Reference	Original Issue	Open Areas needing Discussion	Objective to be inserted into ToR (draft text for leadership consideration)	Priority
Bylaws 4.6(e)(iv)	(iv) The Directory Service Review Team shall assess the extent to which prior Directory Service Review recommendations have been implemented and the extent to which implementation of such recommendations has resulted in the intended effect.	• None	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(iv), the review team will (a) assess steps taken to implement each prior Directory Service Review recommendation, (b) assess the extent to which implementation of each recommendation has resulted in the prior RT's intended effect, and (c) recommend specific measurable steps (if any) the team believes are important to enhance results achieved through implementation of the prior RT's recommendations.</li> </ul>	
Bylaws 4.6(e)(ii)	(ii) The Board shall cause a periodic review to assess the effectiveness of the then current gTLD registry directory service	<ul> <li>Criteria for determining "effectiveness"</li> <li>Draw on RT1's Scope of Work approach for criteria?</li> <li>Action: Stephanie</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the effectiveness of today's WHOIS (the now current gTLD RDS, including cumulative changes made to the then-current RDS which was assessed by the prior RT) by (a)   based on action item result, insert text describing how "effectiveness" will be measured and the nature of recommendations (if any) to be produced&gt;</li> </ul>	
Bylaws 4.6(e)(ii)	(ii)and whether its implementation meets the legitimate needs of law enforcement, promoting consumer trust and safeguarding registrant data	<ul> <li>Possible methodology to obtain targeted community input on needs?</li> <li>Approach for determining whether RDS implementation meets needs</li> <li>Action: Cathrin (LE needs)</li> </ul>	• Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(ii), the review team will assess the extent to which the implementation of today's WHOIS (the current gTLD RDS) meets legitimate needs, including (a) needs stated by the law enforcement community, (b) needs identified to enhance consumer trust in gTLD domain names, (c) needs stated by registrants for safeguarding their data disclosed through today's WHOIS, (d) the approach used to determine the extent to which needs are met, and (e)high-priority gaps (if any) and specific measureable steps (if any) the team believes are important to fill them. <apply (a)="" action="" enforcement="" item="" law="" needs="" refine="" result="" to=""></apply>	
Bylaws 4.6(e)(iii)	(iii) The review team for the Directory Service Review will consider the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD") Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data as defined by the OECD in 1980 and amended in 2013 and as may be amended from time to time	Relevance and currency of OECD guidelines	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission and Bylaws, Section 4.6(e)(iii), the review team will consider the 2013 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development ("OECD") Guidelines on the Protection of Privacy and Transborder Flows of Personal Data by (a) considering OECD guideline applicability to RDS, (b) identifying ICANN efforts to protect the privacy of transborder flows of WHOIS personal data, and (c) producing high-level recommendations, noting that there are issues and pointing to other groups addressing those issues.</li> </ul>	

Reference	Original Issue	Open Areas needing Discussion	Objective to be inserted into ToR (draft text for leadership consideration)	Priority
GNSO Scope Msgs Page 3	Assess Compliance enforcement actions, structure, and processes; Availability of transparent enforcement of contractual obligations data	Criteria for assessment?	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems by enforcing policies, procedures and principles associated with registry and registrar obligations to maintain and provide access to accurate and up-to-date information about registered names and name servers, the review team will <insert here="" review="" tasks=""></insert></li> </ul>	
GNSO Scope Msgs Page 3	Assess the value and timing of RDAP as a replacement protocol	<ul> <li>Should this be called out as part of the objective:         Deciding whether to make a recommendation on RDAP implementation before policy is developed?</li> <li>How does this relate to assessments of RT1 recommendations relating to RDAP?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems by enforcing policies, procedures and principles associated with registry and registrar obligations to provide information about registered names and name servers, the review team will <insert here="" review="" tasks=""></insert></li> </ul>	
GNSO Scope Msgs Page 3	Assess current protocol for current purposes	None (effort minimal)	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems by enforcing policies, procedures and principles associated with registry and registrar obligations to provide information concerning registered names and name servers, the review team will <insert here="" tasks=""></insert></li> </ul>	
GNSO Scope Msgs Page 1	Assess progress made on supporting Internationalized Domain Names (IDNs)	<ul> <li>None (effort minimal)</li> <li>How does this relate to assessments of RT1 recommendations relating to IDN?</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Consistent with ICANN's mission to ensure the stable and secure operation of the Internet's unique identifier systems, including by enforcing policies, procedures and principles associated with registry and registrar obligations to provide information about registered names and name servers, the review team will <insert here="" tasks=""></insert></li> </ul>	

## **Guidance from ToR Template:**

Objectives must be consistent with both ICANN's mission and Bylaw requirements for this Specific Review. In addition, objectives should be set forth in priority order and accompanied by a description of prioritization criteria applied by the Review Team.