

SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report
Plenary Call #56
10 January 2019 – 15:00 – 16:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Ram Krishna Pariyar, Alain Aina, Kaveh Ranjbar, Russ Housley, Naveed Bin Rais, Laurin Weissinger, Denise Michel, Kerry-Ann Barrett, Noorul Ameen, Scott McCormick, KC Claffy

Observers

None

ICANN Org

Jennifer Bryce, Brenda Brewer, Negar Farzinnia

Apologies

Boban Krsic, Eric Osterweil, Norm Ritchie

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki at: <https://community.icann.org/x/OgDuBQ>.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

Roll call and apologies. See recorded attendance above. No SOI updates provided.

a. RT members to advise if they are awaiting any information from ICANN travel for LA face-to-face or Kobe meetings

No review team members raised issues on the call.

b. Share RT attendance data with team (attachment to follow)

Staff advised that attendance data would be shared with the Review Team shortly. Russ added that this is because leadership have noticed a decline in attendance and would like to share the data with the team for a discussion on next steps, if any.

c. RT members to fill out Doodle poll re: potential f2f meeting after Kobe, to be circulated shortly

Staff advised a Doodle poll will be circulated for a potential face-to-face meeting in May 2019. The team will discuss at the January face-to-face meeting whether or not a May meeting will be necessary. The Doodle poll is to help the team prepare in advance, should it decide the meeting is necessary.

Action item: Team members to complete Doodle poll for a potential f2f meeting in May (poll to be circulated on list).

2. Finish up SSR1 recommendations text in [Google doc \[docs.google.com\]](https://docs.google.com)

Team had a short discussion on how much detail should be included in each text, and what the length should be. The team came to general agreement that this should be flexible as each recommendation is different.

Kerry-Ann raised a view that the team has slowed down and is losing energy, and offered to work on SSR1 recommendations text next week with any other volunteers. Several team members agreed with Kerry-Ann that the team should push to wrap up the first draft of SSR1 implementation assessment and focus on the other workstreams.

KC suggested that the leadership should assume that everything in the SSR1 Google document should be considered final, as team members have had a couple of months to add/edit text. Russ asked team members for views on this approach – none were shared.

Action: Scott will input text for SSR1 recommendations 17 & 18.

Recommendation 9 - Laurin provided an overview of the text he has added and asked team members to share any edits or thoughts. None raised on the call.

Recommendation 15 – Noorul and Russ agreed that more text was needed for this recommendation - currently there is only a link from 2013.

Recommendation 23 – KC provided an overview of her research and text, and suggested that the team should talk to SSAC about their view of the recommendation's assessment.

Action: KC, Denise, Laurin, Alain, Scott to have a discussion with the RSSAC and SSAC regarding recommendation 23 (team members to volunteer if they want to participate). KC will talk to RSSAC/ SSAC chairs to set this up.

Recommendation 24 – KC provided an overview of the text, and the team had a discussion regarding the items to be addressed in this recommendation.

Recommendation 27 – Kerry-Ann suggested that recommendations 25, 26 and 27 are all related to risk management and the text could address all three recommendations as a whole. Russ asked if any team members objected. No objections raised.

Action: Kerry-Ann to input text to Google doc to explain that text for SSR1 recommendations 25 and 26 is contained within the text for recommendation 27.

Recommendation 28 – Noorul advised the team he added the same text for recommendations 24 and 28, as they are related. No team members raised any objections to merging the text for both recommendations.

Action: Noorul to input text to the Google doc regarding overlap between recommendations 24 and 28, and that text for both recommendations is merged.

3. RT members to share suggestions for January face-to-face meeting agenda topics

Russ asked team members to share suggestions for agenda topics and how to approach the work during the face-to-face meeting. No suggestions raised.

Action: Leadership will develop a draft agenda for the January f2f meeting. Team members to email suggestions to Russ ahead of Monday's leadership call.

4. AOB

None raised.

5. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Staff read out recorded action items and decisions.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes