|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **RALO**  | **METRICS Status Update** | Comment  |
| **AFRALO** | **No measure in current ROPs:** [https://community.icann.org/display/AFRALO/AFRALO+MOU+and+Organising+Documents](https://community.icann.org/display/AFRALO/AFRALO%2BMOU%2Band%2BOrganising%2BDocuments)The WG on ROP is planning to discuss this item. See: [https://community.icann.org/display/AFRALO/AFRALO+RULES+OF+PROCEDURE+REVIEW+2014](https://community.icann.org/display/AFRALO/AFRALO%2BRULES%2BOF%2BPROCEDURE%2BREVIEW%2B2014) **List of Issues under discussion** •Individual Membership •Weighted vote •Members performance •**Metrics of performance** •Decertification •Quorum •Certification criteria | Work in progress |
| **APRALO** | The recently approved ROPs [(document AP\_ROP\_2014 V: 1.3)](https://community.icann.org/download/attachments/2266081/APRALO_RoP-Finalv1%203%20doc.pdf?version=1&modificationDate=1401749832000&api=v2) 26th March 2014, provide for the following:**Section B - APRALO and APRALO Associated Responsibilities****11. Performance, Metrics and Remediation:** 11.3 A variety of metrics will be maintained related to quantifiable performance aspects to ensure that all APRALO Members and appointees are aware of their performance, and to support the Chair in the responsibility of monitoring such performance. Such metrics will include, but are not limited to:**11.3.1** Meeting attendance, which includes sending prior notice if attendance is not possible. Attendance will be based on individual APRALO sessions for meetings held during an ICANN meeting and the monthly APRALO meetings held online. | Includes specific measures  |
| **EURALO** | EURALO recognizes that there needs to be a minimal standard for involvement and participation at RALO issues. See the following Procedure: [**How to deal with inactive ALSes**](https://community.icann.org/display/EURALO/Procedure%3A%2BHow%2Bto%2Bdeal%2Bwith%2Binactive%2BALSes)**,** by Wolf Ludwig dated June 2011.**TEXT OF HOW TO DEAL WITH INACTIVE ALSes**As repeatedly discussed at ALAC and Secretariat meetings at the ICANN conferences in Brussels, Cartagena (2010) and San Francisco (2011) and on the basis of the last ALAC survey, long-term inactive RALO members are considered as a problem and there is presently no manubrium for this in-reach dilemma.At EURALO, out of 27 certified members (status May 2011) we have three members who didn’t participate at all over a long period of time. Two members never responded any more after having been certified in 2007 = four years. One member didn’t participate after our 1st GA in June 2008 and has not responded any more since two years. And it makes no sense increasing our membership while having several “dead ducks” among them. There needs to be a minimal standard for involvement and participation at RALO issues (over a period of the last two years – NARALO Bylaw prescribe one year). | No specific measure but some guidance exists |
| **LACRALO** | The Governance Working Group is working on a Metric Proposal to promote active participation in LACRALO (see [DOCUMENT](LACRALO%20DOCS/Propuesta%20de%20m%C3%A9tricas%20de%20participaci%C3%B3n%20activa%20en%20LACRALO.docx)) below:**TEXT document METRICS - ACTIVE PARTICIPATION**  The term "active participation" of ALS means: - A representative participation in at least (sixty) 60% of the monthly meetings; in (sixty) 60% of the Assemblies of any kind; with apologies to the (fifty) 50% of those where the person cannot attend, and at least two webinars within a calendar year. - To be considered active, it is not enough the mere presence or a single statement about the opinions expressed, but issuing opinions on topics that are discussed in any of its forms, monthly meetings, meeting or via email list. - You also need to have had at least one representative on a working group within LACRALO, or within ICANN. - When an ALS does not vote in three consecutive elections or contribute LACRALO to comment on ICANN policy through collaborative discussion lists At-Large for 12 consecutive months, it automatically loses its voting rights and active status within the LACRALO. The ALS must be notified about the change of status and can regain their voting rights and the active status if, within the next 12 months performing all activities required to be considered active. Otherwise, the President shall send a request to the ALAC to discredit the ALS. An ALS which has not participated actively within the last year before a face to face meeting, cannot send a representative to the face to face meetings organized and financed by ICANN. **Means active participation of a member of a certified ALS LACRALO:** - To participate in at least (fifty) 50% of the monthly meetings; one of the meetings of any kind; presented with apologies for not attending the (fifty) 50% of those where you cannot attend, and at least one webinar within a calendar year. - To be considered active, not simply the mere presence or with the single statement about the opinions expressed, but by issuing opinions on topics that are treated in any of its forms, monthly meetings, meeting or via email list - It also must have participated in at least one working group within LACRALO, or within the level shaped ICANN. A member of LACRALO may not run for any office within ICANN of not meeting this active participation, within the last year prior to the date of application | Work in progress, under discussion |
| **NARALO**  | **NARALO Operating Principles (revision dated 2013 -08-20) deals with metrics in its article 16 as follows:** 16. When an ALS representative does not participate in 3 consecutive NARALO elections or does not contribute a comment on ICANN policy through collaboration on the At - Large discussion lists in 12 consecutive months, it automatically loses its voting rights and active status within the NARALO. The ALS should be notified of the status change and may regain its voting rights and active status if within the next 12 months it participates in online discussions, otherwise the Chair will submit to ALAC a request for de-certification of that ALS. In the case of an apparently dormant or non- existent ALS, the Chair may also recommend that the ALS be decertified, providing evidence of such rationale. |  |