For Best Audio: Join via Telephone Using Dial-Out

Connecting via the audio bridge is always preferable to the AC audio connection.

Upon logging into Adobe Connect, a pop-up window will appear for the AC to call your phone. This preferred method will assure the best audio for the meeting.

How would you like to joi	in the meeting's audio conference?
 Dial-out [Receive a call f 	rom the meeting]
+1 (USA) 🔻	310-111-11111
 Dial-in to the Audio Cor 	nference via Phone
O Using Microphone (Com	nputer/Device)
	Join Listen Only

After 2 background noise occurrences, staff will mute the offending line (either Telephone or Adobe Connect). After two failed attempts to speak over the audio, participants will be invited to type their comments in the chat or take them to the mailing list.

PLEASE ALWAYS MUTE WHEN NOT SPEAKING! *6 to mute and *6 to unmute

For any questions, dial out requests, apologies, please email: <u>mssi-secretariat@icann.org</u>



RDS-WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call #49

14 February 2019



RDS/WHOIS2-RT Leadership Call Agenda

- 1. Final Report
 - 1. Outstanding Issues
 - 2. Consensus
 - 3. Sections sent for translation
- 2. A.O.B.



Final Report

Agenda Item #1



Outstanding Issues

For the priority level definitions add in text "Implementation to begin once any preconditions are met."

Compliance

<u>Action item</u>: Susan to clarify that ICANN will not go on fact-finding missions, but use the information they currently have on hand (input received).

Susan to clarify that Compliance enforces Registrars to enforce data accuracy for registrants.

<u>Action item</u>: Stephanie to provide language update on Paragraph on "Possible Impact of GDPR and Other Applicable Laws "

Common Interface

Common interface recommendations do not seem to have taken into account the concerns around its implementation: "On recommendation 11.1 (common interface), based on the review team's suggested implementation, it appears that the metrics and SLAs asked for in the recommendation are intended for use by ICANN org as mechanisms to proactively identify compliance issues. ICANN org would like to note that there are multiple reasons queries could return blank fields, or no results. It is not possible programmatically to determine the causes of these results. Additionally, per the Temporary Specification, gTLD registration data results could differ between registry and registrar outputs. Therefore, inconsistencies in registrar and registry gTLD registration data outputs are not necessarily compliance issues. ICANN org would also like to inform the RDS-WHOIS2 review team that the existing WHOIS look-up tool at whois.icann.org will be updated in the coming months with a new tool built on RDAP. It is possible and intended that the new tool would function in such a way that no data would be collected by ICANN org."



Law Enforcement Needs

P5: Several edits from Cathrin, can they be incorporated?

P6: Open comment from Cathrin.

LE.1: Bring the above and survey questions to the EPDP (Alan) and GAC (Cathrin). Can you please confirm when this was completed?

MSSI to estimate number of hours spent on the LE survey in response to NCSG request for estimated cost associated with conducting the survey.

Objective 6: ICANN Contractual Compliance Actions, Structure and Processes

P8: One comment is still open. The Registrar Working Group is reviewing the criteria from ICANN Org that will be used to determine whether any solution exists in the marketplace that is technically and commercially viable. The working group is expected to respond by 31 July 2018.

Action item: Susan to update Objective 6 section based on operational input received.

Appendix H: Public Comments

Section needs to be populated to reflect this Bylaw requirement: "ICANN Bylaws, 4.6 (a)(vii)(B): "The final report should include an explanation of how public comments were considered as well as a summary of changes made in response to public comments."

Appendix I: Relevant Research Links

Access has been provided to Alan & Susan for updates on Privacy/Proxy Services section. Comments need to be resolved if no need for the review team to approve changes.



Consensus

• Approval missing from:

Chris, Stephanie, Thomas, Volker



Sections sent for Translation

- 1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY
- 2 **REVIEW BACKGROUND**
- **3 OBJECTIVE 1: ASSESSMENT OF WHOIS1 RECOMMENDATIONS IMPLEMENTATION**
- 3.1 Introduction
- 3.2 WHOIS1 Rec #1: Strategic Priority
- 3.3 WHOIS1 Rec #2: Single WHOIS Policy
- 3.4 WHOIS1 Rec #3: Outreach
- 3.5 WHOIS1 Rec #4: Compliance (input needed from Stephanie)
- 3.6 WHOIS1 Recs #5-9: Data Accuracy
- 3.7 WHOIS1 Rec #10: Privacy/Proxy Services
- 3.8 WHOIS1 Rec #11: Common Interface (update needed)
- 3.9 WHOIS1 Recs #12-14: Internationalized Registration Data
- 3.10 WHOIS1 Recs #15-16: Plan & Annual Reports
- 4 OBJECTIVE 2: ANYTHING NEW
- 5 OBJECTIVE 3: LAW ENFORCEMENT NEEDS
- 6 OBJECTIVE 4: CONSUMER TRUST
- 7 OBJECTIVE 5: SAFEGUARDING REGISTRANT DATA
- 8 OBJECTIVE 6: ICANN CONTRACTUAL COMPLIANCE ACTIONS, STRUCTURE AND PROCESSES
- 9 ICANN BYLAWS

Sections sent for Translation

APPENDIX A: GLOSSARY OF TERMS APPENDIX B: TERMS OF REFERENCE APPENDIX C: GNSO AND GAC SCOPE INPUTS APPENDIX D : WORK PLAN APPENDIX E: FACT SHEETS APPENDIX F: PARTICIPATION SUMMARY APPENDIX G: LAW ENFORCEMENT SURVEY APPENDIX H: PUBLIC COMMENTS (Bylaw requirement) APPENDIX I: RELEVANT RESEARCH LINKS (input needed from Volker on Privacy/Proxy Section)

APPENDIX J: BIBLIOGRAPHY



A.O.B.

Agenda item #2



Confirm Decisions Reached & Action Items

