

RDS-WHOIS2 RT
Plenary Call [#27](#)
14 May 2018 – 14:00 – 15:30 UTC

Review Team Members:

Cathrin Bauer-Bulst, Susan Kawaguchi, Dmitry Belyavsky, Carlton Samuels, Thomas Walden, Lili Sun, Erika Mann

Apologies

Alan Greenberg

Observers

ICANN Org

Alice Jansen, Jean-Baptiste Deroulez, Amy Creamer, Steve Conte, Lisa Phifer, Brenda Brewer

Meeting materials may be found on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/BgMFbQ>.

The RDS-WHOIS2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll-call, Sol updates

There were no updates to Statements of Interests.

2. Subgroups Status Update

The review team walked through status updates for each subgroup.

Rec #1 Strategic Priority: All subgroup members will be invited to review input from subject matter experts (SMEs) and to draft conclusions and recommendations (if any) accordingly.

Rec #2 Single WHOIS Policy: Subgroup is currently in the process of writing the final subgroup report, which should be submitted for review by the end of this week.

Rec #3 Outreach: No open actions for this subgroup.

Rec #4 Compliance: No progress since the last face-to-face meeting. Susan will review open action items and send out additional questions to the subgroup by the end of this week.

Action item: Susan to liaise with ICANN org on a Doodle poll for a subgroup call

Rec #5-9 Data Accuracy: Lili will look into the latest version of the subgroup report and may suggest recommendations that relate to the Compliance subgroup.

Rec #10 Privacy/Proxy Services: Subgroup is waiting for an update from Volker.

Action Item: Susan to liaise with Volker and progress on the subgroup draft report.

Rec #11: Common Interface: Agreements made in Brussels need to be reported in the subgroup report and redistributed for approval.

Rec #12-14 - IDNs: Updated version of the subgroup report reflecting the agreements in Brussels was shared today with the subgroup (see: <http://mm.icann.org/pipermail/rds-whois2-idns/2018-May/000018.html>)

Rec #15-16 Plan & Annual Reports:

Action item: Cathrin and Lili to coordinate on recommendations related to reports (also addressed under Strategic Priority).

Subgroup 2: Anything New: Only subgroup that has no draft report.

Action item: ICANN org to move forward and reflect agreements from Brussels face-to-face meeting #2 in the subgroup draft report shell.

Subgroup 3: Law Enforcement Needs: A subgroup call was held after the face-to-face meeting to discuss the law enforcement survey to which subgroup members provided input. ICANN org then provided a mock-up of the [draft survey](#) to the subgroup to inform discussions. The goal of this survey is to establish whether WHOIS implementation meets the needs of law enforcement as stipulated in the Bylaws. The review team discussed potential targeted groups for the survey.

Cathrin mentioned that it would be helpful to send out the survey before and after GDPR is implemented and compare the data. As such, this will have an impact on the content of the subgroup report (due 24 May 2018). The subgroup, however, will provide a detailed overview of the survey methodology and objectives in the report.

Action items:

- Cathrin to update the draft survey based on input received and to share with subgroup.
- ICANN org to investigate on transparency requirements pertaining to surveys, as subgroups would like the survey link not to appear on review team public lists.
- ICANN org to provide an example of survey output to the subgroup to inform methodology related discussions.

Subgroup 4 – Consumer Trust: Erika is reviewing information on resellers to determine how the subgroup can approach this topic.

Action item: Erika to review the face-to-face meeting #2 open action items and send input to the subgroup.

Subgroup 5 – Safeguarding Registrant Data:

Action item: Subgroup members to send a quick status update to the review team

3. ICANN62

Decision reached: 8 June 2018 is the deadline to submit ICANN 62 engagement session materials.

Action item: Review team to agree on engagement session description. ICANN org to submit examples to leadership from other review teams, and identify deadline to submit session description.

4. A.O.B.

All attendees were invited to fill in the survey to share their user experience using ZOOM.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes