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| **Staff Contact:** |  | **Email:** |  |
| **Section I: General Overview and Next Steps** |
| The Neo-Brahmi Script Generation Panel (NBGP) was formed by nine communities that use scripts derived from the Brahmi script. NBGP is developing Root Zone Label Generation Rules (LGR) for Bengali, Devanagari, Gujarati, Gurmukhi, Kannada, Malayalam, Oriya, Tamil and Telugu scripts. The GP is publishing the proposals for the LGRs of these nine scripts in three sets, releasing proposals for the scripts which share cross-script variant code points together to the extent possible. The first set is already undergoing public comment and the second set, being released now, includes the following proposals: (1) *Proposal for the* *Kannada Script Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone,* (2) *Proposal for the* *Oriya Script Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone,* and (3) *Proposal for the* *Telugu Script Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone.* As per the [LGR Procedure](https://www.icann.org/en/system/files/files/lgr-procedure-20mar13-en.pdf), these proposals are being posted for public comments to allow those who have not participated in the NBGP to make their views known. Based on the feedback, the NBGP will finalize each proposal for its evaluation and integration into the Label Generation Rules for the Root Zone. |
| **Section II: Contributors** |
| *At the time this report was prepared, a total of [number] (n) community submissions had been posted to the forum. The contributors, both individuals and organizations/groups, are listed below in chronological order by posting date with initials noted. To the extent that quotations are used in the foregoing narrative (Section III), such citations will reference the contributor’s initials.*Organizations and Groups:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Submitted by** | **Initials** |
| Integration Panel  | Asmus Freytag | IP |

Individuals:

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Name** | **Affiliation (if provided)** | **Initials** |
| GANESH CHANDRA SINGH |  | GCS |
| Nistha Ranjan Dash |  | NRD |
| Amresh Mishra |  | AM |
| Shantinath Shirahatti |  | SS |
| Vikas Hegde |  | VH |

 |
| **Section III: Summary of Comments** |
| *General Disclaimer: This section intends to summarize broadly and comprehensively the comments submitted to this public comment proceeding but does not address every specific position stated by each contributor. The preparer recommends that readers interested in specific aspects of any of the summarized comments, or the full context of others, refer directly to the specific contributions at the link referenced above (View Comments Submitted).*IP reviewed the Kannada and Telugu LGR proposal along with the Sinhala LGR Proposal and makes the following comments: IP1. IP encourages NBGP to engage with the Sinhala GP to review whether the letter RA / RAYANNA case rises to the level of a variant, given that the Sinhala GP considers no cross-script variant with Kannada and Telugu. All LGR proposals should be updated as per the mutual conclusions from both GPs. NBGP response: (Draft) NBGP agrees to discuss the cross-script variant RA/RAYANNA case with the Sinhala GP. The final Kannada and Telugu LGR proposal will be updated based on the mutual conclusion. AM agrees with the Oriya LGR proposal and praises the good coverage of code points. AM thanks the team for the work. GCS agrees with the Oriyda LGR proposal and makes the comment that the usage of Nukta with various consonants precisely addresses various languages using the Oriya script. NRD agrees with including bilabial plosive 'Ba' (ବ), nonplosive dentolabial 'Va' (ଵ) and Wa/ୱ in the Oriya LGR proposal as it facilitates user to have the domain name of their choice. SS makes a comment “Lets make Kannada domain”.VH agrees with the Kannada LGR proposal. VH notes that the ZWNJ and ZWJ may need to be revised in future as requirement arise.  |
| **Section IV: Analysis of Comments** |
| *General Disclaimer: This section intends to provide an analysis and evaluation of the comments submitted along with explanations regarding the basis for any recommendations provided within the analysis.*NBGP thanks AM, GCS, NRD, SS, and VH for their support and thanks IP for its detailed feedback.The analysis of the comments by IP is provided in the previous section in blue. |