

SSR2 RT Plenary Call Report
Plenary Call #127
5 November 2020 – 15:00 – 16:00 UTC

Review Team Members

Boban Krsic, Danko Jevtović, Denise Michel, Eric Osterweil, KC Claffy, Laurin Weissinger, Ram Krishna Pariyar, Russ Housley, Scott McCormick, Žarko Kecic

Apologies

Kerry-Ann Barrett

Observers

Dennis Tan

Technical Writer

Heather Flanagan

ICANN Org

Brenda Brewer, Jennifer Bryce, Steve Conte

These high-level notes are designed to help SSR2 Review Team members navigate through the content of the call. They are not meant to be a substitute for the recording or transcript, which are posted on the wiki here: <https://community.icann.org/x/rQfQC>.

The SSR2 Review Team undertook the following during this session:

1. Welcome, roll call, SOI updates

See attendance record above. No SOI updates provided.

2. Subteam updates (see subteam status spreadsheet [here](#)):

- **Abuse subteam (see document [here](#)):** The team discussed Danko’s comments in the abuse subteam document beginning on p4. The team had discussions including the following points:
 - Danko proposed that the team clarify the language around the “closed negotiations” statement as it might be misleading to readers who do not have the full history. Denise agreed that clarifying text should be added on this point to provide context.
 - Denise noted that the SSR2 should highlight in the report that there are multiple and more efficient paths for ICANN to address DNS abuse other than through a PDP.
 - KC noted that her understanding is that PDPs have tried to address the issues but have not been effective.
 - KC raised a question regarding the Board’s understanding of the role of Compliance.
- **Recommendation 28 (see document [here](#)):** This item was not discussed due to time constraints.

Action item: Abuse subteam to suggest additional text to incorporate more context and explanations around the points that Danko raised in the document and as discussed on the call.

3. SSR1 Appendix D ‘Findings Related to SSR2 Recommendations’ (see Google doc [here](#))

Heather advised the team that she has gone through the text and made comments for the team to review to make sure the statements she’s made are accurate. In the coming week she will reach out to everyone directly to see if the original authors can help. Then next week the whole team can review outstanding items that are not resolved.

Action item: Heather to reach out to the original authors of the SSR1 text to ask for review of the proposed text additions to Appendix D.

4. AOB

None raised.

5. Confirm action items / decisions reached

Action items and decisions were read for the record.

Consensus reached on decisions/action items: Yes