**Latin Generation Panel (GP) Meeting
Notes from the meeting on 19 December 2019**

Meeting Attendees (in alphabetical order)

 GP members:

1. Bill Jouris
2. Mats Dufburg
3. Meikal Mumin
4. Michael Bauland
5. Mirjana Tasic

Staff:

1. Pitinan Kooarmornpatana
2. Sarmad Hussain

 Hazem Hezzah sent his regret for the meeting

Meeting Notes

The GP reviewed the list of Appendix D task list. The stacking analysis was further explained that it needs to be analyzed with context. It should have the base character before or after the targeted code point. The context dummies were prepared as placeholders in the appendix D.4.x. Mirjana will revise the task allocation and share with the volunteers.

It was also shared that the IP suggested the GP to ignore the stacking analysis as the problem occur with an obsolete font (Courier New). The GP concluded to ignore the Courier New font but agreed to conduct the stacking analysis with other fonts and planned to finalize the analysis before the F2F meeting. During the F2F meeting, the GP can then spend time with more important things which could not be done online.

The GP then continued the discussion how to address IP feedback, both for Latin script variants and cross-script variants. It was agreed to focus on finalizing the appendix D for now and aim to discuss the IP feedback during the F2F meeting. The next meeting will be held on 2 January 2020 to check the status of the report and to submit another version to the IP by mid January.

One feedback was picked up as an example for discussion. It was the feedback regarding the D with caron and L with the caron. Meikal has conducted the test with additional of T with caron and found that the rendering of D, L and T with caron were not stable, some cases look like a base character with an apostrophe. The text supporting exclusion of these three code points was drafted accordingly.

It was raised that though a code point is in MSR-4 it is still possible to be excluded by the GP as per GP’s analysis. The MSR inclusion was based on the batch basis and the Unicode Code code point properties. The punctuation marks were excluded from IDNA2008 and it was the guiding principles for IDN work. The Click sound characters for African languages were also excluded based on this principle.

However, the GP further discussed that these three code points were not considered as punctuation marks and they had been used in some second level registrations. The GP did not reach conclusion. Bill and Mats were invited to write the counter-argument for this section for GP’s further discussion. It was shared that IP’s feedback was clear to exclude these code points. Therefore, the inclusion needs to have strong supporting rationales.

The volunteers for Appendix D analysis were asked to finalize the task as soon as possible.

**Next meeting:** 2 January 2020 at 1600 UTC.

**Action Items**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **S. No.** | **Action Items** | **Owner** |
| *1* | *Reallocate the task list for Appendix D*  | MT |
| *2* | *Conduct and finalize the Appendix D tasks as assigned* | BJ, HH, MM, MT |