

Required Community Powers	Should we have a Conference Call?	Should we Convene a Community Forum?	Consensus Support to exercise the power?		
			Counting each AC/SO as either Support or Object	Giving each AC/SO 5 votes that may be split	
					<p>Giving ASO, CCNSO, GNSO a ratio of 2. Giving ALAC a ratio of 1. Advisory role to others.</p> <p>To account for diversity views, propose 4 units to ASO, CCNSO, GNSO; and 2 units to ALAC, in which units may be split.</p> <p>-- Total pool is 14 fractional weights (units), as follows: ASO: 4 CCNSO: 4 GNSO: 4 ALAC: 2 Other ACs: Retain Advisory Role</p> <p>-- No reason not to include threshold numbers on votes to object also, if desired.</p> <p>-- Below % thresholds are taken from 2nd draft proposal, just transposed into a pool of 14 units.</p>

1. Block a proposed Operating Plan/Strategic Plan/Budget	2 AC/SOs support blocking	3 AC/SOs support blocking	4 support blocking, and no more than 1 objects	20 votes support blocking, and no more than 5 votes object	Pool is 14 weights total. 66% for the 1 st veto = 9.2 weights 75% for the 2 nd veto = 10.5 weights
	Same number as above. If 75% of AC/SO support, it counts as YES. -- Applies to entire column.	Same number as above. If 75% of AC/SO support, it counts as YES. -- Applies to entire column.			
2. Approve changes to Fundamental Bylaws and Articles of Incorporation	2 AC/SOs support approval	3 AC/SOs support approval	4 support approval, and no more than 1 objects	20 votes support approval, and no more than 5 votes object	75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight = 10.5 out of 14 total
3. Block changes to regular bylaws	2 AC/SOs support blocking	2 AC/SOs support blocking	3 support blocking, and no more than 1 objects	15 votes support blocking, and no more than 5 votes object	66% support block from community with decisional weight = 9.2 out of 14 total
4a. Remove individual board	Majority	Majority	Invite and consider	Invite and consider	Invite and consider

directors appointed by AC/SO.	within the appointing AC/SO	within appointing AC/SO	comments from all SO/ACs. 75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director	comments from all SO/ACs. 75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director	comments from all SO/ACs. 75% majority within the appointing AC/SO to remove their director
4b. Remove individual board directors appointed by NomCom	2 AC/SOs support	2 AC/SOs support	3 support, and no more than 1 objects	15 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object	75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight = 10.5 out of 14 total
5. Recall the entire board of directors	2 AC/SOs support	3 AC/SOs support	4 support, and no more than 1 objects*	20 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object	75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight = 10.5 out of 14 total
6. Initiate a binding IRP where panel decision is enforceable in any court recognizing int'l arbitration results	2 AC/SOs support	2 AC/SOs support	3 support, and no more than 1 objects Require mediation before IRP begins	15 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object	66% support block from community with decisional weight = 9.2 out of 14 total
7. Reconsider/reject board decisions relating to reviews of IANA functions, including trigger of PTI separation	2 AC/SOs support	3 AC/SOs support	4 support, and no more than 1 objects	20 votes support, and no more than 5 votes object	75% in favor of recall from community with decisional weight = 10.5 out of 14 total