|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Does this Subgroup’s Report | R1 Strat Priority | R2 Single Policy | R3  Out reach | R4  Comp | R5  Accur | R10  P/P | R11  Comm I/F | R12  IDN | R15 Plans & Reports | 2  Any New | 3  LE Need | 4  Cons Trust | 5  Safe Data |
| SUBSECTION 1 – TOPIC |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Clearly Identify Topic | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| Link Topic to ToR Objective | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |
| Identify Key Questions | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |  | ✔ | ✔ | u | ✔ | ✔ |
| SUBSECTION 2 – RESEARCH | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summarize Research Sources | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | m | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | u | ✔ |
| Summarize Methodology | ✔ | ✔ | m | ✔ |  | m | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | m | u | m | ✔ |
| SUBSECTION 3 – ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Summarize Important Findings | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ? | ✔ | ✔ | u |  | u | ✔ |
| Relate Findings to Key Questions | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |  |  | ✔ | u |  |  | ✔ |
| Provide Analysis for Major Findings | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ? | ✔ | ✔ | u |  |  | ✔ |
| SUBSECTION 4 – PROBLEM/ISSUE | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Clearly Identify Problems (if any) | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | u/m | u | ✔ | ✔ | ? | u | u |  | u | ✔ |
| Link Problems to Analysis | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |  | ✔ |  | u |  |  |  | ✔ |
| Give Rationale for Problems | ✔ | ✔ |  | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ |  | u |  |  |  | ✔ |
| Identify Impacted Groups |  |  |  | u | ✔ |  |  |  | ✔ |  |  |  | ✔ |
| SUBSECTION 5 – RECOMMENDATIONS **(for each Recommendation, also see Recommendation Assessment Tool)** | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provide Clear Conclusion (e.g., Was Rec fully implemented? Is Board action recommended?) | ✔ | ✔ | ✔ | u | u | u | ✔ | ✔ |  | u |  | u | ✔ |
| FOR RT TO ANSWER: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consensus (#agree:#disagree) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall - Clear Enough? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Overall - Concise Enough? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Further Edits Needed? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**Key:**✔ Fully-addressed in Subgroup draft report, in designated Subsection  
m Fully-addressed but needs to be MOVED to designated Subsection  
u Addressed, but Subsection text is pending a planned update by Subgroup  
blank Not yet fully-addressed – Subgroup should expand draft report to fill identified gaps (see comments below)

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Subgroup** | **Explanation of Identified Gaps (as of 23 July 2018)** |
| **Rec1 Strategic Priority** | * Section 5 lacks ‘problem statement’ flowing from identification of issues * What specific, measurable outcome is envisioned for this Recommendation? |
| **Rec2 Single Policy** | * Subsection 4: Add impacted groups. |
| **Rec3 Outreach** | * Subsection 1: Move methodology to Subsection 2. * Subsection 4: Would benefit from a structure such as: **Bolded problem statement.** Why it is a problem. Who does it affect. For example, Why is lack of outreach to non-ICANN audiences a problem? Who might benefit from outreach? |
| **Rec4 Compliance** | * Subsection 3 should become more concise. Some Problems/Issues need to be moved/summarized in Subsection 4. * Flesh out all Recommendations, phrasing all as recommended actions with specific, measurable outcomes. |
| **Rec5-9**  **Accuracy** | * Draft report to be updated to address ICANN62 feedback (aligned with Rec4 Compliance report) * Subsection 1: A very brief summary of WHOIS1 Recs 5-9 would be helpful * Subsection 2: Add methodology and specific list of research materials * Subsections 3 and 4: Could be made more concise and clear. For example, cite data sources instead of copying in data tables, simplify lengthy sentences, delete template instructions. * Subsection 5: Add conclusion specifically linking each identified Problem to any new recommendation(s) |
| **Rec10 Privacy Proxy** | * Draft report to be updated to address ICANN62 and possibly Subgroup feedback * Subsection 3: Move key questions and methodology to Subsections 1 & 2. * Subsection 4: Would benefit from a structure such as: **Bolded problem statement.** Why it is a problem. Who it affects. It is unclear how Findings/Analysis led to the Issues listed in Subsection 4. * Subsection 5 needs further explanation – for example, how can the WHOIS1 Recommendation be fully implemented if the PPSAI-defined policy has not yet been implemented? |
| **Rec11 Common Interface** | * Subsection 3: Focuses on details about the common interface without first giving an overview of how the recommendation was implemented. Add a brief description of the microsite and how that addresses Rec 11. * Subsection 3’s analysis needs to be more explicitly linked to the key questions listed in Subsection 1. |
| **Rec12-14 IDN** | * Note: This draft’s Subsection numbering is inconsistent with the template * Subsection 2 (Topic) does not appear to define key questions that the Subgroup tried to answer * As such Subsection 4 (Findings/Analysis) cannot tie back to key questions * Subsection 5 (Problem/Issue) states a finding but does not really explain the problem caused by that finding. Expand to briefly state the problem, explain why it is a problem, and identify who is affected. * Recommendation in Subsection 6 needs to be fleshed out and made specific & measurable * Appendices were removed from consolidated report to reduce length, with rapporteur’s permission |
| **Rec15-16 Plans & Reports** | * Subsection 4: Describe how WHOIS1 implementation results were affected by problems in plans and reports. * Subsection 5: State whether Recs 15-16 were fully, partially, or not implemented.  Link problems listed in Subsection 4 to the recommendation in Subsection 5. |
| **Anything New** | * Draft report to be updated to include material presented on ICANN62 slides for this Subgroup * Subsection 1: Contains methodology which should be moved to Subsection 2. * Subsection 3: Table needs to be updated to reflect ICANN62 slide.  Center column and “main findings” list should be fleshed out to tie back to key questions currently listed in Subsection 1. * Subsection 4: Template text/action item to be replaced with text from ICANN62 slide * Subsection 5: Template text to be deleted and replaced with Subgroup’s overall conclusion |
| **LE Needs** | * Draft report to be updated with survey approach, goals, audience, questions subgroup wishes to answer * After survey ends, results (findings) and analysis to be added to Subgroup’s report |
| **Consumer Trust** | * Draft report to be updated to address ICANN62 feedback (adding new materials & any associated findings) * Note: This draft’s Subsection numbering is inconsistent with the template * Subsection 4: Contains excerpts from documents (Findings) but little Analysis. Needs Analysis text to tie Findings back to key questions currently listed in Subsection 1. * Subsection 5 (Problem/Issue): Contains methodology which should be moved to Subsection 2. Needs more concise Problem statement for Reseller Transparency, tied back to Analysis. Currently, Findings and Analysis do not tie at all to the identified Problem/Issue. * Recommendation in Subsection 6 needs to be fleshed out and made specific & measurable. * To reduce length: Are all of the excerpts in Subsection 4 necessary to include in the Review Team’s report? |
| **Safeguard Data** | * Recommendations in Subsection 5 need to be fleshed out and made specific & measurable. * Tighten up first part of “Recommendation” if possible – for example, by moving bracketed text |