

Work Item: WP1-7A: Existing SO/AC Processes mechanism

Drafter: Jordan Carter (<u>jordan@internetnz.net.nz</u>)

Version: 1.0

Date: Circulated on 18 March 2015 @ 19h00 UTC

	Name of	Existing SO/AC Processes (SOAC)
Description	Mechanism	
	Description	Each Power available to the Community as a result of the
	·	CCWG's work would be exercised by each SO or AC
		allocating its "votes" as set out below. These would be
		determined by each SO or AC individually.
	Category (check	Not Applicable (N/A)
	& balance,	The categories apply to the powers exercised by the
	review, redress)	community, not the mechanism itself.
	Is the mechanism	N/A
	triggered or non	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
	triggered ?	the mechanism itself.
	Possible	N/A
	outcomes	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
	(approval, re-do,	the mechanism itself.
	amendment of	
	decision, etc.)	
	Conditions of	N/A
	standing (ie « last	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
	resort », type of	the mechanism itself.
	decision being	
Standing	challenged,)	
Standing	Who has	N/A
	standing (directly	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
	or indirectly	the mechanism itself.
	affected party,	
	thresholds)	
	Which standards	N/A
	is the decision	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
	examined against	the mechanism itself.
	(process,	
	principles, other	
	standards)	N/A
	Which purpose(s)	N/A
Standard of	of accountability	This applies to the powers exercised by the community, not
review	does the mechanism	the mechanism itself.
	contribute to ?	



CCVV Accountab	· ·	Template
	Required skillset	Not applicable.
	Diversity	Not continue
	Diversity	Not applicable.
	requirements	
	(geography,	
	stakeholder	
	interests, gender,	
	other)	
	Number of	SOs and ACs would have notional "votes" available under this
	persons	mechanism, available as follows:
	(approximate or	 Five votes for each of the following community
	interval)	bodies:
		o ccNSO
		o GNSO
Composition		o ASO
Composition		1.1.2
		o GAC
		 Two votes for each of the following bodies:
		o SSAC
		o RSSAC
	Independence	Not applicable.
	requirements	
	Election /	Not applicable.
	appointment by	Trot applicable.
	whom ?	
		None atticated to the control of the
	Recall or other	None: this would simply have the same accountability as SOs
	accountability	and ACs generally have.
	mechanism	
	Is the decision	Mandated by definition, since it is a casting of votes by the SO
	mandated or	or AC as an organisation, no individuals being appointed.
	based on	
	personal	
	assessment	
	Decision made by	N/A
Decision	consensus or	This would be specified for each of the powers exercised by
making		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	vote ?	the community, not as part of this mechanism itself.
	Majority	N/A
	Majority	N/A
	threshold (if	This would be specified for each of the powers exercised by
	applicable)	the community, not as part of this mechanism itself.
	Cost	The cost of whatever secretariat services required to collate
Accessibility	requirements	votes.
	Timeframe	To be implemented before IANA stewardship transition (i.e.
	requirements	WS1).
	Language	As general in ICANN – translated into the usual languages.
		AS Scheral in Icalisis — cransiated into the usual languages.
	requirements	

ACCOUNTABILITY MECHANISMS

CCWG Accountability Template

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Potential means to implement	To implement this approach, the relevant powers would need to be set out in the bylaws along with voting thresholds etc.
Implementation		A separate section of the bylaws would need to describe the generic process and assign notional "voting weights" as proposed here or as amended, to each SO/AC.