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| **RECOMMENDATION 1: ICANN should publish a single, clear and consistent statement of its SSR remit and limited technical mission. ICANN should elicit and gain public feedback in order to reach a consensus-based statement.** **Focus Area: Scope and Structure of ICANN’s SSR Responsibilities** |
| What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?  |
| Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted? |
| Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not? |
| **RECOMMENDATION 2: ICANN’s definition and implementation of its SSR remit and limited technical mission should be reviewed in order to maintain consensus and elicit feedback from the Community. The process should be repeated on a regular basis, perhaps in conjunction with the cycle of future SSR reviews.** |
| What was done to implement the recommendation? Was the recommendation fully implemented?  |
| Did the implementation have the intended effect? How was the assessment conducted? |
| Is the recommendation still relevant today? If so, what further work needed? If not, why not? |

**Focus areas are:**

**Scope and Structure of ICANN’s SSR Responsibilities**

**Effectiveness and Implementation of the SSR Framework.**

**Understanding the Risk Landscape and Contingency Planning**