SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report Plenary Call #111 27 May 2020 – 14:00 – 15:00 UTC

Review Team Members	Observers
Kaveh Ranjbar, Laurin Weissinger, Ram Krishna	
Pariyar, Russ Housely, Danko Jevtovic, Scott	Technical Writer
McCormick, Kerry-Ann Barrett, Denise Michel,	Heather Flanagan
Naveed Bin Rais, Jabhera Matogoro, KC Claffy	
	ICANN Org
Apologies	Jennifer Bryce, Steve Conte, Brenda Brewer
Boban Krsic, Alain Aina, Eric Osterweil	

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki here: <u>https://community.icann.org/x/klvsBw</u>.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance record above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Review draft of the blog: An update from the SSR2 Review Team

Russ asked review team members for any objections to the draft blog – no team members objected. Staff will proceed to post the blog to icann.org.

<u>Decision reached</u>: Team agreed to the content in the update blog which will inform the community of the new completion date of October 2020.

Action item: Staff to post the update blog to icann.org.

3. Report out on subteam items and any items for team discussion (see chart here)

a. Subteam 1 report

b. Timing of other subteam reports

Russ presented the proposed text edits to recommendation 1 and suggestion 2, as noted in <u>this</u> <u>document</u>. Team members suggested minor edits. Russ then presented the proposed responses to the public comment as noted in the document <u>here</u>.

During the discussion on suggestion 2, the team discussed implementation shepherds and agreed that some additional information would be helpful on the role of implementation shepherds in practice, as well as information on the implementation of CCT recommendations that have been accepted by the Board.

KC noted that she will help to identify some resources related to NCAP that will be helpful for the subteam working on recommendation 28, as Naveed has flagged he is not a subject matter expert in this area.

Russ encouraged the subteams to keep working, and noted that the subgroup working on risk recommendations is planning to provide a report to the plenary next week.

<u>Action item</u>: Staff to provide information on how the implementation shepherd concept is working in practice.

<u>Action item</u>: Staff to provide information about the implementation of the CCT recommendations that have been accepted by the Board.

Action item: KC to help find resources related to NCAP and share these with Naveed.

<u>Action item</u>: Review team members to continue to work in subgroups and update the <u>bar chart</u> as work progresses.

4. AOB

None raised.

5. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items and decisions were read for the record.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes