GPs, e.g. for Khmer, Lao and Thai. Similar mechanism can be followed for Armenian, Latin, Cyrillic and Greek. IP can also provide feedback, once the solution is available. This can be updated in the plan. **Scope.** The scope should be updated to be based on MSR-2. **Role of Principles, Normalization and Diacritics, etc.** It was suggested to simplify the proposal and exclude details and analysis. Deeper analysis should be addressed after the panel has been formed. LGP should look at the minimal requirements from the template for the proposal to see how it can be simplified. Additional information should be put aside at this time to be added in the LGR proposal document at the later stage. It was also discussed to remove addition information from the proposal, which has been identified by IP as redundant, e.g. the discussion on handwriting and Arabic chat.
4. **Next Steps.** It was summarized that LGP should work on extending its membership by undertaking active outreach and ask existing members to see how much contribution they can make. Getting sufficient active membership should be the first and most important task. In parallel, the GP will keep working on reviewing and updating the proposal to form LGP. It was also suggested to organize a face to face meeting to move the work forward, at the appropriate stage.

Action Items

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No.** | **Action Items**  | **Owner** |
| 1 | *Develop a language vs. member table to see where the gaps are in the membership to do outreach and invite additional members* | SH/MT |
| 2 | *Reach out to existing members and ask how much they can contribute*  | SH/MT |
| 3 | *Review the proposal for LGP to incorporate IP feedback* | All |