**Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting**

**Notes from meeting on 3 May 2018**

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

WG members:

1. Bill Jouris
2. Hazem Hezzah
3. Mats Dufberg
4. Meikal Mumin
5. Mirjana Tasić

Staff:

1. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana

Meeting Notes

The GP discussed the following agenda items:

1. **Tables with excluded code points from the repertoire.** The chair proposed three tables for discussion:
   1. ALL-CodePointsNotIncluded : <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1REbgfWyaAB9XeYn-MfwNZ4dXpc0OCgnYS7Mzs6J7uVE/edit>
   2. CodePointoteIncluded-OutsideMSR  
      <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1lEvneyV9ZzkAE42FvNIHswatnndTDsIWPtYtsM4kxic/edit>
   3. CodePointNotIncluded-FoundInMSR2

<https://docs.google.com/document/d/1oU2E-Jtq5-HF-V_idDjE2bbthFGg9afznp67zm4D6ps/edit>

The GP concluded that all excluded code points should have the sufficient explanation. The audience of the document is not only the IP but also the general public. The GP decision should be well-explained.

It was discussed that the explanation for exclusion could be grouped, but not limited to these types: (a) linguistic reasons e.g., the script is no longer used; (b) technical reasons e.g. one of the code point in the sequence is not allowed in IDNA; or (c) others e.g. not enough supporting source.

Bill volunteered to take the first round of updating the reason for code point exclusion and share the updated table with this meeting attendees to get feedback from ones who has background of the discussion first, before sharing the table to all GP members.

It was agreed that the list of the candidate MSR3 code points, submitted by the GP to the IP, should be documented and explicitly describe which code point has been included in the MSR-3, which code point has not.

1. **Further development of the final report.** The GP discussed this Google Document <https://docs.google.com/document/d/1Dy1zxy2vH6c-xaBMt4nqw4hfUuMN6wNAwDNPDxpEndk/edit>.   
   It was concluded that a copy of this document will be created to be the first draft of the proposal to the IP, focusing on repertoire. The draft proposal will be submitted after the repertoire tables have been finalized, both the included code points and excluded code points. The variant section will be empty but the headings will be kept as they are. The GP noted that all MSR version number in the proposal will be changed to MSR-3.

Mirjana volunteered to create the draft proposal and invite all GP members to edit collaboratively. It was agreed that a member can edit the document directly for small changes, while a member should ‘suggest change’ for major edits. It will open the opportunity for other members to discuss.

The GP was requested to discuss what content should be in each section in the following meetings.

Action Items

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No.** | **Action Items** | **Owner** |
| *1* | *Input the explanation for excluded code points and circulate to this meeting attendees.* | BJ |
| *2* | *Create a Google Document for the first draft of proposal, focusing on the repertoire section and invite all GP members to ‘edit’ or ‘suggest change’.* | MJ |