

Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting Notes from the meeting on 21 November 2019

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

GP members:

1. Bill Jouris
2. Dennis Tan
3. Hazem Hezzah
4. Mats Dufburg
5. Meikal Mumin
6. Michael Bauland

Staff:

7. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
8. Sarmad Hussain

Meeting Notes

The GP agreed to have the next meeting on 28 November 2019 at 16:00UTC.

The GP discussed two approaches to finalize the variant analysis.

Approach 1: Each member, as assigned in Trello, conducts the analysis by using Wordmark.it to fine additional sets and add them to the candidate list. Then the GP review the candidate list and finalize variant set.

Approach 2: The GP review the candidate list already prepared in the Google sheet at the moment and finalize the variant set

At ICANN66 meeting, the IP advised that the Latin LGR proposal is close to the final version. It should be published for public comment in January 2020. It was shared that that though the IP activity is planned to be lessen in the next fiscal year, it's not the main reason for such timeline.

The IP members are Latin native users and so they have more intuitive feedback to the Latin LGR proposal. Currently the Latin GP analysis is impressive but it is going broader than what IP is anticipating. The LGR procedure only requires the GP to identify the clear cut cases.

It was mentioned that there is unlimited number of fonts for user interface, so the GP should look at various fonts. Some members did not agreed as the selected three fonts were not arbitrarily chosen. They were discussed and was agreed by the GP.

It was discussed that various fonts analysis via Wordmark.it would found corner cases and might not be the clear cut ones.

As there was no clear agreement on the approach, the GP reviewed the time of each member to contribute to complete the Wordmark.it task. It was concluded that Bill and Meikal will continue the Wordmark.it analysis in the next few days and update the candidate Google sheet.

A mismatch of code point and glyph was found in the candidate Google sheet. Bill will fix it. Any record that is edited, the existing rating marks will be removed.

Action Items

S. No.	Action Items	Owner
1	Conduct the variant analysis using Wordmark.it and add the candidate variant set to the Google spreadsheet	BJ, MM
2	Fix the code point and glyph mismatching in Google spreadsheet	BJ