# SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #107 8 April 2020 - 14:00 - 15:00 UTC

#### Review Team Members

Russ Housley, Zarko Ranjbar, Norm Ritchie, Danko Jevtovic, Laurin Weissinger, Ram Krishna Pariyar, Eric Osterweil, Kerry-Ann Barrett, Denise Michel, Jabhera Matogoro, KC Claffy, Naveed Bin Rais

### Observers

Dennis Tan

#### Technical Writer

Heather Flanagan

### ICANN Org

### **Apologies**

Boban Krsic, Kaveh Ranjbar

Jennifer Bryce, Steve Conte, Brenda Brewer

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki here: <a href="https://community.icann.org/x/iDCJBw">https://community.icann.org/x/iDCJBw</a>.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

### 1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance record above. No SOI updates provided.

## 2. Complete team member sign-up / assignment and determine rapporteurs (see sign-up **sheet** for subteams)

Russ asked team members on the call who had not yet signed up to do so. Russ assigned team members not on the call to the following subteams:

• Scott: 2, 8, 9 • Noorul: 4, 28 Naveed: 3, 28

The team determined rapporteurs for each subgroup, as indicated in bold in the sign-up sheet.

Action item: Russ to inform Russ, Noorul and Naveed of their subgroup assignments.

Russ reminded the subteams that they should do the following:

- Note in the public comment analysis spreadsheet column E how they public comment will be addressed.
- Propose edits to address the relevant comments in the markup document.
- Propose text revisions to the recommendation, keeping in mind the <u>revised structure</u> of the document, as discussed on the 25 March plenary meeting. Text proposals should be provided to Heather so that she may input them to the revised document.

The team discussed the approach to subgroup working to ensure that subteam members viewpoints and subteam outcomes are accurately captured and recorded for transparency purposes. The team will use the <u>analysis spreadsheet</u> to note these items and link to relevant email threads.

3. Begin review of public comments assigned the 'summary' tag (see public comment feedback spreadsheet column 'B')

The team began review of the public comments assigned the 'summary' tag, covering the following items:

- SSAC comments on rec 2.1
- SSAC comments on rec 2.3
- SSAC comments on rec 3

The team had a discussion about prioritization, related to the above comments from SSAC. KC articulated some points that she believes the team should include in the report with regard to the challenges with prioritization. Russ asked KC to share proposed text in an email.

<u>Action item</u>: KC to share proposed text that she believes should be included in the report with regard to prioritization, as articulated on the call.

### 4. AOB

None raised.

5. Confirm action items / decisions reached Action items and decisions were not read for the record due to time constraints.  Consensus reached on decisions/action items: No						